# EXHIBIT 5

## **Publicly Filed Version**

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 2 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    ** CONFIDENTIAL **
2
    ** ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY **
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3
    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4
    SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
5
    Case No. 3:20-CV-04688-RS
6
7
    ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, et al. individually
    and on behalf of all others similarly
8
    situated,
9
             Plaintiff,
10
11
         - against -
12
13
    GOOGLE LLC,
14
             Defendant.
15
                 June 26, 2023
16
                  10:05 a.m.
17
18
         Videotaped Deposition of JONATHAN
19
    HOCHMAN, taken by Defendant, pursuant to
    Notice, held at the offices of Willkie Farr
20
    & Gallagher LLP, 787 Seventh Avenue, New
21
2.2
    York, New York, before Todd DeSimone, a
23
    Registered Professional Reporter and Notary
24
    Public of the State of New York.
25
                                            Page 1
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 3 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
    BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
    725 South Figueroa Street
3
    31st Floor
    Los Angeles, California 90017
           Attorneys for Plaintiff
4
    BY:
           HSIAO (MARK) C. MAO, ESQ.
5
            mmao@bsfllp.com
6
7
    MORGAN & MORGAN LLP
    201 North Franklin Street
    7th Floor
8
    Tampa, Florida 33602
9
          Attorneys for Plaintiff
    BY:
          JOHN A. YANCHUNIS, ESQ. (Via Zoom)
10
           jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
          RYAN JOSEPH McGEE, ESO. (Via Zoom)
11
           rmcgee@forthepeople.com
12
13
    WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
    One Front Street
14
    34th Floor
    San Francisco, California 94111
           Attorneys for Defendant
15
           EDUARDO SANTACANA, ESO.
    BY:
            esantacana@willkie.com
16
           NOORJAHAN RAHMAN, ESO. (Via Zoom)
17
            nrahman@willkie.com
18
    ALSO PRESENT:
19
      CARA HUNT, Summer Associate, Willkie (Via
      Zoom)
20
      JOHN BLACK (Via Zoom)
21
      PAUL BAKER, Videographer
22
23
24
25
                                           Page 2
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 4 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
2	We are going on the record at 10:05
3	a.m. eastern daylight time on Monday,
4	June 26th, 2023. Please note that the
5	microphones are sensitive and may pick
6	up whispering and private
7	conversations. Please turn off all
8	cell phones at this time.
9	This is media unit one of the
10	video-recorded deposition of Jonathan
11	Hochman in the matter of Anibal
12	Rodriguez, et al., versus Google LLC,
13	filed in the United States District
14	Court, Northern District of California.
15	This deposition is being held at
16	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP located at
17	787 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York.
18	My name is Paul Baker and I am
19	the videographer, the court reporter is
20	Todd DeSimone, and we are both from
21	Veritext.
22	Appearances have been noted on
23	the stenographic record. Would the
2 4	court reporter please swear in the
25	witness.
	Page 3
	rage 3

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 5 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	* * *
2	JONATHAN HOCHMAN,
3	called as a witness, having been first
4	duly sworn, was examined and testified
5	as follows:
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. SANTACANA:
7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hochman. Our
8	appearances are already on the record, so
9	we will just get started.
10	You have been deposed before?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. How many times?
13	A. Over 50.
14	Q. Okay. And you have served as a
15	litigation expert before?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. How many engagements roughly?
18	A. Hundreds.
19	Q. Hundreds. You are currently
20	retained by the plaintiffs in this action?
21	A. Yes, I believe so, the class.
22	Q. And how many hours have you
23	spent roughly on this engagement?
2 4	A. I'm not quite sure of my
25	personal hours, but I know that between
	Page 4

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 6 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Julie Burns and I it's a total of about I
2	think 900 hours.
3	Q. Does she bill at your same
4	rate?
5	A. No.
6	Q. What's her rate?
7	A. I don't remember exactly what
8	it is for this case, but it's substantially
9	less than mine.
10	Q. Roughly half?
11	A. Roughly a third.
12	Q. Roughly a third, okay. So
13	blended, in total, do you have a sense of
14	how much you're looking at in terms of
15	revenue from the case at this point?
16	A. I'm not sure of the revenue.
17	I'm not quite sure.
18	Q. How would you break down the
19	900 hours between you and Julie?
20	A. I'm not sure. I think she has
21	probably put in more hours than I have, and
22	that's my sense of it.
2 3	Q. 60/40, 70/30?
2 4	A. I'm not sure.
2 5	Q. Roughly how many hours do you
	Page 5

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 7 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	think you've put in, order of magnitude?
2	A. Hundreds.
3	Q. Hundreds. Did you review John
4	Black's report?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you review the backup for
7	the report?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What's Julie's role in all
10	this?
11	A. Julie has been assisting me and
12	she's especially good at fact checking.
13	She is very detail-oriented and I have
14	asked her to check all the references, and
15	I think this report that I wrote, because
16	of her help, has fewer little errors in it
17	than the typical report.
18	I tried to get all the line
19	numbers right, all the page numbers right,
2 0	get every word right. She made a lot of
21	little corrections where things were maybe
22	not quite right, and she can also flag
23	something if she sees something that is
2 4	unclear, then I can go and pay attention to
25	it and make sure it is more clear.
	Page 6

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 8 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. Well, my compliments to Julie, 2 I think she did a good job. 3 Α. Oh, good. Did Julie do any of the 4 Q. 5 programming, coding, test app type work, or 6 was that all you? 7 Α. That was me and other people. 8 What other people? 0. The ones identified in the 9 Α. I have listed the other 10 11 consultants who assisted me. 12 Okay. Do they have separate --Ο. 13 a separate hours bucket than you and Julie? 14 So they're not billed through Α. 15 my firm, so I don't have insight into that. 16 Ο. They are billed directly to the 17 plaintiffs or the plaintiffs' lawyers? 18 I would assume so. Α. 19 Are those the Concur IP folks? 0. 20 Α. They are some of them. 21 And is there another shop Q. 22 involved? 23 Well, the report lists the 24 names of the various people and I'm not --25 it is sort of -- I'm not sure who is Page 7

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 9 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 necessarily connected, how they are 2 billing. 3 Okay. But you directed their 0. 4 work? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And did you personally review O . 7 the code that they wrote? 8 A . Yes, I reviewed everything that 9 they did. 10 Ο. Okay. Do you have a sense of 11 how many hours they put into this? 12 I'm sure it's hundreds to 13 thousands. 14 Q. How would you characterize 15 their role on the case other than what's 16 written in your report? A. I mean, I think I've tried in 17 the report to give an explanation. 18 19 0. Okay. 20 Α. And I think that that's, you 21 know, a good explanation, what I wrote. 22 Q. Okay. So who is representing 23 you in this deposition? 24 Mark Mao. He is I guess the Α. 25 plaintiff for the -- the plaintiffs' Page 8

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 10 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	counsel, and, well, it may be a legal
2	question, is he representing me or is he
3	just here, but he is defending my
4	deposition.
5	Q. Okay. Well, have you retained
6	him as your lawyer to respond to the
7	subpoena, for example?
8	A. I know that one of the firms
9	put together a response, I know that there
10	was a subpoena, and I know that there was a
11	response.
12	Q. Did you review the subpoena?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did you review the response?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Did you approve it?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. So have you retained any of
19	those lawyers to be your lawyer in response
20	to the subpoena?
21	A. That may be like a legal
22	question, but essentially they're
23	responding on my behalf.
2 4	Q. Let me ask it a different way.
25	Did you sign any retention
	Page 9

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 11 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	agreement or any engagement letter with any
2	lawyers in response in relation to that
3	subpoena?
4	A. I don't recall if there was
5	something at an earlier stage in this
6	process. I didn't sign anything within the
7	last week or two. I don't remember signing
8	anything in the last week or two. But it
9	may be covered by some prior agreement.
10	Q. And you didn't pay anybody to
11	respond to the subpoena for you or to
12	defend your deposition?
13	A. I mean, that's not how it
14	generally works, so no.
15	Q. Okay. Have you been retained
16	by the Boies Schiller firm before?
17	A. No, other than the Brown case.
18	Q. So there is Brown and there is
19	this. No other engagements with Boies
20	Schiller?
21	A. No.
22	Q. What about Susman Godfrey?
2 3	A. I worked on a prior case with
24	Susman Godfrey on a patent case for them.
25	Q. When?
	Page 10

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 12 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. Several years ago.
2	Q. Retained by any other lawyers
3	in this case for any other engagements?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Your report, I have a paper
6	copy of your report here, or at least the
7	written parts, the non-native parts of it,
8	and there is also one on there. I don't
9	think your report had a description of your
10	assignment in the case. On page 7 it says
11	Engagement. Paragraphs 9 through 12, would
12	you say that's a fair, let me hand this to
13	you, would you say that's a fair summary of
14	your assignment in the case?
15	A. Okay, so before you asked the
16	question you made an assertion, and I feel
17	like the assertion wasn't in accord with
18	what's in here.
19	Q. I'll withdraw it.
20	(Hochman Exhibit 1 marked for
21	identification.)
2 2	Q. Let me instead just say I'm
2 3	looking at paragraphs 9 through 12 of your
2 4	report, which is Exhibit 1, which we have
2 5	premarked. Is this a fair summary of your
	Page 11

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 13 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 14 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	assignment there on paragraph 9, did you do
2	your best to complete that assignment
3	truthfully, honestly and according to a
4	sound methodology?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Is it your role in the case to
7	provide opinions that are favorable to
8	either party?
9	A. No.
10	Q. How would you describe your
11	role as an expert witness?
12	A. I'm a neutral, so my job is to
13	explain the facts as accurately as I can
14	and to provide opinions that are as
15	accurate as I can.
16	Q. And that's the case even if
17	those facts turn out to lead to a
18	conclusion less favorable to the people who
19	retained you, right?
20	A. That may happen sometimes.
21	Q. Did it happen in this case?
2 2	A. I don't recall it happening,
2 3	but if I did find something I would have
2 4	told the attorneys about it. I would have
2 5	said oh, there's a problem with your
	Page 13

1 argument here, you need to adjust it. 2 Okay. But sitting here today, Ο. 3 you don't recall coming to any conclusions that are less favorable to the people who 4 5 retained you; is that fair to say? Well, I'm not necessarily 6 7 thinking about what's favorable or 8 unfavorable, I'm thinking about what's 9 accurate, and I don't necessarily even know what's favorable or not because part of 10 11 that may turn on legal questions, which I'm 12 not opining about. Okay. So when you say there's 13 Q. a problem with your argument, you may want 1 4 15 to adjust it, is a better way to say that 16 there is a problem with the facts as you 17 believe them to be, this is what the facts 18 really are? 19 If I were to say something like that to a client, which does happen, you 20 21 know, regularly, it's usually that I've 22 seen some additional fact that they're 23 unaware of or they have misinterpreted 24 something. It is really fairly common when 25 a law firm comes in to me with a case that Page 14

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 16 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 they've not completely accurately assessed 2. it. 3 Sure. 0. And sometimes there are 4 Α. 5 adjustments. I explain it, what I've seen, 6 and I investigate and I tell them, okay, here's, you know, an issue with your theory where it's not exactly right, you know, the 8 real situation is a little different. 9 10 0. Okay. 11 And that's part I think of what 12 a good expert will do. 13 If it turns out that you have Q. 1 4 made mistakes in your report, are you open 15 to correcting those mistakes? 16 I'm all about the accuracy, so if there are mistakes, I would, in general, 17 18 with all reports, endeavor to correct them. 19 And would you agree with me 0. 20 that your obligation to provide neutral 21 expertise here doesn't end with your 22 report, if you discover something after the 23 report is done that indicates you were wrong about something, you are obligated to 24 25 admit that, right? Page 15

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 17 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I would issue a correction or
2	supplementation if there is a problem, if a
3	problem is discovered, because, as you can
4	see, the report is voluminous, it is quite
5	huge, and I'm not so proud that I wouldn't
6	admit an error, especially with something
7	so large. There is there is well, it
8	is hard to be perfect as a human. There is
9	usually some kind of error rate with all
10	with human-mediated process there is
11	usually an error rate.
12	Q. I think you said you did review
13	the Black report and the backups?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You did that review personally?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And what about Julie Burns, did
18	she do any fact checking of that report?
19	A. I had I did ask Julie to
20	read over that report and give me her
21	impressions of it.
2 2	Q. Sitting here today, is there
23	anything, based on anything you've learned
2 4	since you served your final report, is
25	there anything that you want to correct in
	Page 16

```
1
    this report?
2.
         Α.
                Not yet.
3
                 Okay. I want to ask you some
         0.
    questions about the limits of your opinions
4
5
    in the case, if I can.
6
                 So are you offering any opinion
7
    in this case as to what consumers believed
8
    or expected?
         A. I don't think so. That doesn't
9
    sound like something I've opined about.
10
11
    Maybe as we go through the report we will
    find something I've said, but that doesn't
12
13
    seem to be one of the main things I was
14
    opining about, no.
15
                Are you an expert in consumer
16
    expectations?
                Well, I have expertise in
17
         Α.
    internet marketing, internet security, so I
18
19
    know something about consumers and consumer
20
    behavior online, and, well, I will give you
21
    an example, if you have a setting in a
    piece of software, I might sometimes
22
23
    comment, you know, most people will use
24
    software with the default settings, all
25
    right, because that's kind of a well-known
                                           Page 17
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 19 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 fact, and if you're in this field you 2 should know that. 3 Have you developed any O . expertise about consumer behavior online 4 5 apart from what are otherwise well-known 6 facts about consumer behavior online? 7 I mean, when I say well-known 8 facts, these are well known to people who 9 are experts in this stuff, they are not necessarily well known to the layperson. 10 11 But I have understandings about how 12 consumers, you know, interact with ads, how 13 they interact with web pages, how they 1 4 interact with apps, I have to have some 15 knowledge of that in order to do my job. 16 0. Sure. Well, and I just want to 17 be clear, my question is not if you have 18 some knowledge about it. My question is do 19 you consider yourself to be an expert in 20 this case about consumer expectations? 21 Well, I think I answered it 22 before, and the way I answered it before, I 23 think that was a good answer. I would just 24 sort of repeat that, you know, that doesn't 25 seem to be one of my main opinions. Page 18

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 20 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Well, okay, I mean, with
2	respect, your answer was basically I don't
3	know, I would have to look at my report.
4	A. Well, no, that's not exactly
5	what I said. What I said was I don't think
6	that's one of the opinions I'm giving, not
7	one of the main opinions. There might be
8	something tangential somewhere which
9	touches upon that, so I don't want to be
10	categorical and say absolutely nothing at
11	all, but that's not the thrust of my
12	opinions.
13	Q. Fair enough. Would you
14	consider yourself to be a consumer privacy
15	expert?
16	A. I have expertise in the issue
17	of privacy. I have done academic work in
18	that area. As far as consumer privacy, I
19	don't think I'm here as the consumer
20	privacy expert.
21	Q. Okay. Are you offering any
2 2	opinion in this case as to whether Google
2 3	misled its users?
2 4	A. So I think in this case I've
2 5	talked about how the, technically, for
	Page 19

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 21 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 example, the WAA/sWAA switch, I have called 2 it a fake control, because it doesn't do -technically doesn't do what it seems it 3 should do. So I have to -- there is 4 5 some -- I guess there is a little bit of 6 overlap into that, but I'm not giving you a legal opinion about, you know, about that, 8 I'm simply opining about the technology, 9 how does the technology work. 10 Is another way to say that that 11 you see your role here as comparing what 12 Google said it would do and at a technical 13 level what Google does or did? 1 4 It may even be simpler than Α. 15 that. It just is I am going to explain 16 what this control does, what it does, and 17 if I comment on what impression this is going to give people or what impression 18 19 even Google's own staff have about this or their confusion about it, I think I have a 20 21 number of paragraphs that talk about how even the Google insiders are confused about 22 23 how these controls work. It looks like 24 even Sundar Pichai is confused about how 25 this control works because he testified in Page 20

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 22 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	front of Congress and told them something
2	that is just wrong from a technical
3	perspective, you know, I am commenting on
4	the technology, but I may make some other
5	comments about people's impressions because
6	it helps to establish the relevance of the
7	technical analysis.
8	Q. What relevance do Sundar
9	Pichai's comments at Congress have to have
10	with the way the control works or doesn't
11	work?
12	A. Well, it's in my report. We
13	can we can go down, it's towards the end
14	of the report I think.
15	Q. I'm asking about your answer.
16	You said that it helps establish the
17	relevance of the technical analysis to make
18	comments about what Googlers think or what
19	Sundar Pichai said. I'm curious what the
20	connection is between, for example, the
21	CEO's testimony in Congress and how the
22	button actual works at a technical level.
23	A. Well, I think it's going to be
2 4	relevant to help resolve the case to
25	observe what the public statements by
	Page 21

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 23 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Google are, that this is the way this thing
2	works, versus how it actually works, which
3	is what I'm providing, how does this thing
4	actually work.
5	Q. Okay. So that brings us back
6	to my original question, which is you
7	looked at both what Google said about how
8	it works and also how it works in your
9	report?
10	A. My report is primarily about
11	how it actually works. I have some
12	comments along the way noting things that
13	Google has said on its web pages, things
14	that Google how the user interface
15	looks, what Google's inside staff have said
16	about it, what Sundar Pichai said about it,
17	and I think all these facts are pertinent
18	and relevant and that the factfinder should
19	take them into consideration.
2 0	Q. In deciding what?
21	A. The ultimate issues in dispute.
22	Q. Why do you think the factfinder
2 3	should take them into consideration?
2 4	A. Because they seem relevant.
25	Q. Why do they seem relevant?
	Page 22
	rage 22

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 24 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Because they seem to shed light 2 on the issues that are in dispute. 3 What do you mean by that? 0. 4 Well, I've read the complaint Α. 5 and I've read the answer and I've read a 6 bunch of other documents in the case and I have a general idea of what the dispute is 8 about. 9 Q. Okay. So then can you tell me how it sheds light on the dispute? 10 11 I mean, to me it's sort of just logic, it's someone should understand is 12 13 this control working as advertised, does 1 4 this control work the way it's supposed to. 15 How did you come to a 16 conclusion about what the control is 17 supposed to do? 18 Well, I think that may be Α. 19 something that, you know, the factfinder needs to determine, because I think that 20 21 that might be in dispute. Google might have one position, this is what the control 22 23 is supposed to do, and the plaintiffs might 24 have a different position there. 25 So I think you said earlier a 0. Page 23

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 25 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    few minutes ago that you came to the
2
    conclusion that the WAA control is a fake
3
    control because it doesn't do, quote, "what
    it seems it should do." I assume by that
4
5
    you meant what it seems to you it should
6
    do?
7
                Well, it's not just me.
         Α.
8
                Sure. But -- well, what did
         0.
    you mean when you said "what it seems it
9
    should do"? That's based on your
10
11
    understanding of it?
12
                Well, it's based on my
13
    understanding of it and it's also based on
14
    the understanding of the various people
15
    I've quoted and cited in the report who
16
    have also made comments, and it is also
17
    based on looking at the user interface and
18
    seeing what's shown to the user.
19
         Q. On you looking at the
    interface?
20
21
                 I have looked at the interface
22
    and other people who are quoted have also
23
    looked at the interface and it's -- it
24
    looks like it is a control that allows
25
    users to have some privacy and to not have
                                          Page 24
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 26 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 their sensitive information, what is the word I want here, to not have their 2 sensitive information harvested and saved. 3 4 Q. What does "harvested" mean in 5 that sentence? 6 It means -- another way to look 7 at it is the user is in some app and they 8 are doing some activity and they would like 9 that activity to remain private. They don't want that activity recorded, copied 10 11 off somewhere else, or the expectation is 12 not to have that information copied off 13 somewhere else and stored somewhere else, especially when that activity is being 14 15 stored with Google identifiers that link to 16 them. 17 Okay. So back to your prior O . answer, and, again, for now I'm just trying 18 19 to understand the limits of your opinion, 20 apart from what it seems to you the control 21 should do and the quotations you referenced 22 that are in your report, is there any other 23 basis for your conclusion about what the 24 WAA control should do? 25 I mean, I have my experience in Α. Page 25

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 27 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    working with technology and working as a
2
    security technologist for many years.
3
    have my academic study of the topic.
4
         Q.
                So, sorry, when I say what it
5
    seems to you, I'm including all of your
6
    expertise, all of your experience,
7
    everything. I'm just saying, again, I'm
8
    just trying to understand what you are and
9
    aren't opining on.
                 So you said that it seems the
10
11
    WAA control should do something, and we
    will get into what that something is. What
12
13
    it seems the WAA control should do to you
1 4
    and the people you quote in the report, I
15
    understand that. Is there any other
16
    opinion, basis, like a consumer survey,
    other documents that are not cited in the
17
    report, other experts you are relying on,
18
19
    is there anything like that informing your
    statement that the WAA control seems it
20
21
    should do something in particular?
                Okay. So, well, I'm going to
22
         Α.
23
    go through the list that you gave and I
24
    don't mind if the question was compound,
25
    but you have asked about a number of
                                          Page 26
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 28 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 different things and I will try to remember 2 them all, and remind me if I miss any. 3 Well, the question is, is there Ο. anything other than you and what's in your 4 5 report? 6 So I'm not relying on other Α. 7 experts' opinions. For example, I'm not 8 relying on anything Bruce Schneier has said because I know he has covered some of these 9 topics extensively. 10 11 Ο. All right. 12 Α. And I'm not being redundant 13 with his opinions. He's got his opinions 14 and my opinions are designed to be 15 technical and to not be redundant with his. 16 I am not relying on documents 17 that I haven't cited into the report other 18 than, you know, sort of education and 19 expertise and everything I have absorbed from everything I have ever read, but I'm 20 21 not relying on specific citations that I 22 haven't disclosed here. 23 And there was I think a third 24 thing you mentioned, which I have now 25 forgotten.

Page 27

1	Q. Surveys.
2	A. Surveys. I am not a survey
3	expert, so I have not done surveys and I
4	don't do surveys.
5	Q. And you don't cite any in your
6	report as a basis for your opinion on what
7	WAA should do, right?
8	A. I don't necessarily I don't
9	necessarily want to make a categorical
10	statement like that, but I don't recall
11	citing such a thing. Whatever is in the
12	report speaks for itself.
13	Q. Okay, good.
14	And I assume you're not
15	offering any opinion as to whether Google
16	has violated any law in particular?
17	A. No, I am not a lawyer.
18	Q. And you are not offering any
19	opinion as to whether Google has committed
20	any particular invasion of privacy or
21	violation of the California constitution?
22	A. I am not giving any sort of
23	legal opinion.
2 4	Q. And you're not offering any
25	opinion as to the damages that Google
	Page 28

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 30 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	should pay in this case?
2	A. I haven't put forward a damages
3	number. I believe that the damages experts
4	may be relying on some of the statements
5	that I've made in my report or in
6	conversation they had with me.
7	Q. Yeah, that reminds me, you had
8	some conversations with the damages expert,
9	Mr. Lasinsky, prior to him serving his
10	expert report in the case, right?
11	A. I believe so.
12	Q. How long were those
13	conversations?
14	A. It was a while ago, so I don't
15	remember the exact length, but it was long
16	enough to go over the issues and have a
17	sort of complete discussion of them and
18	make sure he understood.
19	Q. So can you give me a sense of
20	what "long enough" means? Five minutes?
21	Five hours? Five days?
22	A. Well, more than five minutes,
2 3	and less than five hours.
2 4	Q. Okay. In total?
25	A. I believe so.
	Page 29

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 31 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 0. And have you had any conversations with him since he served his 2 3 report? I don't recall, because I don't 4 Α. 5 necessarily have it in mind when exactly he served his report and when exactly I had 6 conversations with him. 8 Ο. Have you had any conversations 9 with him since you served your report? 10 I'm not sure. I wouldn't say 1 1 for sure that I haven't, but I don't recall 12 having a conversation with him after 13 serving my report, but I'm not sure, because I don't recall if he served his 1 4 15 report after my report. I don't remember. 16 Do you remember the last 17 conversation you had with him? 18 I'm not sure I remember with Α. 19 specificity the last conversation. I just remember having spoken with him on a few 20 21 occasions. 22 What did you talk about? 0. 23 Α. It would have been this case, 24 the things that I would have spoken about 25 with him recently. Page 30

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 32 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Did you relay anything to him
2	that is not reflected in your report about
3	the technology in this case?
4	A. I don't think so. I think that
5	what I explained to him was just sort of a
6	summary of what's already in the report.
7	But the report, as you can imagine, is very
8	detailed and goes into great depth, and for
9	a nontechnical person it's not certain that
10	they could understand the whole thing.
11	Q. Did he seem to understand it?
12	A. He seemed to understand what I
13	explained to him.
14	Q. Do you know if he has reviewed
15	your report?
16	A. I don't recall. He might have.
17	I don't recall.
18	Q. Did you express any opinion to
19	him about the damages Google should pay in
2 0	the case?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Let's talk about injunctive
2 3	relief. Did you well, first, let me ask
2 4	you, there is an opinion in your report, a
25	set of opinions, about how Google could
	Page 31

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 33 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	change its practices and other things
2	Google could do. It's the last major
3	opinion in the report.
4	A. Are you referring to K?
5	Q. Yes. My question is about the
6	limits of this opinion. Are you opining
7	that Google should do these things or are
8	you opining about what Google could do?
9	A. I'm opining about what is
10	technologically feasible.
11	Q. Okay. You're not opining as to
12	what you think Google should do?
13	A. That seems to be more of a
14	legal question, so no.
15	Q. Okay. And are you in this
16	opinion, were you responding to a prompt
17	about strike that.
18	You list three major things
19	Google could do. It could change how WAA
2 0	functions, it could purge certain things,
21	and it could delete certain things, is how
2 2	I would describe your opinion. So just
2 3	stick with me for a second. My question is
2 4	how did you come up with those items of
2 5	things Google could do? Were you asked can
	Page 32

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 34 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Google do one of the following things, or
2	were you asked a more general question and
3	you came up with your own?
4	MR. MAO: Just objection to the
5	form of the question. The document
6	speaks for itself. Go ahead.
7	A. All right. So let me just take
8	a quick look through it, because the answer
9	might be in here in fact.
10	Q. Sure. It's at 168.
11	A. Yes, I'm there.
12	(Witness perusing document.)
13	Q. And just generally speaking,
14	Mr. Hochman, I will try not to waste your
15	time and ask you questions that are
16	answered by the report today.
17	A. Fair enough.
18	Q. I may not succeed, but I will
19	try.
2 0	A. And in response to that
21	courtesy, I will also try to give you the
22	information you are seeking even if you
23	don't ask the question exactly the right
2 4	way, because I prefer to take the high
25	road.
	Page 33

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 35 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         Q.
                 Well, as you've said, you're
2
    not a lawyer.
3
                 So I don't recall exactly how,
         Α.
    you know, there is, obviously in this case,
4
5
    there is a discussion between me and my
    clients. They asked me what I think, I
6
    asked them what their questions are, and
    I'm not sure exactly whether they asked me
8
9
    a general question, whether they asked me
    specifics on each of these points. I just
10
11
    don't recall.
12
         Ο.
                Yeah. It's just as a reader of
13
    your report, right, paragraph 9 says you
1 4
    were retained to develop opinions
15
    concerning the technology and practices at
16
    issue, and you get to page 168 and that's
17
    all you've talked about, and then just
18
    right out of nowhere you say I think Google
19
    could change the following things, and it
    is just kind of jarring, like it is unclear
20
21
    why you are even considering whether Google
22
    could change those things.
23
                 Do you recall where that came
24
    from?
25
                I mean, I think what it arises
         Α.
                                           Page 34
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 36 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	from is that I'm aware that the plaintiffs
2	are asking for injunctive relief and then
3	so it becomes well, what sort of injunction
4	should we ask for, like what can they do,
5	what's feasible or not. Because I would
6	imagine the plaintiffs don't want to ask
7	Google to do something that's impossible,
8	because that would be silly.
9	Q. Okay. So fair to say, then,
10	that this is not meant to be an exhaustive
11	list of what I will say what I will call
12	corrective measures that might exist in
13	this case or injunctive relief that would
14	satisfy the plaintiffs' claims, it's a
15	list, but it's not exhaustive?
16	A. The way I look at this section,
17	these are examples. These are examples of
18	things that Google could do.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. That are feasible, that Google
21	could do what's in 409, Google could do
22	what's in 410.
23	Q. Okay.
2 4	A. So that's the purpose of that
2 5	section.
	Page 35

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 37 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         Q.
                 Got it. All right, let's talk
2
    about the phrase "Google account." So your
3
    report defines this phrase at paragraph
4
    111. If you could just flip to that for
5
    me.
6
                 One minute. Give me a second
7
    to read it just before you ask the question
8
    because it will -- it will work better that
9
    way. 111, are you sure that's the right
10
    paragraph?
                 No, I'm not. 136.
11
         Q.
12
         Α.
                 Okay.
13
                 And in particular the footnote,
         Q.
14
    104.
15
         Α.
                 Okay, just a second.
16
                 (Witness perusing document.)
17
                 Okay, yes, I see that.
         Α.
18
                 Okay. So just to put it in the
         Q.
19
    record, your footnote says that "Google
    Account" means the trove of data that
20
21
    Google collects and saves regarding a user,
22
    including data that Google characterizes as
23
    pseudonymous. Do you see that?
24
         Α.
                 Yes.
25
                 Okay. The first question is
         0.
                                           Page 36
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 38 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	just throughout your report wherever you
2	say "Google Account" with a capital A on
3	"Account," is it fair to assume that's the
4	definition you were using?
5	A. That's a great question,
6	because this term "Google Account" is sort
7	of like the word golf club, it could refer
8	to the building and the golf course, like
9	the Hartford Golf Club, but it could also
10	refer to the implement of destruction that
11	you use to tear up the grass when you flub
12	the ball.
13	Q. How many times have you used
14	that one?
15	A. Never. First use.
16	Q. It's pretty good.
17	A. Thank you. In internet
18	terminology, an account can sometimes mean
19	a log-in, right? So I have two Google
20	accounts, I have a personal log-in and I
21	have a Yale University log-in which is
22	powered by Google, all right? So I'm
23	talking about log-ins there.
2 4	An account, in internet
25	terminology, when you think of an account
	Page 37

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 39 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 on a platform, that is the -- includes the 2 data related to that user, that's their 3 account, or their account data is the whole 4 trove of information that relates to that 5 user. 6 So I tried to be controlled in 7 my language, but that one I'm pretty sure I 8 may have crossed the wires at some point in 9 the report. So we should probably look at 10 instances that concern you and clarify 11 them, if there is any instance that you 12 think I may have said Google account when I 13 really meant Google log-in, we should -- we can clear that up. 1 4 15 Okay. That's good to know. 16 Because I do -- I want to understand what 17 you mean whenever you mention Google account in the report, which is mentioned a 18 19 lot, and sometimes it is capitalized and sometimes it's not. Is it -- would you 20 21 say, generally speaking, the definition in 22 104 is the one you were working with but 23 sometimes the wires might have gotten 24 crossed and you used log-in instead? 25 Α. Well, I'm not sure that the Page 38

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 40 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 wires ever got crossed. It could be the case that it was all done perfectly, but it 2 3 is also -- I'm just aware of the potential for confusion on that issue. 4 5 0. Yes. So I just want to be -- alert 6 7 you to that and make sure we are all clear. 8 Because I think it is fair to be -- and we want to be clear. I don't want -- I don't 9 want there to be confusion. 10 11 Yeah. And, well, it is an 0. 12 important phrase because it appears in the 13 description of the WAA control, right? 1 4 Well, where it appears in the Α. 15 description of the WAA control, that is 16 Google's use of the phrase. What they 17 think it means may be different than what I 18 think it means. 19 Understood. I'm just saying 0. 20 it's an important phrase in the case. So 21 just to ask it again, generally speaking, 22 when you used this term in your report, is 23 the definition in 104, should that be the 24 definition? I will cover maybe potential 25 ambiguities, but I'm trying to figure out Page 39

1 what your default is. 2 Okay. So I'm saying here I am Α. referring collectively to the trove of data 3 that Google collects and saves regarding a 4 5 user. So I'm aware that Google may save data in different locations, depending on 6 where that WAA/sWAA switch is set. It is 8 still collecting the same data and still 9 saving it, but it may save it in different places, okay? Logically, it's -- the user's 10 11 account, the accounting of the user's activity includes all their activity that 12 13 relates to them. 1 4 I understand the definition 0. 15 that you have written here. What I am --16 what I am asking about is when you use the 17 phrase "Google account" elsewhere in your 18 report, is the default assumption -- the 19 default assumption be that this is the 20 definition that you were using or did you 21 use different definitions in different 22 contexts intentionally? I should say did 23 you use different definitions in different 24 contexts intentionally? 25 Let's put it this way, I Α. Page 40

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 42 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	intended to be consistent, but there may be
2	something where I'm saying Google, you
3	know, if your sWAA and WAA setting is off,
4	your history will not show up in your I
5	might have said won't show up in your
6	Google account, in other words, the page
7	that Google displays to the user, which I
8	think is how they described the Google
9	account.
10	So I think you have to it's
11	safest to look at the context, but you
12	understand what my idea is, and then you
13	should also look at the context and you've
14	got a couple of hints there.
15	Q. Agreed.
16	A. About what's going on.
17	Q. I agree to do that and I'm
18	not this is not an, oh, you used it here
19	and it means something else, that's not
20	what I'm trying to do, but I want to make
21	sure that I understand the schema in your
22	mind of "Google account" when you're
2 3	opining in this report and using that
2 4	phrase or, for example well, let's just
25	say when you're using the phrase for now.
	Page 41

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 43 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	So when you're using the
2	phrase, just to be clear, the definition in
3	104 is what you intended for the most part
4	to be using unless the context indicates
5	otherwise?
6	A. I think that's a pretty fair
7	summary of what I've just said.
8	Q. Great, okay. There may be a
9	few points where I want to clarify an
10	ambiguity in the report, but I will come
11	back to those later in the day.
12	So you are aware that your
13	definition in 104 of "Google Account"
14	differs from the definition that Google
15	uses in its privacy policy, right?
16	A. So I'm aware that Google has a
17	different idea of what that means. I've
18	actually looked pretty hard all over
19	Google's public-facing web pages to find an
2 0	exact definition of Google account, and I
21	don't believe there's a clear definition
22	anywhere that I've found. I've looked for
23	it.
2 4	Q. So the definitions that you've
25	found on Google's web pages you think may
	Page 42

1	be confusing?
2	A. Well, I would say that I've
3	looked for a precise definition and I
4	haven't, because I would like to cite it,
5	but I haven't it sort of is one of those
6	terms that's just used but it kind of
7	it's not necessarily made clear.
8	Q. Is that different than what I
9	said? You find that Google's use of the
10	term "Google account" on its web pages may
11	be confusing?
12	MR. MAO: Objection to the form
13	of the question. Go ahead.
14	A. So I don't want to opine about
15	someone else's state of mind. What I'm
16	saying is that in looking for this, it's
17	"Google account" is a term that doesn't
18	necessarily have a definition that's
19	publicly facing that answers or that is
2 0	responsive to the definition I'm providing
21	in footnote 104.
2 2	Q. So when Google uses the phrase
2 3	"Google account" on its web pages, is it
2 4	confusing to you what precisely it means?
2 5	A. In the context of the WAA and
	Page 43

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 45 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	sWAA control, the idea that Google is
2	when WAA is set one way is collecting and
3	saving my data in my Google account for
4	presentation to me in what they call the
5	Google account or the pages that are made
6	available to the user, that's one
7	possibility, if the control is set that
8	way. If those controls are turned off,
9	Google is still collecting and saving that
10	data with Google identifiers that link to
11	me, but they are saving that data in some
12	other place where I can't see it. So
13	that's, in my opinion, counterintuitive.
14	Q. Understood, and I understand
15	that from your report. I actually meant a
16	slightly different question.
17	When Google uses the phrase
18	"Google account," not just in the WAA page,
19	it uses it in the privacy policy,
20	elsewhere, you said you reviewed web pages
21	that say that, right?
2 2	A. I look for the clearest
2 3	definition I could find of "Google account"
2 4	and I didn't find anything that was
25	completely satisfactory to me in explaining
	Page 44

1 that, defining that. 2 And also just this whole golf club issue, I mean, there may be some cases 3 where Google is also using that term to 4 mean log-in. It is possible. And, I mean, 5 maybe I should pose this back to you, which 6 is if you think there is a good definition 8 of it somewhere, like please do show it to 9 me, let's discuss it, because I'm, as I 10 said, all about the accuracy. I would like 11 to be accurate and get to the bottom of 12 this. 13 Yeah, and I will give you the Q. one from the privacy policy which John 14 15 Black quotes in his report. But a couple 16 more clarifying questions on sort of the 17 terminology you used in your report. 18 Sometimes you quote Google 19 employees in your report, and in those 20 quotations sometimes the phrase "account" or "Google account" appear. When you 21 22 did -- when you read those mentions of the 23 phrase "Google account," did you understand 24 the definition those employees to be using 25 to be the same as yours or different or you Page 45

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 47 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	just weren't sure?
2	A. Okay, so I at least had in mind
3	that they might use the term differently
4	than I would, and I also had in mind that
5	that term could depend on the context, were
6	they referring to a log-in, are they
7	referring to a specific web page that
8	displays the user history, or were they
9	referring to the actual trove of data that
10	Google collects about each user.
11	Q. And is it fair to say that at
12	least sometimes Google employees in the
13	documents you reviewed were using the
14	phrase "Google account" and GAIA ID
15	interchangeably?
16	A. I mean, I considered that a
17	possibility. We would have to go look at
18	each one probably because it's, you know,
19	it is highly dependent on context.
2 0	Q. Okay. You reviewed Google's
21	interrogatory responses?
2 2	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. All of them or only certain
2 4	ones?
25	A. I asked for them and I listed
	Page 46

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 48 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	the ones that I have received. I mean,
2	there may be interrogatory responses that
3	are just not even relevant to what I'm
4	doing so I wouldn't want to be spammed with
5	a bunch of irrelevant data, but I would
6	have asked for and received everything that
7	was relevant.
8	MR. SANTACANA: Okay. Let's go
9	off for one second.
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
11	10:52 a.m. We are off the record.
12	(Recess taken.)
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
14	10:53 a.m. We are back on the record.
15	BY MR. SANTACANA:
16	Q. In the interrogatory responses
17	you reviewed sometimes Google used the
18	phrase "Google account," right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. In those instances did you
21	understand the phrase "Google account" to
22	be the same or different than your
2 3	definition in footnote 104?
2 4	A. Oh, I understood it to be used
25	the way Google uses it.
	Page 47

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 49 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. And what is that -- what is the 2 way that Google uses it? 3 I believe that Google considers Α. the Google account to be the subset of the 4 5 trove of data related to the user that is stored with their GAIA ID. 6 7 Q. Okay. And what is that belief 8 based on? 9 Α. Everything I looked at in this 10 case. 11 If you hadn't looked at 12 nonpublic information, would that have been 13 your belief? Well, let me strike that. 14 Before you started -- before 15 you were engaged in this case or in the 16 Brown case, before you were engaged by anybody who was suing Google, did you have 17 18 an understanding what the phrase "Google 19 account" meant? 20 I mean, I think I had a general 21 understanding that it's oh, I can log in to 22 Google and, oh, my account has some 23 collection of data about me. But that's 24 just a general -- it's not something I 25 necessarily gave a lot of thought to and I Page 48

1	certainly didn't understand prior to
2	studying everything in this case how the
3	WAA and sWAA controls actually work. I had
4	no idea that they behaved the way they do.
5	Q. At some point you were retained
6	to work on these cases, these pair of cases
7	against Google and you hadn't yet seen any
8	nonpublic information, right? You reviewed
9	the complaint, probably reviewed some web
10	pages. At that point did you develop any
11	preliminary opinions or understandings of
12	what the phrase "Google account" meant?
13	A. I don't I think I was
14	open-minded at the beginning and I looked
15	at the information and tried to form my
16	opinions after having reviewed things.
17	Q. Okay. So when you were first
18	retained in this case, I assume you
19	reviewed pretty early on the description of
2 0	the WAA control, including the part which
21	uses the phrase "Google account"; fair to
2 2	say?
2 3	A. I don't know that I would put
2 4	it that way just because early on I was
25	focusing on the Brown case and I had a lot
	Page 49

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 51 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	to think about with that case, a lot to pay
2	attention to there, and I don't know that I
3	was thinking so much about this case at
4	that point.
5	Q. I think the WAA control comes
6	up in that case in some tangential way too,
7	right? Had you reviewed it in that case
8	before you were retained in this case?
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. Okay. So at some point you
11	reviewed the complaint in this case, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And that includes descriptions
14	about what Google tells users about what
15	WAA does, right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. At that point did you have any
18	sort of preconceived notions about what the
19	WAA control should and shouldn't do or
2 0	would and wouldn't do at a technological
21	level?
2 2	MR. MAO: Objection to the form
2 3	of the question. Go ahead.
2 4	A. I don't think so, no.
25	Q. You've used Google Analytics
	Page 50

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 52 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	before professionally, right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And you have had Google
4	accounts for a while?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Had you ever used the WAA
7	button before?
8	A. I don't recall when I first
9	used it. I do have it today set to off and
10	I have sort of turned off a lot of the
11	privacy things with Google and others. But
12	there's I have a different reason for
13	that.
14	Q. When you joined the case, was
15	your WAA control on or off?
16	A. I don't remember.
17	Q. Did you have your Google
18	account in 2016?
19	A. Yes. We should be clear, I
2 0	have my personal Google account then.
21	Q. So I guess my question is at
2 2	some point you reviewed the complaint and
2 3	the allegations that the complaint makes?
2 4	A. Let me add one more thing. I
25	also believe I have I may have even more
	Page 51

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 53 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 than two Google accounts because I have a few that are -- I have a startup that uses 2 3 G Suite. 4 Okay. Q. 5 So I don't know that I have an exact count on how many different I have. 6 7 That's okay. I don't need to Q. 8 know about them all. 9 Α. Okay. So at some point in this case 10 Ο. 11 you had not yet reviewed any nonpublic 12 information, no deposition transcripts, 13 interrogatory responses, anything like that, but you had read the complaint; is 14 15 that fair to say? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And at that point you saw that O . the plaintiffs alleged that the WAA control 18 19 means a particular thing and that Google 20 does not live up to that description; is 21 that fair to say? 22 Well, I understand that that's Α. 23 an allegation, but I don't give too much 24 credit to allegations. I don't really 25 credit them because it's just an Page 52

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 54 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	allegation.
2	Q. Fair enough. Neither do I.
3	But, nevertheless, the WAA
4	control has a description, right, that you
5	reviewed?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did you have any idea at that
8	point what the WAA control should do
9	vis-à-vis pseudonymous data?
10	A. I hadn't thought about it, so
11	that's something these opinions were
12	formed upon careful study and thought and
13	consideration.
14	Q. Well, you had thought about
15	pseudonymous data before, right?
16	A. Yes, I have thought about
17	pseudonymous data.
18	Q. And you know that Google has
19	data that is tied to a pseudonymous
20	identifier and Google has data that is tied
21	to a GAIA identifier, you knew that before
2 2	you joined the case?
23	A. Okay, so I have to disagree
2 4	with how you've put the question together,
25	because GAIA is also a pseudonym and there
	Page 53

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 55 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 is no -- this idea of pseudonymous data and 2 GAIA data, it's a false dichotomy. 3 Okay. Let's use the terms GAIA Ο. and non-GAIA for now. We will come back to 4 5 pseudonymous. 6 So before you joined this case, 7 you knew that Google had data tied to GAIA 8 identifiers and you knew that Google had identifiers tied to non-GAIA identifiers; 9 10 is that fair to say? 11 I'm not sure I thought about it prior to this case. I'm not sure that I 12 13 had given it consideration. 1 4 Well, you must have. I mean, 0. 15 at that point you were pretty deep into the 16 Brown case. 17 Oh, well, I'm thinking -- we Α. are talking about different start points. 18 19 I'm talking about before I heard about these cases, I hadn't thought about it. 20 21 So I will ask it again. When 0. 22 you joined this case, you already knew that 23 Google had data tied to GAIA identifiers 24 and data tied to non-GAIA identifiers, that 25 was a concept you already knew about, Page 54

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 56 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	
1	right?
2	A. Okay, well, so, so the question
3	is wrong, because I joined both cases at
4	essentially the same time. I was retained
5	for both cases together.
6	Q. You were retained for both
7	cases together?
8	A. I believe so.
9	Q. Okay. When were you retained?
10	A. I don't have I don't
11	remember exact date.
12	Q. Is that in your report?
13	A. I don't believe I have the date
14	in here, I might, but I don't have it
15	there.
16	Q. Is that something you can
17	figure out during a break, the date of your
18	retention?
19	A. Possibly.
20	Q. Okay. So before you joined
21	either case, even then you were aware that
22	there's something called AdID and there is
2 3	something called IDFA, right?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. And you were you understood
	Page 55

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 57 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	well how online marketing works?
2	A. I do have some understanding of
3	online marketing, mobile marketing, yes.
4	Q. You have been in that business
5	for 20 years, right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So you had a pretty good
8	understanding of how online marketing
9	works?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. How tracking pixels work,
12	right?
13	A. I have some understanding I
14	had some understanding of how tracking
15	pixels work, but what I could glean from
16	public view.
17	Q. Right. And you had an
18	understanding of how Google Analytics
19	works, from public view again?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. How it works from say the
22	developer's perspective or the advertiser's
2 3	perspective?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. And then at some point you read
	Page 56

1 the description of WAA, right? 2 At some point I read the Α. 3 description of WAA, yes. 4 Q. When you read it, what did you 5 think would happen to data that Google was 6 sent tied to AdID and IDFA if you turned it off, WAA off? 8 Α. When I first looked at the information about WAA and sWAA I don't 9 think I had an opinion or a belief about 10 11 what would happen, because I hadn't thought 12 about it yet. It's not -- it's just not 13 something that jumps to the front of my 1 4 mind. 15 Well, sorry, you reviewed the 16 complaint in the case, so presumably at 17 that point the allegations are in the front 18 of your mind, right? Yes. But bear in mind -- bear 19 Α. in mind that I read that at some point just 20 21 to understand what it was about, do I have 22 the necessary expertise to get involved 23 here, do I have any conflicts, can I get 24 involved in this or not, that's what I'm 25 thinking about. I'm not thinking about the Page 57

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 59 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 ultimate issues in dispute in forming 2 opinions right from the get-go. That is kind of the reverse of how I work. 3 4 Q. Okay. I mean, but you're not a 5 robot, you are a human being, you have been 6 using Google Analytics for a decade, you have been in internet marketing for 20 8 years, and you read a complaint that says here is this WAA button and when it turns 9 off it should do this, but Google has 10 11 Google Analytics, it still collects data 12 from Google Analytics even when WAA is off. 13 My question is just did that seem like out 1 4 of the ordinary to you? Did you think 15 Google was dumping all that data when WAA 16 was off? 17 I don't know. I mean, I think Α. I have said in my -- we just looked at the 18 19 section of the report on the injunctive 20 relief technical possibilities. I mean, 21 one of the things I highlighted in there 22 was that Google could note when WAA and 23 sWAA are set, and exactly that, send that 24 data down the memory hole, don't collect 25 it, don't save it. If the person is asking Page 58

1 for privacy, that's one way to handle it. 2 Is that what you thought was Ο. 3 going on when you turned WAA off, once you had familiarized yourself with the 4 5 allegations in the complaint? I don't know that I had a 6 7 mental model of what was going on. I think 8 I was just looking at this and saying okay, I got this case, this is going to be some 9 big case, and I need to -- we will need to 10 11 sort it out and we will need to study this 12 and figure out what is going on. But I 13 didn't necessarily form an opinion. It 1 4 didn't -- I didn't immediately see a 15 contradiction there. 16 0. Contradiction in what? In other words, the 17 Α. contradiction that you are trying to point 18 19 out, which is I think what you are trying to get at, and forgive me if I summarize 20 21 this wrong, but what I think you are trying 22 to get at is when you read this shouldn't it have been obvious to you that the 23 24 control couldn't work the way you seem to 25 think it should work because of the way Page 59

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 61 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Google Analytics works, and I didn't have 2 that contradiction, no. 3 So I'm not trying to get at Ο. anything, and this will definitely work 4 5 better if you don't try to get ahead of me. Okay. 6 Α. 7 And I promise that I will be Q. 8 straightforward and direct with you. I'm just trying to understand what you 9 thought -- what your understanding of the 10 11 control was, you know, what people think 12 the control does is kind of an important 13 issue in the case. And you have this 1 4 unique perspective because you used Google 15 Analytics for at least a decade and then 16 you read the complaint in this case, and it 17 sort of to me defies -- it sort of boggles 18 the mind to think you had no thoughts about 19 all that data you had been collecting all those years, was it being deleted when 20 21 people had WAA off, was it being kept but 22 made anonymous. What did you think was 23 going on with all that data? 24 I hadn't considered the issue Α. 25 before this case. I just had not Page 60

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 62 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    considered the issue because it hadn't come
2
         I hadn't thought about it.
3
         0.
                 Agreed.
4
                 I was essentially sort of
         Α.
5
    placated and happy with the status quo and
    I didn't know -- I didn't know that this
6
7
    was working the way it does.
8
                 But then you read the
         O .
9
    complaint?
10
         Α.
                 Yes.
11
         Ο.
                 And then you understood what
12
    the plaintiffs were alleging, and my
13
    question is just at that point what did you
1 4
    think about all the data you had collected,
15
    did you think it should have been deleted
16
    in the past? Did you think Google must
17
    have not deleted it? Did you think that's
18
    not the way I would read this control?
19
    What did you think?
20
                 So there is a few things in
21
    there that are -- I don't know how much
22
    you've used Google Analytics, but let me
23
    tell you a little about it.
24
         Q.
                Not as much as you.
25
         Α.
                 Okay. When you go into Google
                                           Page 61
```

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 63 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Analytics, Google doesn't show stuff
2	related to that's personally
3	identifiable, they don't show you, for
4	example, and the tools work differently at
5	different points in time, but in general
6	today I would say that Google is
7	endeavoring not to show the Google
8	Analytics customer data about specific
9	users. And the reason I know this has been
10	that way is that over time lots of my
11	clients have asked me hey, can you tell us
12	who is visiting our website, can you look
13	in Google Analytics and see, and I said no,
14	no, Google Analytics provides aggregate
15	data to me, it doesn't provide me with
16	individual stuff.
17	In fact, there was a time when
18	we used to get the search keywords that
19	people would use to come to a website. We
2 0	could look at organic traffic and see
21	traffic volume on different keywords, and
2 2	the people who did website optimization
2 3	liked having that information. At some
24	point Google took that away from us. They
25	came to say keyword not provided.

Page 62

1	Q. Why?
2	A. We were told that it was
3	related to privacy, that we couldn't have
4	that information because it could be used
5	by us to essentially connect some sort of
6	keyword usage to individual, and there
7	might be maybe there are other ways to
8	get that, but that's been this has been
9	sort of an evolving thing over time.
10	Q. So I have used an analytics
11	console a little bit, really not very much
12	at all, but it does show device IDs in
13	there, right?
14	A. If you are using GA4F I think
15	it may show some of that information. I
16	think we have got some copies of reports.
17	Q. But not on the not for web
18	analytics, but does it show like cookie
19	IDs?
20	A. It is different at different
21	points in time. It's been evolving. It
22	has changed a lot. I have been using
2 3	Google Analytics since it was called
2 4	Urchin, I actually ended up once calling
25	for tech support and got to talk to one of
	Page 63

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 65 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	the founders of Urchin who like picked up
2	the phone and was doing tech support. So I
3	have used it all along through history, and
4	there has been a lot of change over time.
5	Q. Okay. Do you still use Google
6	Analytics on your website?
7	A. I do.
8	Q. Coming back to your definition
9	of Google account at 104, the trove of data
10	Google collects and saves regarding the
11	user, including data that Google
12	characterizes as pseudonymous, under that
13	definition, is all data sent to Google by
14	Google Analytics for Firebase pertaining to
15	a Google account?
16	MR. MAO: I'm sorry, can you
17	read the question back again?
18	Q. I can ask it again.
19	Under your definition, is all
2 0	of the data that is sent to Google by
21	Google Analytics for Firebase data that
22	pertains to a Google account?
23	A. I don't know that I've said
2 4	that. So I don't think I've rendered that
25	opinion in that way, and therefore I don't
	Page 64

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 66 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    know that I've thought it through
2
    completely.
3
         Ο.
                Sure.
4
         Α.
                And I don't want to make any
5
    sort of leap here. I don't want to give
6
    some kind of different opinion than what
    I've already given, which were all done
8
    thoughtfully and carefully checked. I
9
    don't want to just shoot from the hip.
                That's fair enough. You could
10
11
    see why I'm asking. I am trying to
    understand, again, the limits of the
12
13
    definition. So your definition says
1 4
    regarding a user. So another way to ask it
15
    is all Google Analytics for Firebase event
16
    data, data regarding a user?
17
                 I mean, that question could get
         Α.
    pretty hairy because it is conceivable that
18
19
    there could be bots that are triggering
20
    things to shoot data in, and Google has
21
    systems to detect bot activity and filter
22
    out, it is one of the settings, you could
23
    say filter out bot activity.
24
                Well, let's assume a human was
         Q.
25
    using it.
                                           Page 65
```

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 67 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. If a human was using it when
2	the data was sent in, I believe that data
3	is related to that device that's doing the
4	transmission, and I think I've said as
5	much. Beyond that, I'm not sure I would
6	have to think more about the whole question
7	just to understand if there is some
8	exception, something I haven't considered
9	yet. I'm comfortable with the opinions
10	I've rendered. I don't feel the need to
11	give any new ones today.
12	Q. Okay. We must be pretty close,
13	I have just a couple more on this.
14	A. Sure.
15	MR. SANTACANA: Actually, why
16	don't we pause here.
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
18	11:12 a.m. We are off the record.
19	(Recess taken.)
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
21	11:34 a.m. We are back on the record.
2 2	BY MR. SANTACANA:
23	Q. Here is the privacy policy at
2 4	Google effective May 25, 2018.
25	A. Okay, thank you.
	Page 66

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 68 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. And we will mark it on Exhibit
2	Share as Exhibit 2. We were we left off
3	talking about the definition of Google
4	account, so I wanted to pull your attention
5	to how it's discussed in here.
6	MR. MAO: Sorry, Eduardo, is
7	there a place you're on, a page?
8	MR. SANTACANA: I think I might
9	have given you the wrong document.
10	Yes, okay, we will get to that one.
11	That's the wrong document. Just a
12	second. Can we go off for a second.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
14	11:36 a.m. We are off the record.
15	(Discussion off the record.)
16	(Hochman Exhibit 2 marked for
17	identification.)
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
19	11:42 a.m. We are back on the record.
20	BY MR. SANTACANA:
21	Q. So you've got the new Exhibit
22	2, which corresponds to the Black report's
23	Exhibit X6, excuse me, the Black report's
2 4	Appendix X6, which is a spreadsheet. If
25	you could just open up that spreadsheet on
	Page 67

1	your computer.
2	A. Yes, I have.
3	Q. So in here there's it is
4	just listing historical definitions from
5	various Google privacy policies, and for
6	the moment I just want to look at the
7	Google account definitions. There is other
8	ones in there we will get to later, or
9	maybe not at all.
10	For the Google account
11	definitions, which I've filtered for on
12	mine, you can see ones going from May 2018
13	to December 2022 on here. Do you see that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. So we were talking
16	earlier about how Google defines Google
17	account and you wanted to look at one such
18	definition that Google uses. This is one
19	such definition, it's in its privacy policy
20	and has been since May 2018. I think let's
21	start with the 2018 one.
22	MR. MAO: Objection. The
23	documents speak for themselves. I just
2 4	disagree there is a disagreement on
25	the form of the question.
	Page 68

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 70 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Q. The definition is "You may
access some of our services by signing up
for a Google account and providing us with
some personal information, typically your
name, e-mail address and a password. This
account information is used to authenticate
you when you access Google's services and
protect your account from unauthorized
access by others. You can edit or delete
your account at any time through your
Google account settings."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. How do you perceive this
definition to differ from your definition
in footnote 104, if it does?
A. Sure. This, the way I read
this, looks a lot like signing up for
log-in. You know, you can get a Google
account which sounds like a log-in. You
have to provide some personal information
and you get this log-in that lets you
and you get this log-in that lets you access some Google services and thereby
access some Google services and thereby

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 71 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 in your report would be broader than that, 2 or would cover more data than that? Well, this doesn't necessarily 3 Α. speak to the scope of the data that Google 4 5 is collecting about me. It doesn't talk 6 about what all my private data is, what all data Google has, so it's a little -- I 8 think it's just a little different. 9 Q. Can you tell me a little bit more about what you mean by that answer? 10 11 This isn't really addressing 12 the question of the scope of the data that 13 Google is collecting on me and how that 1 4 data associated with me is treated, this 15 definition here. I mean, that -- those 16 issues may be addressed elsewhere, but this 17 is just talking about how to get a Google 18 account, how to get a log-in to access 19 Google services. 20 So what I'm mindful of is the 21 use of the phrase "Google account" in the 22 description of WAA where it says "saved in 23 your Google account." So when you think of 24 that disclosure, I'm happy to bring it out 25 if you need it, and you read this Page 70

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 72 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	definition, in your mind does that combined
2	mean the same thing as your definition or
3	does it mean something different?
4	A. I mean, to my mind, this is
5	kind of squishy. This is just operational
6	information for a consumer that talks in
7	kind of broad terms about getting an
8	account, you give some personal
9	information, we are going to use that to
10	authenticate you when you access, and we
11	are going to use it to protect your account
12	from unauthorized access, and it says you
13	can edit or delete your account at any
14	time, right, through Google account
15	settings.
16	Q. That is what it says, and then
17	the phrase is used in the WAA disclosure.
18	Do you want me to pull that out?
19	A. Yeah, we should.
20	Q. Okay. So we will mark this 3.
21	(Hochman Exhibit 3 marked for
22	identification.)
23	Q. This is the Activity Controls
2 4	PDF, activity controls.pdf.
25	MR. SANTACANA: Noor, so when
	Page 71

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 73 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	you have a chance, just mark that 3 on
2	Exhibit Share.
3	MR. MAO: Sorry, Counsel, what
4	is it? Is there a Bates stamp for
5	this?
6	MR. SANTACANA: No, this is a
7	screen shot from what it looks like
8	right now.
9	MS. RAHMAN: The Activity
10	Controls PDF? It was a little muffled,
11	I couldn't hear.
12	MR. SANTACANA: The Activity
13	Controls PDF is Exhibit 3.
14	MS. RAHMAN: Okay, got it,
15	thank you.
16	MR. SANTACANA: And, Mark, what
17	was your question?
18	MR. MAO: I could just set this
19	aside?
20	MR. SANTACANA: Uh-huh.
21	Q. Okay, Mr. Hochman, so now you
22	have Exhibit 2 on the computer, you have
23	Exhibit 3 in front of you, right?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. Exhibit 2 has some definitions
	Page 72
	1 430 72

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 74 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	of the term "Google account" and Exhibit 3
2	uses the term "Google account" in the
3	following sentence: "The data saved in
4	your account helps give you more
5	personalized experiences across all of
6	Google's services. Choose which settings
7	will save data in your Google account."
8	You see that, right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. So my question is, given
11	the definition of "Google account" that's
12	in the May 2018 privacy policy, is the way
13	that Google account is used in this
14	sentence the same that you use it in your
15	report in footnote 104 or is it different?
16	MR. MAO: Objection, the
17	document speaks for itself. I think
18	you're asking our expert something
19	beyond what we designated him for. But
20	go ahead.
21	A. Sure. So this what I was
22	looking for, remember I told you I was
23	looking for kind of a precise definition?
24	Q. Yeah.
25	A. So as a security technologist,
	Page 73

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 75 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 what I'm interested in here is knowing what 2 is the scope of this Google account, in other words, what data goes in the Google 3 account, what of my personal data goes in 4 5 the Google account and what, if any, of my personal data is getting saved anywhere 6 7 else. 8 I don't think it is clarified by this. It doesn't make it clear to me. 9 I certainly wouldn't, from reading this, 10 11 come to the conclusion that that sWAA control only affects the location where all 12 13 my personal information is being saved. Ιt doesn't control the collection of that 1 4 15 That seems very counterintuitive, 16 when the behavior, the technical behavior 17 that I have observed, seems very counterintuitive when stacked up against 18 19 this sort of friendly definition. And I understand that that is 20 0. 21 your opinion, and I'm not trying to change 22 that. I just want to understand, again, 23 the scope of your definition in 104 as it 24 compares to the definition in the privacy 25 policy, and you said well, that doesn't Page 74

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 76 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	address data and where it is saved and how
2	it is used.
3	So I have given you a sentence
4	where it is addressed, which is the
5	disclosure of activity controls, and my
6	question for you is if you were to take the
7	privacy policy definition of "Google
8	account" and insert it in parentheses at
9	the end of the sentence at the top of
10	Exhibit 3, right, it says Google account,
11	parentheses, insert the definition, is that
12	consistent with your definition in footnote
13	104 or is it different in any way?
14	A. Okay. So that
15	MR. MAO: Sorry, just objection
16	to the form of the question. The
17	documents speak for themselves.
18	A. Okay. So if you take this
19	Exhibit 3, which I should have numbered, if
20	we don't mind, if someone has got a pen,
21	could we just number it, so I don't get
22	confused?
23	So I've got Exhibit 3, and if I
2 4	take the definition here from the
25	spreadsheet cell D38, is that the one we
	Page 75

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 77 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    want, May 25th, 2018?
2
         0.
                 Yes.
                 And, by the way, on Exhibit 3,
3
         Α.
    do we have a date on this? Like what's the
4
5
    provenance of this one? What is the date
    of this as of?
6
7
         Q.
                 Yesterday.
                 So it might not be the same as
8
         Α.
9
    it was on May 25th, 2018.
10
         0.
                 Correct.
11
                 So we might be combining two
12
    things to form a non sequitur. Okay, so
13
    even if we did form that potential non
1 4
    sequitur, that doesn't -- if I read this
15
    and I put that definition in here, it
16
    doesn't disabuse me of my notion that
17
    Google is putting all the personal
18
    information related to me into my account.
19
    It doesn't tell me that Google is also
20
    storing that information somewhere on the
21
    side where I can't see it, control it, or
22
    affect it.
23
         Ο.
                 Okay. Speaking of non
24
    sequitur, that answer is a non sequitur.
25
                 My question is, if you take the
                                           Page 76
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 78 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 May 2018 definition of "Google account" and you insert it into this sentence at the top 2 of Exhibit 3, which uses the term "Google 3 account," is that definition as it is used 4 5 here, if you assume that's the definition that it means, that's what it means, is 6 that the same or different than your 7 8 definition in footnote 104? 9 MR. MAO: Sorry, calls for 10 incomplete hypothetical. Go ahead. 11 This is -- this is kind of a great example of double think, which is two 12 13 opposing ideas being put together in a way 1 4 that sort of prevents any kind of serious 15 critical evaluation, because on the one 16 hand this document is telling me I have ability to have control, and this other 17 18 word here --19 Mr. Hochman, I'm happy for you 20 to provide an explanatory answer, but it's 21 going to be easier for me and the court 22 reporter and the jury to understand it if 23 you start by answering the question, which 24 is if you take the definition from May 2018 25 and you insert it into the top of Exhibit Page 77

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 79 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 3, in that sentence is the definition meaning the same or different than the 2 definition you give in footnote 104 at a 3 technical level? 4 5 MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete 6 hypothetical, asked and answered. 7 Α. So there is so much wrong with 8 the question that I'm not sure I can answer 9 it, because the problem is you're asking me to take a definition from 2018 and insert 10 11 it into a document that's a version from 12 five years later. 13 That's what I've asked you to Q. 1 4 do. 15 And the definition is not a Α. 16 technical definition, and you're asking me 17 to draw some technical conclusion from a nontechnical definition, and so it sort of 18 19 is just -- the whole thing is kind of beside the point. 20 21 Well, actually, it's not beside 0. 22 the point, because this is what Google told 23 its users, and the case is what the users 24 thought about it, right? They didn't have 25 the ability to read your report or your Page 78

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 80 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	definition of "Google account." This is
2	what they got to read. This is if you go
3	online today, this is what they can read.
4	So I'm just trying to I'm
5	just trying to understand if your
6	definition in footnote 104, which makes an
7	assertion about the difference between
8	WAA-on data and WAA-off data is consistent
9	with the definition given in the Google
10	privacy policy in May of 2018.
11	MR. MAO: Objection,
12	incomplete, actually false
13	hypothetical, but form of the question.
14	Go ahead.
15	A. So you're asking if two things
16	that are different are consistent, and they
17	are sort of these two things are kind of
18	talking past each other.
19	What I'm talking about is the
20	data, the scope of the data that Google is
21	collecting about me, where is all that
22	data, and what this is talking about is how
23	you go about getting a Google log-in so you
24	can access some Google services. So these
25	two things are kind of talking past each
	Page 79

1 other. 2 Okay. The top of Exhibit 3 0. says "save data in your Google account." I 3 4 think that that is a phrase about the scope 5 of data that Google is collecting about me 6 and where it is. Do you agree? 7 Α. One second. Let me read this. 8 (Witness perusing document.) So this is in fact talking 9 Α. about saving data in your account, which 10 11 is, as I pointed out, it's a bit of a non 12 sequitur, because this is talking about the 13 account as a log-in. No one has disclosed 1 4 to me what this account data structure 15 looks like. It is not disclosed to me what 16 the scope of that is, okay? 17 It's not explained that my personal data can be stored in my account 18 19 but your personal data can also be stored 20 on the side, and these settings won't 21 affect our side storage of your personal 22 data, they are only going to affect the 23 storage of the data in the view that we 24 provide to you. That's not part of this 25 disclosure. So it's all kind of beside the Page 80

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 82 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	point for that reason.
2	Q. Take a look at paragraph 136 of
3	your report. Just read it through for me.
4	Let me know when you're done.
5	(Witness perusing document.)
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You read it?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. In the paragraph 136 of
10	your report you say "Not only is Google
11	saving WAA-off and sWAA-off data to class
12	members' Google accounts," footnote 104,
13	"Google also marks some of the data as
14	WAA-off or sWAA-off."
15	Do you see that sentence?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And the footnote, which then
18	leads to the definition we have been
19	discussing that you give in footnote 104 of
20	"Google account"?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And that definition is the
23	trove of data that Google collects and
2 4	saves, including data Google characterizes
25	as pseudonymous, right?
	Page 81
	- 450 01

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. So you've made an assertion
3	about whether or not Google saves WAA and
4	sWAA-off data to Google accounts; is that
5	fair to say?
6	A. So I'm talking about my
7	understanding, okay, my intuition of what
8	this means. The best way I think I can put
9	this is that Exhibit 3, if you insert in
10	the definition from five years earlier,
11	doesn't disclaim my version. There is
12	nothing in there, it is silent on what the
13	scope is. It doesn't say there is a side
14	storage area where we store your personal
15	data and we don't show it to you when you
16	flip this fake switch.
17	Q. You're skipping ahead, which is
18	not recommended. So let's stick with the
19	question.
20	You've made an assertion in
21	your report, you don't need to look at the
2 2	exhibits for that, in your report you
2 3	assert whether or not Google saves sWAA-off
2 4	data to Google accounts, true or false?
25	A. I have made an assertion and I
	Page 82

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 84 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 have defined what I mean by Google account, 2 what my understanding is absent that clear definition from Google, which I looked for 3 and did not find and which you still 4 5 haven't shown to me. 6 Okay. So you make the 7 assertion that Google does save sWAA-off 8 data to Google accounts, that's one of the 9 opinions you give in this case? 10 Bear in mind, my definition of 11 Google accounts, I understand Google is 12 asserting that the Google account is this 13 arbitrarily limited data structure that 1 4 doesn't include some stuff that they save 15 about me, and that's a semantic game. 16 O . Okay. Mr. Hochman, please 17 don't indict my entire profession. If we 18 didn't have semantic games, then I wouldn't 19 be able to pay my mortgage. Just answer 20 the question. We will get to that. We are 21 going to come back to the exhibit, I 22 promise. You are going to have plenty of 23 opportunities to impugn Google. 24 The question is, isn't it your 25 opinion in this case that Google saves Page 83

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 85 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	sWAA-off data to Google accounts as you
2	define it in footnote 104?
3	A. Well, I think my report says
4	that.
5	Q. Okay. So that is an opinion
6	you give?
7	A. Yes, but subject to of course
8	my definition, and I recognize I
9	recognize Google's definition is different
10	than mine.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. And I'm not asserting that
13	statement under Google's definition, I'm
14	asserting it under my definition. I just
15	want to be clear, because I don't want you
16	to I don't want you to take away the
17	wrong understanding of this.
18	Q. I really appreciate the
19	clarity.
20	You said Google's definition is
21	different than yours. How is it different
22	than yours other than that it uses
23	different words?
2 4	MR. MAO: Again, I will just
25	lodge a standing objection to your use
	Page 84

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 86 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	of the word of "Google definition,"
2	the form of the question. I don't want
3	to raise it again, but I just disagree
4	with the framing. Go ahead.
5	MR. SANTACANA: Sorry, I just
6	want to understand the objection.
7	MR. MAO: Sure. You said this
8	is a Google definition. I don't
9	believe that's a definition and I think
10	he has made that very clear. That's my
11	standing objection. When I say form of
12	the question, that's what I mean.
13	That's it.
14	Q. Okay. So for this deposition
15	let's just all understand when I say
16	Google's definition what I'm referring to
17	is Exhibit 2, the list of phrases in column
18	D that correspond to Google account in
19	column A which come from different
20	historical privacy policies, okay? Just so
21	we're all on the same page about what I
22	mean. We can fight later about whether it
23	counts as a definition.
24	MR. MAO: Or the time period it
25	came from.
	Page 85

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 87 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. SANTACANA: Yes. I'm sure
2	we can fight about lots of things.
3	MR. MAO: Sure.
4	Q. You said that Google's
5	definition, which we're talking about D38
6	in Exhibit 2 at the time, D38 differs from
7	your definition. Other than the words that
8	are used in the definitions, is there do
9	you have an understanding as to at a data
10	level how they differ?
11	A. Okay. So I've got to unpack
12	that, because there is a few different
13	points there. The definition, when I say
14	"Google definition," what I'm really
15	thinking about is Google's position in this
16	litigation. Google is taking a position
17	that the Google account only includes some
18	arbitrary data that's tagged with the GAIA
19	ID and it doesn't include the data that
20	they store with other equally identifying
21	what they call pseudonyms
2 2	Q. I want you to leave out of your
23	mind Google's litigating positions in the
2 4	case. What I want you to focus on is D38
25	in Exhibit 2.
	Page 86

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 88 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. Okay. So I don't see a real
2	definition of the Google account data
3	structure in D38. I don't see a definition
4	of what data is included. There is not a
5	clear scope definition there. That is just
6	some operational information about how you
7	go sign up for a log-in.
8	Q. Okay. So I guess is it fair to
9	say your opinion is that if I were to
10	insert D38 in Exhibit 2, Google's
11	definition of "Google account" into the
12	disclosure in Exhibit 3 about activity
13	controls, that it would leave you unclear
14	as to the data structure?
15	MR. MAO: Objection,
16	mischaracterizes the testimony. But go
17	ahead, please.
18	A. No, what I would say is it
19	doesn't contradict my intuition which I
20	stated in 104 of what that data structure
21	includes.
22	Q. What do you mean by intuition?
23	A. Understanding, that if someone
24	says account on a system we are going to
25	store some data in your account, I'm
	Page 87

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 89 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 thinking aha, they've got a place to store 2 data about me. I'm not jumping immediately 3 to the conclusion that they have also got a shadow account, which I think is a term 4 5 that has been used elsewhere. I don't have, in my report, I 6 7 don't have any intuition that there is a 8 shadow account where they are collecting a secret dossier about me which they don't 9 show me and don't tell me about. I have no 10 11 idea that that exists and that's actually 12 what is happening. That's what the report 13 documents is the creation of this shadow 1 4 account and how this is a fake control 15 because it is just a switch pointing out 16 where this firehose of personal data Google 17 is collecting about me, whether it is going into someplace that they show it to me or 18 19 whether it is going into someplace where 20 they don't show it to me. 21 So it's your -- that definition 0. in 104, footnote 104, that definition comes 22 23 from your intuition and experience as an 24 expert in this area? 25 I'm choosing the most natural Α. Page 88

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 90 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	definition I can, because absent Google
2	telling me specifically what's in my Google
3	account and where else it is storing my
4	personal information, absent that specific
5	clarity, I'm going with what's the most
6	natural definition, which is that I've got,
7	simple, I've got an account and you're
8	dumping all the data about me into that
9	account where I can see it and control it.
10	That's the most natural, simple, it is like
11	Occam's razor, it is the simplest
12	explanation.
13	Q. So I want to understand, when
14	you say it is the most natural definition,
15	what you are first of all, are you
16	opining that it is the most natural
17	definition of Google account?
18	A. I'm telling you how I came to
19	that.
20	Q. Okay. Is it your opinion in
21	the case that footnote 104 is the most
22	natural definition of Google account?
23	A. I haven't given that opinion.
2 4	Q. And sitting here today, do you
25	intend to?
	Page 89
	i age of

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 91 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Α. I mean, I've told you what it 2 means to me. 3 0. Okay. 4 So that's in my testimony now. Α. 5 So I've told you what it means to me, and 6 if someone wants to make use of that testimony, they can. I haven't written in 8 my report -- my report isn't really 9 addressing consumer impressions. That's not the focus of my report. I understand 10 11 that somebody else may be addressing that. 12 So what it means to you, what 0. 13 "Google account" means to you as reflected 1 4 in footnote 104 of your report, that is 15 based on your experience in the field as an 16 expert and nothing else, or is it also 17 based on documents or something else you 18 reviewed? 19 I mean, it's based on everything I've reviewed and understood, 20 21 because I wrote this after reviewing all these materials, and I came to understand 22 23 that Google saves personal information 24 about me in a shadow account, which I've 25 called the shadow account, the area where I Page 90

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 92 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	can't see it and I can't control it.
2	Q. I think I understand what
3	you're saying. Just a couple more
4	questions about this.
5	In your review of materials,
6	did you review any disclosures made by
7	Google about what it considers personal
8	information and what it considers
9	nonpersonal information?
10	A. I can't give you specific
11	documents here, but I reviewed an awful lot
12	of documents and I would imagine that that
13	has been addressed in some of those
14	documents.
15	Q. Did you take that into account
16	in crafting the definition in footnote 104
17	of "Google account"?
18	A. I mean, without looking at some
19	specific document, I would have to you
2 0	would have to show me a document for me to
21	say one way or the other.
22	Q. Well, the problem I have is
23	that you don't cite any documents in
2 4	footnote 104, so I'm asking you about the
25	basis for the definition. I understand
	Page 91

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 93 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 your intuition is one of them, your 2 experience, what is most natural to you is one basis. I'm just trying to establish 3 what the other bases are. You said 4 5 everything I've read. 6 I would say the absence of -- I went looking to see if there was a clear 7 8 public definition from Google that would explain aha, this is what's -- so that 9 someone coming would understand what data 10 11 is collected about them, where that data is 12 going to be put. 13 The situation I found upon the 1 4 technical investigation was 15 counterintuitive, it was not what I 16 expected to find, and it is, frankly, kind 17 of Orwellian, it is just very strange that 18 you have a privacy switch that when you 19 flip it, it just means we don't tell you 20 that we're spying on you. It is almost 21 like the party slogan from 1984, you know, ignorance is strength, that's like what 22 23 this is. That's what this control is. You 24 flip the switch, you can remain ignorant of 25 the fact that you're being spied on. Page 92

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 94 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Does your definition in
2	footnote 104 include both personal
3	information and nonpersonal information?
4	MR. MAO: Objection to the form
5	of the question. Go ahead, please.
6	A. I think that the information
7	that's being collected becomes personal
8	information when it is identified in a way,
9	for example, using a Google identifier that
10	connects it to a person.
11	Q. Okay. And so given your
12	definition there that you just gave, does
13	your definition of "Google account" in
14	footnote 104 include both personal
15	information or nonpersonal information?
16	MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
17	hypothetical, form of the question. Go
18	ahead, please.
19	A. I mean, to my mind, when I say
20	Google collects and saves information, that
21	word is not there, but Google collects and
22	saves, in brackets, information, regarding
23	a user, that's personal information.
24	That's information about that user and
25	their activities.
	Page 93

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 95 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Okay. So the part of your
2	definition that says "regarding a user" is
3	the part that limits the definition to
4	information that's personal information?
5	MR. MAO: Objection, asked and
6	answered, misstates his testimony. But
7	go ahead.
8	A. Yeah, one way to look at it is
9	the personal information is information
10	that can be linked or that is linked to a
11	person.
12	Q. Which, is or can be?
13	A. Is.
14	MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
15	hypothetical.
16	Q. If the information is not
17	linked but could be linked to a person,
18	does that information constitute
19	information pertaining to a Google account?
20	A. I want to be clear, I mentioned
21	subjunctive in there, and there shouldn't
22	be any subjunctive, it just is the
23	information is linked to a person or it's
24	not linked to a person. Those should be
25	the two choices, it is linked or is not
	Page 94

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 96 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	linked. There is no could, there is no
2	should, it is just it is or it isn't linked
3	to a person.
4	Q. What is an example of
5	information that is not linked to a person?
6	A. So I would consider, for
7	example, the fact that 47 people visited
8	your website today, that doesn't tell you
9	which 47 people.
10	Q. So aggregated information, if
11	aggregated properly, is not linked to a
12	person?
13	MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
14	hypothetical, assumes facts not in
15	evidence, form of the question. Go
16	ahead, please.
17	A. So I'm giving you that as just
18	an example off the top of my head, because
19	it's actually something I'm thinking about
2 0	a lot in the context of medical research,
21	how to give information, useful statistics,
22	without revealing individual data.
23	Q. Right. You have a startup,
2 4	right, that is working on this?
25	A. I have an academic project and
	Page 95

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 97 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	there is also a startup, yes.
2	Q. So can you give an example of
3	analytics data that is not personal
4	information, whether it is Google or not,
5	just a generic example?
6	A. I mean, most of the data that I
7	see in Google Analytics as a user of Google
8	Analytics, in other words, as a website
9	operator, it doesn't look like personal
10	information, it is just aggregate data
11	about behavior. It is behavior by a group
12	of people of which I don't know who those
13	individuals are.
14	Q. As a website operator, when you
15	open the Analytics console, well, actually,
16	strike that.
17	As a website operator who uses
18	Google Analytics you could also use
19	BigQuery to export event-level data, right?
20	A. Yes, I believe so.
21	Q. If you were to use BigQuery to
22	export event-level data well, have you
23	ever used BigQuery to export data on your
2 4	website's users?
25	A. I have not used BigQuery
	Page 96

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 98 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	personally to do that.
2	Q. But your company has?
3	A. I am not sure. We a while
4	ago we were using Firebase for developing a
5	prototype. We have subsequently moved to
6	Cloudflare Workers.
7	Q. Firebase for an app?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. When did you move to
10	Cloudflare?
11	A. Years ago.
12	Q. So you've seen what the
13	BigQuery exports look like, right? You
14	discuss them in your report?
15	A. Yes, I guess so, because
16	I've I mean, I don't remember it sitting
17	here now, we should probably look at one if
18	you want to talk about it.
19	Q. You recognize that it is
2 0	event-level data, the BigQuery export?
21	A. I believe so.
22	Q. And the event-level data can
23	contain device ID, right?
2 4	A. I mean, we should just take a
25	look at some, if there is an example of it,
	Page 97

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 99 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	we should take a look at that just to
2	confirm it. Maybe I documented it here
3	somewhere in the appendices. The report is
4	huge. I just don't remember.
5	Q. Well, just assume for me that
6	device ID is included in BigQuery exports.
7	A. Sure.
8	Q. And it's event-level data.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So you as a website operator
11	have had access to that if you wanted it,
12	right?
13	A. Okay.
14	Q. You would agree with that?
15	A. Yes, but I haven't done this
16	personally, yes, but a website operator
17	could in theory do this.
18	Q. Is that does that constitute
19	personal information pertaining to a Google
2 0	account?
21	MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
22	hypothetical. Go ahead.
2 3	A. If it has a device identifier,
2 4	that's that is that is linked to the
25	person, because if you happen to go get the
	Page 98

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 100 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    person -- if you suspect someone, for
2
    example, you have some data, you suspect
3
    someone, you go grab their phone, you can
    pull that identifier off the phone and now
4
5
    that data is linked to them because there's
6
    the data, there's the device ID, and
    there's the device ID on their phone which
8
    was in their pocket and you know that's
    their data.
9
10
                That makes sense. But at the
11
    time that you export the data, before
12
    you've gotten the phone out of the person's
13
    pocket, that data is not linked to a
14
    person, right?
15
         Α.
                 I disagree.
16
         Q.
                Okay. Explain.
17
                 That data is linked to a
         Α.
             That ID is very specific and it
18
    person.
19
    links to one person. So, for example --
20
         0.
                 One device, to be fair?
21
                 Devices are one-to-one with
         Α.
22
    people. People are very possessive of
23
    their devices. There is a very strong
24
    signal, if you have a device. Like if I
25
    get a clue, let's say I'm an intelligence
                                           Page 99
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 101 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 officer and I'm trying to track down a 2 dissident who I want to assassinate, this 3 has happened --4 Q. Sure. 5 If you give me a name, and you gave me the name Mark Mao, I may have 6 7 trouble finding the right Mark Mao because 8 there are multiple people named Mark Mao. 9 But if you give me a device identifier and say this is the guy who has been spying 10 11 against us and we need to go get rid of 12 him, if I suspect this is the Mark Mao you 13 are talking about and I go grab him and check his phone, I can confirm it. 14 15 If you check his phone? 0. 16 Α. Because it links to him, that's 17 the link. The link is the ID in the phone. 18 Also --19 What if his phone is at the Ο. bottom of the ocean? 20 21 Okay, now there is a problem 22 for Mark Mao, because that device ID is 23 located in lots of different datasets. 24 Q. Okay. 25 Α. And if any of those --Page 100

1	Q. If you don't have access to any
2	other datasets, you just get the device ID.
3	A. But that's not the way the real
4	world works. There is data breaches all
5	over the place. All kind of datasets have
6	been compromised. They are all over the
7	place. You can go out and harvest lots of
8	datasets, and I'm sure intelligence
9	services are actively doing this, they are
10	gathering this stuff up and now they can
11	see, you know, the Washington Post has
12	maybe a little leak in the way they put
13	their app together, they made a mistake,
14	they've gone and logged an e-mail address.
15	We've seen this happen in the wild. If one
16	person anywhere who has got your device
17	identifier logs something like that, your
18	cover is blown, and that's the problem,
19	that's a huge problem, because the
2 0	probability of that happening becomes
21	nearly certain when the perfusion of data
22	is very large.
2 3	Q. So the privacy concern you're
2 4	articulating right now, as I understand it,
25	is a concern about, as you said it, the
	Page 101

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 103 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 cover being blown, the person being unmasked due to the leakage of data or data 2 being stored somewhere where it shouldn't 3 be, it's a risk that you consider to be a 4 5 privacy risk? So this is just one example, 6 7 and there are many scenarios, there are 8 many scenarios that are less dramatic than 9 that, okay? But the personal identifier or the Google identifier, like a device ID, 10 11 like an app instance ID, these things 12 relate to a person, they are personal, and they link to that person, and with the 13 1 4 necessary set of data, that person can be 15 found or a suspicion can be confirmed, and that's just an example of what can and does 16 17 happen in the world. 18 Now, the linkage that you're Q. 19 concerned about depends on there being data 20 linked to that device ID, right? If you 21 bought the phone, opened it up, haven't 22 used it yet, it means nothing, device ID 23 means nothing at that point, right? 24 Α. Okay. So I quess if you have a 25 pristine new device, the device ID is --Page 102

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 104 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 there is no information associated with it 2 at that moment. 3 And then as you use it more 0. data is generated, goes into different 4 5 places in the world, and that's what's 6 creating this privacy risk? 7 Α. That's not the only thing creating the privacy risk. This is just 8 9 one potential -- one little pathway among 10 many. 11 To what? Pathway to what? O . 12 Failure to preserve privacy. Α. 13 So the user has set a switch which says I want to be private, and they have some 14 15 expectation of privacy. They have been 16 primed for that. They have been told you 17 can be private, you can have private 18 activity. 19 They flip the switch, they want 20 privacy, and yet this trove of data is 21 being gathered, and whenever there is a trove of data, it is usually only a matter 22 23 of time until it leaks. There are leaks. 24 There are data breaches. They have 25 happened. It doesn't matter how careful Page 103

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 105 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
              There are breaches. There are
2
    leaks. There is insider threat. All kinds
3
    of problems. And the person who asks for
    privacy and expected privacy didn't bargain
4
5
    for that.
6
                If Google purged right now its
         0.
7
    records of all the data that you say in
8
    your report Google could purge, sWAA-off
9
    data, would that eliminate the privacy risk
10
    you're discussing?
11
                 It's a great question. I don't
12
    know if I have said that in the report and
13
    I don't -- that's kind of a big opinion to
1 4
    ask and I would want to think it over
15
    carefully, okay, in order to answer it. I
16
    just don't want to shoot from the hip a new
17
    opinion like that.
18
                But it would ameliorate the
         Q.
19
    risk presumably, otherwise you wouldn't
20
    have suggested it?
                Well, I think it's -- let's
21
22
    take a look exactly what I said. So just
23
    to try to be clear. We're in Section K,
24
    right?
25
             Section K.
         Q.
                                         Page 104
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 106 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Α. And do you remember the page? 2 168 I think. 0. 3 This is really at the end. Α. Okay. So I've said in K Google could purge 4 5 its system of WAA-off and sWAA-off data. 6 So I'm saying that -- and I guess there is 7 a presumption that somehow that would be 8 helpful. I haven't said that's a complete 9 solution, but I think you can read in between the lines that it would be -- I'm 10 11 suggesting it because it would be helpful. 12 But just in terms, focusing for Ο. 13 a moment on your concerns relating to data 14 breach, which is to say data sent to Google 15 that then gets out of Google into the wild. 16 Some threat actor comes in and 17 exfiltrates that data? 18 Yeah. Are you opining in this Q. 19 case, I don't see it in your report, but 20 just to be clear, you're not opining that 21 that has happened to anyone in particular, 22 right? 23 So I haven't issued that 24 opinion. I'm aware that other experts in 25 this case may say things to that effect. Page 105

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 107 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Okay. But that's not on your
2	plate, that may be on someone else's?
3	A. That's not on my plate.
4	Q. What about insider risk, it's
5	not somebody exfiltrating data from Google,
6	it is somebody at Google attempts to unmask
7	somebody by connecting their device ID to
8	their name or e-mail or whatever, in this
9	case are you have you rendered an
10	opinion in this report that that has
11	happened before to anyone in particular?
12	A. So I'm not again, just like
13	with the last question, it is symmetrical.
14	Somebody else might talk about it. I'm not
15	saying it has happened. I'm just aware of
16	it as a risk. I mean, I'm a security
17	technologist, so I'm aware of these various
18	kinds of risks. I've studied them. So I'm
19	pointing it out.
20	Q. Okay. That's helpful. Okay.
21	MR. MAO: At some point,
22	Eduardo, let's take another break. I
23	just need to get the blood circulating.
2 4	I have red-eye lag.
25	MR. SANTACANA: Yeah. Can I
	Page 106

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 108 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         just -- I just want to do one more
2
         module.
3
                 MR. MAO: Do what you need.
         the next 10, 15 minutes or whatever.
4
5
                 So let's talk more about K, so
    you should probably flip to it.
6
7
         Α.
                 Sure.
8
                 These are your opinions
         O .
9
    concerning what the changes, it is called
    WAA Changes, Google could change WAA and
10
11
    sWAA to ensure they function as described.
12
    Google could also purge its systems of
13
    WAA-off and sWAA-off data.
                 MR. MAO: For the transcript, I
14
15
         believe Mr. Santacana is referring to
16
         Exhibit 1, Section K.
17
                 MR. SANTACANA: Yes, page 168.
                 And that's the summary of
18
         Q.
    your -- that's the heading of the opinion.
19
20
    There is also an opinion, as I see it, at
21
    paragraph 418 that's not summarized by that
22
    heading which is that Google could delete
23
    products, services or algorithms that it
24
    built in whole or in part with WAA- or
    sWAA-off data.
25
                                          Page 107
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 109 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
So in my mind, Section K here
1
2
    is proposing that Google stop a certain
    kind of collection, purge a certain kind of
3
4
    data, and delete certain products, services
5
    or algorithms. Is that a fair --
                 I have said that these things
6
7
    are technologically possible.
8
                Oh, did I say -- yeah, that it
         O .
9
    is technologically possible. So let's
    start with Google could stop collecting,
10
11
    okay? You say at 411 and 412, and take a
12
    moment to review them -- actually, just
13
    take a moment to review them.
14
                 (Witness perusing document.)
15
         Α.
                Yes, I have read this very
16
    recently, so I'm up to speed on it.
17
                Great. In effect, I think what
         0.
    you are arguing here is that Google should,
18
19
    excuse me, that it is technologically
    possible for Google to check for a user's
20
21
    sWAA setting on device rather than at the
    server level, and if sWAA is off, then it
22
23
    will not transmit any user data via
24
    analytics?
25
         Α.
                It is possible for Google to
                                          Page 108
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 110 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 detect the WAA/sWAA status as needed and 2 decide either not to transmit anything or to somehow transmit only things that are --3 I haven't thought through completely, but 4 5 perhaps Google could design some system to 6 transmit something that has no personal 7 identifier and no link to the person. 8 So as a user of analytics, you O . 9 know that one of the values of analytics is 10 analysis relating to what the same user or 11 device does over time, right, even if it is 12 presented anonymously to the website 13 operator or the app developer? 1 4 Α. Yes. 15 If Google were to implement 411 Ο. 16 and 412, paragraphs 411 and 412 of your 17 report, would that type of analysis that 18 Google provides to developers through 19 Google Analytics be possible? 20 It for sure would be possible 21 for the people who leave sWAA and WAA 22 turned on, enabled, for data collection, 23 which is, I think I document in the report, 24 it is over 90 percent for WAA and over 80 25 percent for sWAA.

Page 109

```
1
                I guess maybe Google has some
2
    challenges. I'm not sitting here -- it's
3
    not my job to engineer -- reengineer things
    for Google. Google has to engineer it.
4
5
    But they have the ability to stop
6
    collecting data that ties to an individual
    user if they want to, because they have,
8
    they do it with certain products but not
9
    with others.
10
             So my question is about the
11
    nature of what you just said. Is it
12
    possible in your mind to tie data together
13
    longitudinally from the same device without
1 4
    violating what you have described to be
15
    something that constitutes personal
16
    information or raising the privacy risks
17
    that you discuss in your report?
18
                I think there may be
         Α.
19
    potentially some solution might be
    engineerable. I don't want to say it is
20
21
    impossible to engineer, okay? I haven't
    sat down and tried to engineer that and I
22
23
    haven't expressed an opinion this is what
24
    Google should do in order to continue
25
    having this functionality that they want.
                                         Page 110
```

You know, that's really the 1 2 next step in the process, is if Google 3 decides -- let's say Google decides, after 4 hearing this, and I really hope they do 5 decide this, let's just say they decide you know what, let's be white knights here, 6 let's purge this data, let's stop 8 collecting it, and now let's figure out how 9 do we continue, how can this sort of useful 10 analytics service continue to be provided 11 to vendors, that's the next step, and I 12 haven't gone there yet. I haven't opined 13 about that. 1 4 0. Your startup is working on that 15 question, isn't it? 16 I was approached by a professor 17 at the Yale School of Medicine who was 18 affiliated with the American College of 19 Surgeons, and he runs -- he chairs the 20 Committee on Quality, which determines the 21 treatment algorithms for all cancer 22 patients in the United States. So if you 23 get cancer, you go to the doctor and the 24 doctor has an algorithm that they follow, 25 and this committee is the one who sets the Page 111

1 standard of care. 2 I know what they are. Ο. 3 And they also are custodians of Α. the National Cancer Database and they have 4 5 the ability to influence what data is 6 collected through federal policy, and that database research is very important, and 8 are having extreme problems because of essentially HIPAA, they can't join the data 9 10 together the way they would like, so they 11 need what is called privacy preserving 12 record linking, a way that you can link 13 records and maintain privacy. 1 4 And it requires, under the 15 system I designed over the last number of 16 years, starting in 2018, it requires a 17 considerable amount of effort to do that. 18 Is there a name for the system Q. you designed? 19 20 So it has alternate names 21 depending on the context. The project, sometimes we call it Just Enough Trust, 22 23 Dr. Boffa calls it the Fischer-Hochman 24 solution, and there is a commercial entity called UNS. 25 Page 112

1	Q. If Google adopted the
2	Fischer-Hochman solution that you developed
3	for the National Cancer Database for Google
4	Analytics, would that satisfy the privacy
5	risks that you raise in your report?
6	A. A great question, but a couple
7	of things. One, this isn't a sales pitch.
8	I'm not trying to pressure Google. This is
9	totally separate. It's not being developed
10	to try to sell it to Google.
11	Q. Google is not going to pay you
12	money anyway, so I know it's not a sales
13	pitch.
14	A. I'm not trying to do that.
15	That's not my purpose. The research is
16	published. If Google for some reason wants
17	to read that research and try to get
18	something out of it, they are welcome to do
19	so. It's public.
2 0	Q. Yeah. I'm just trying to
21	understand the technology, that's all.
2 2	A. I don't know. I haven't done
23	that analysis. I don't know if it would
2 4	help Google or not. I'm not sure. That
25	would require some careful analysis and I
	Page 113

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 115 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 haven't gone there. That's not the --2 that's not the initial use case that we are thinking about. 3 4 Okay. But the technology that Q. 5 you have developed for this National Cancer Database, presumably the purpose is to 6 7 comply with HIPAA? 8 It is a combination of people 9 have concerns about HIPAA, the hospitals 10 have archaic technology and the security is 11 really bad. Even Google has released a 12 study recently that said that most data 13 breaches start with healthcare data leaks. 1 4 So in some sense we're on the 15 same side of this problem, Google and me. 16 It is a big problem, because you're getting 17 this one-size-fits-all treatment when you get cancer and what we really want is for 18 19 personalized medicine, we want people to get a treatment that is best for them based 20 21 on their characteristics, and the only way 22 to do that is to have better data hygiene, 23 eliminating duplicate data shards, joining 24 together data shards about the same person, 25 and doing it in a way that that data is Page 114

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 116 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	retained and doesn't compromise privacy.
2	We have the government behind
3	us. So the government can rewrite the
4	rules to make it whatever rules need to
5	be rewritten, there is commitments that
6	they will be fixed up and rewritten.
7	The issue is not to trigger
8	political backlash, not to make people
9	think this is some kind of universal
10	tracking system that's going to be used to
11	take away their guns or be used to label
12	political dissidents as psychiatric
13	patients or whatever. There are historical
14	problems with medical data tracking.
15	That's why the United States doesn't have a
16	national healthcare number the way they do
17	in other countries. So there is political
18	concerns.
19	I probably told you more about
20	that topic than you wanted to hear, but
21	it's interesting.
2 2	Q. It is very interesting. But I
23	take it, then, you would agree that it is
2 4	possible to link data about people that is
25	collected over time without invading their
	Page 115

1 privacy? 2 I have designed a system that Α. 3 does it, but the system involves the use of 4 some independent agents, one of which is 5 chosen by the person. That's why it is 6 called Just Enough Trust. You kind of pick 7 the person you want to trust and that data 8 fiduciary works for you. There is a 9 different data fiduciary working for the service who is processing your data. 10 11 So in some sense maybe part of 12 the problem Google is having here, and I'm 13 just going to share this as a helpful 1 4 thought, Google has gotten itself involved 15 in both sides of this. Google is working 16 for the user and Google is working for the 17 website or the app vendor, and that sets up 18 a conflict, and that creates -- that 19 creates some trouble for them. It puts 20 them in a difficult position. And that if 21 they were to kind of choose and work for 22 one side exclusively or the other side 23 exclusively they might not run into this 24 problem. So maybe just take that as a 25 thought.

Page 116

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 118 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. MAO: Maybe during a break
2	you can pull up the publication that
3	you can evaluate for yourself.
4	MR. SANTACANA: Pull up the?
5	MR. MAO: Publication.
6	THE WITNESS: Which
7	publication?
8	MR. MAO: Oh, sorry, the
9	sources that you are talking about.
10	Q. Now, returning to this
11	technology, Google Analytics for Firebase,
12	if Google set up a separate data storage
13	mechanism that stores analytics data and
14	that's it, it just sits in that silo, it
15	can't be used for anything else, it can't
16	be used by Google, it is only used to
17	service analytics accounts like the account
18	that your website has or the account that
19	some app has, the New York Times app, in
2 0	your mind could it continue to service
21	those accounts, including for sWAA-off
22	users data?
23	MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
2 4	hypothetical. Go ahead.
25	A. So you may be getting into some
	Page 117

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 119 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	areas that are studied in cryptography like
2	secure multiparty computing and homomorphic
3	encryption. I mean, there are strategies
4	for sort of being able to process data
5	without actually being able to read the
6	data. I'm not going to assert that any of
7	this is impossible. There may be some way
8	that Google can engineer a workable
9	solution. I don't know. I haven't done
10	that analysis yet. That is downstream.
11	Q. I think you may be overreading
12	my hypothetical. Let me try it a little
13	bit differently.
14	You are familiar with the
15	concept of data processor and data
16	controller?
17	A. Yes. I think that's something
18	that comes out of the EU; does it not?
19	Q. Yes. Data processor, let's
20	just say for purposes of today, is where
21	the data processor acts solely as a vendor
22	and does not use the data for its own aims,
22	it only uses it to do whatever it has been
23	it only uses it to do whatever it has been

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. If Google acts solely as a data
3	processor for Google Analytics data and
4	never as a data controller, in your mind
5	could it do that for sWAA-off users data?
6	MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
7	hypothetical. Go ahead.
8	A. I'm not sure, because I haven't
9	done that analysis, and you may also be
10	getting into issues which also require
11	legal advice, because those are those
12	are legal definitions under like GDPR. So
13	I'm not
14	Q. That's why I gave you a
15	definition. I'm trying to understand,
16	again, the limits of your opinion, right?
17	So you understand, you opine that Google
18	does a number of things with Analytics
19	data. One of the things it does is service
2 0	Analytics accounts, right?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Like your website or the New
23	York Times app?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. Provide information to those
	Page 119

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 121 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    developers about how people are using the
2
    app or the website?
3
         Α.
                Yes.
         Q.
                Okay. You opine that Google
4
5
    also uses the same Analytics data to do
6
    other things, like advertising, right?
7
                Yes.
         Α.
8
                 Okay. I'm trying to understand
         O .
9
    where you see the problem. So is it a
    problem if Google is only doing the
10
11
    Analytics provision to the website or the
12
    app, is that a problem for sWAA-off users
13
    Analytics data?
1 4
                 I have a very clear answer to
15
    that, where is the problem. The problem is
16
    that when the user is given the impression
17
    that their activity is private and then
18
    their data is collected, that's where the
19
    problem is. It is right at the collection
20
    logging. That's where the problem occurs.
21
    And all this downstream stuff, you are kind
22
    of trying to ask me, is there a way to
23
    throw out the bath water without throwing
24
    out the baby, because, you know, I think we
25
    both agree that Analytics is a useful
                                          Page 120
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 122 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 product to merchants and they want to have access to it. You know, website operators 2 3 want to have analytics. And I already commented that 4 5 Google has kind of put itself into a 6 difficult position by being on both sides of it. They are telling the user, hey, 8 here is your chance for privacy and then 9 they are also telling the website operator 10 hey, here is this great analytics product 11 that you can use to track, and I think I 12 have sort of said their life might be 13 simpler if they would pick one or the other 14 and not try to do both at the same time. 15 That might be easier. 16 Ο. But that's not what I'm asking 17 you, right? I understand that's your 18 advice. But my question is if Google 19 collects and saves sWAA-off GA4F data and 20 only uses it to service the Analytics account that generated the data, so it came 21 from the New York Times, somebody used the 22 23 New York Times, the New York Times sends it 24 to Google, Google saves it and analyzes it 25 for the New York Times, that's it, that's Page 121

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 123 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    the whole lifecycle of the data, in your
2
    mind for the sWAA-off users in that
3
    lifecycle, is the description of the button
    misleading then? Are they --
4
5
               Whether the button is
6
    misleading I guess is maybe an opinion that
    someone else is going to delve into in
7
    depth. I told you, I just mentioned that
8
9
    in passing to establish the relevance of my
    technical analysis.
10
11
                I think it's -- what I found is
12
    entirely consistent with that button being
13
    misleading, and I've said that this is a
    fake control, because it doesn't do what it
1 4
15
    seems to say it's going to do, and I've
16
    said that the problem is collecting and
17
    saving the data. It's the collecting and
18
    logging where the problem is.
19
                Now, you are saying well, what
20
    if Google was just acting as an agent for
21
    somebody else in order to do this, would
22
    that be allowable? That may really get
23
    into more of a legal question. I'm not
24
    sure, I haven't opined about that
25
    hypothetical you're putting forward and I
                                         Page 122
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 124 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	don't think I'm going to shoot from the hip
2	and do it now.
3	Q. Okay. I think I understand
4	what you're saying.
5	So you're aware that there are
6	other analytics providers, right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. With respect to the opinions
9	you've rendered in this case, what in your
10	mind is the difference between an app
11	developer using Google Analytics for
12	Firebase as opposed to, for example,
13	AppsFlyer?
14	MR. MAO: So, Eduardo, you are
15	going on to a different module now.
16	THE WITNESS: I was just about
17	to say that, that this would be a great
18	time to take a break, if we are going
19	to go to a different module.
20	MR. SANTACANA: It's not, well,
21	at least not in my outline, it is not a
22	different module. But I will wrap it
23	up soon.
24	A. So, yes, an app developer could
25	use some other analytics package, sure.
	Page 123

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 125 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. So what's the difference, why does it matter that, to you, that it was 2 3 Google Analytics for Firebase rather than 4 AppsFlyer? 5 Well, one thing is that 6 AppsFlyer hasn't made any privacy 7 representations to the users. 8 Is there any other difference? 0. 9 Α. Google Analytics for Firebase integrates really well with Google's 10 11 advertising products and it powers some 12 additional features that I don't think you 13 could get with third-party analytics. 14 0. Have you rendered that opinion 15 in this case? Well, I just told you that 16 Α. 17 because you asked me, but --18 But it's not in your report, Q. 19 right? 20 It's not in my report, but I 21 understand that Mr. Black put that as a 22 rebuttal to me that, you know, these 23 vendors could use this third-party tool, 24 and so I'm sort of criticizing Black by 25 saying yeah, but it's not equivalent, it's Page 124

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 126 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

_	
1	not an equivalent substitute. It is
2	different. It is qualitatively different.
3	Q. You have not undertaken any
4	study of the competitors of Google
5	Analytics for Firebase and the extent to
6	which they offer similar or the same
7	features, right?
8	A. I haven't opined about that in
9	my report. I mean, I'm generally aware of
10	these other companies.
11	Q. But you have not undertaken to
12	study it in order to render an expert
13	opinion to the jury in this case?
14	A. My computer just logged me out.
15	Q. That's okay. You don't need it
16	to answer this question.
17	A. Sure. I haven't done that
18	study here, again, because Mr. Black has
19	rebutted me and I haven't had a chance to
2 0	respond to him.
21	Q. Sure. But you're just shooting
2 2	from the hip now?
2 3	MR. MAO: Objection,
2 4	mischaracterizes the procedural
25	allowances in a case in response to a
	Page 125

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 127 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         rebuttal. I mean, come on, let's not
2
         do that. Go ahead.
3
                I wouldn't -- I wouldn't say
         Α.
4
    that this is shooting from the hip. I'm
5
    just telling you what I know about the
6
    topic, okay? That I am a user of Google's
7
    marketing technology and I see what Google
8
    tells us about how to pitch this
9
    technology, how to sell it to customers.
10
    They encourage everyone very strongly use
11
    use Google Analytics -- Google Analytics 4,
12
    the current version, they want everyone to
13
    upgrade. You get the best access to
    features. You get the best integration. I
1 4
15
    mean, that's clear and sort of
16
    noncontroversial. I don't need to do a big
17
    study to tell you that because that's
18
    everywhere.
19
                Well, with all due respect,
         0.
20
    this is a lawsuit, you are here as an
21
    expert, this is clear and noncontroversial
22
    is not an expert opinion. So I need to
23
    understand the basis of what you're saying,
24
    okay? You did not undertake a study of
25
    Google Analytics' competitors in the
                                         Page 126
```

```
1
    market, right?
2
                I did not undertake a study of
         Α.
3
    the Google Analytics competitors.
4
               You did not apply any
         Q.
5
    methodology in this case as an expert that
    you intend to present to a jury comparing
6
    the features of Google Analytics and its
8
    competitors, right?
              You know, what I would say is
9
    that I have experience with these products,
10
11
    and I can tell you for sure that if someone
12
    has to go set up a second product, a lot of
    the smaller advertisers will look at this
13
1 4
    and say, you know, too much work, too much
15
    trouble, I can just use Google Analytics,
16
    that is easier.
17
         Ο.
                Okay. Well, we will get to
    that, but that's not my question.
18
19
                You did not apply any
20
    methodology in this case as an expert that
21
    you intend to present to the jury comparing
22
    the features of Google Analytics to the
23
    features of its competitors?
24
         Α.
                I haven't set up a feature
25
    comparison study.
                                          Page 127
```

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 129 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
          Q.
                  Okay.
2
                  MR. SANTACANA: We can take
3
          lunch.
4
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
5
          12:48 p.m. We are off the record.
                  (Luncheon recess: 12:48 p.m.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                             Page 128
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 130 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N
2	1:34 p.m.
3	JONATHAN HOCHMAN, resumed.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 5	1:34 p.m. We are back on the record.
6	CONTINUED EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. SANTACANA:
8	Q. So we left off talking about
9	your opinion about Google's changes it
10	could make to how WAA and sWAA function.
11	
	A. Yes.
12	Q. And I want to talk about your
13	opinions relating to what Google could
14	purge from its systems, okay?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. So you reviewed some Baseview
17	data in this case?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And you propose, among other
2 0	things, that Google purge sWAA-off Baseview
21	data, right?
22	A. I believe so.
23	Q. In the Baseview data that you
2 4	reviewed, was there a sWAA bit in there
25	indicating whether the entries were
	Page 129

1 collected while sWAA was on or off? 2 I believe that -- I think I've 3 said something to the effect that there is enough data in there in terms of 4 5 identifiers that it could be determined, it could be calculated, if Google had held on 6 to a sufficient amount of data. I know 8 there was a dispute in this case where 9 Google wanted to delete some data in the ordinary course and plaintiffs said no, 10 11 don't delete that, and then Google deleted 12 it. So that may come into play I suppose. 13 Well, I'm not sure what you're Q. referring to, but I'm just asking what you 1 4 15 saw in the data that you had. I mean, I have documented that 16 17 carefully in the report. I have written it 18 down. I don't want to speak from memory on 19 that because it is kind of a nitty-gritty point. I don't want to -- I stated it -- I 20 21 wrote it as clearly as I could, so I don't 22 want to change what I wrote. 23 Q. Okay. So let's look at your 24 paragraph 416. It says "I have not 25 observed a WAA or sWAA bit in non-GAIA, Page 130

```
1
    GA4F logs."
2
                 What does that phrase, can you
3
    explain what that phrase means?
4
         Α.
                 Yeah, so the non-GAIA logs are
5
    those, probably the data that's stored in
6
    Sawmill or data that's not stored in what
7
    Google calls the Google account, and so the
8
    WAA and sWAA bits, those bits are
9
    everywhere in Google's data, because I
    think Google commented that they had more
10
11
    than a million hits when they searched for
    those field names, but in the limited
12
13
    amount of logs that were provided by
14
    Google, you know, less than we asked for, I
15
    didn't see those bits in that data.
16
    that's what that means.
17
                 Okay. And Baseview, in this
         Ο.
    scenario, Baseview is one of the non-GAIA
18
19
    GA4F logs, at least the Baseview that you
    reviewed?
20
21
                My understanding -- I'm sorry.
         Α.
22
                 That's all right.
         O .
23
         Α.
                 So my understanding, there is a
24
    bit of a dichotomy between footprints,
25
    which is the GAIA logs, GAIA key logs, and
                                          Page 131
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 133 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    the Baseview, which are non-GAIA.
2
                 Okay. I think I understand
         0.
3
    this phrase now.
                 So in 416 I think what you're
4
5
    saying is there are sWAA bits in GAIA logs
    at Google but you didn't find any sWAA bits
6
    in non-GAIA logs, that's 416; is that fair
8
    to say?
9
                Yes, because the
    user-controlled field I believe encodes
10
11
    those bits.
12
         Ο.
                 So then the last sentence of
13
    416 says "Google could populate non-GAIA
14
    logs with user control field for the
15
    purpose of identifying sWAA-off traffic."
16
         Α.
                 Let me just take a look at this
17
    aqain.
18
                 Sure.
         Q.
19
                 Yes, and I think we probably
         Α.
    need to delve into Appendix 6, because that
20
21
    is mentioned here. So there is more
22
    explanations and data there.
23
         Q.
                 Appendix G?
                 Sorry, yes, G, not 6.
24
         Α.
25
                 Section 2.1.2?
         Q.
                                          Page 132
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 134 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay, all right. In 417 you
3	propose an alternative way to identify
4	sWAA-off traffic, or, excuse me, sWAA-off
5	data, which involves you say "Google
6	could search its systems for all
7	identifiers associated with that user,"
8	referring to users that we know had sWAA
9	off at any particular time during the class
10	period, right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Did you find in your work on
13	this case well, strike that.
14	Are there particular systems
15	that you have in mind in this sentence,
16	"Google could search its systems for all
17	identifiers associated with that user"?
18	A. Yeah, I think I've documented a
19	few of them in this
2 0	Q. Appendix G?
21	A. Not Appendix G. I think there
2 2	is section in the report where I go
2 3	through, I think it is Constellation, there
2 4	is another system, it is called Rambo, and
2 5	there are a few of those which are mapping
	Page 133

1	essentially between identifiers.
2	Q. I recall that section.
3	A. So I think if you were to look
4	in those mappings, Google stores mappings
5	and they have ways also if you just look
6	in your GAIA logs, you will find sometimes
7	some of these other identifiers might be
8	might be in there, so there is linkages.
9	So I think it would be possible
10	to just search for the links that are
11	already there webbed throughout all the
12	data and find find all that WAA-off and
13	sWAA-off data, or at least most of it or as
14	much of it as is feasible to find.
15	Q. We will come back to that. I
16	think I understand what you're saying now.
17	At least with respect to the
18	data you reviewed in the case, were you
19	able to make create a mapping between
20	GAIA ID and what Google calls pseudonymous
21	identifiers like device ID?
2 2	A. I mean, we have to go into the
23	appendices where that work is documented.
2 4	Q. Which one?
25	A. Good question. Let me take a
	Page 134

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 136 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    look at the table of contents, because I
    don't know myself. Okay, oops, this table
2
    of contents -- let me go into -- let me
3
    check in one other location here.
4
5
                 I think you mean Appendix G,
6
    tab 4, but I could be wrong.
7
                Yeah, let's take a look at
         Α.
8
    that.
9
         Q. It is pretty long, so maybe
    I'll break down the question for a moment.
10
11
         Α.
                 Sure.
12
         Q.
                My first question is just did
    you attempt in this case to join -- strike
13
    that. Let me try again.
14
15
                 Did you attempt in this case to
16
    find evidence that Google had joined in the
17
    same log device ID and GAIA ID? Was that
18
    one of the things you did?
19
                 I think that had we noticed
    that, had I noticed that, I would have
20
21
    documented it.
                Okay.
22
         0.
23
         Α.
                 So I --
24
         Q.
                 I don't think you did.
                 Yeah, I don't think I
25
         Α.
                                          Page 135
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 137 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	necessarily found an indication of joining.
2	So just to be clear, joining
3	and linking are two different things.
4	Joining means putting the two pieces
5	together in the same place. Linking means
6	that there is just a logical connection
7	between the two pieces of data, okay?
8	There is a common identifier or there is a
9	common fingerprint, okay? There might
10	be data might be stored in separate
11	places but there is a common there is a
12	link between them.
13	Q. Got it.
14	A. Okay? So I'm using the
15	standard of linking, not of joining.
16	Q. I understand. So I hadn't
17	heard that distinction before, but join we
18	will use to mean actually joined together
19	in the same place, whereas linking is about
20	the availability of a mapping that may or
21	may not have been used to join. Is that
22	fair to say?
2 3	A. We don't know whether we
2 4	don't know whether someone has joined it or
25	not, we just know that it is linked, there
	Page 136

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 138 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    is a link.
                Think of it as quantum
    entanglement. You've got two particles,
2
3
    they are entangled, they can go as far
    apart as you want but they are still
4
5
    linked.
6
                Right. But if you can only
7
    observe one --
8
                Whatever you observe in one you
         Α.
9
    are going to observe in the other.
                Well, actually if you observe
10
         Ο.
11
    it, it will screw it up, is so --
12
                Well, it collapses the quantum
13
    state, so you get one or the other,
    outputs, if it is zero or 1.
14
15
                 So I want to be really clear
16
    though -- well, okay, so if you had seen a
17
    join you would have documented it. You
18
    didn't document a join that Google had
19
    performed. Did you attempt to perform any
20
    joins of linked GAIA and non-GAIA data
21
    together?
22
                So I'm not actually needing to
    join, I'm just looking for links, because
23
24
    the idea is if there is a link somebody who
25
    gets both pieces of data can know that that
                                          Page 137
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 139 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 data is associated with that user. 2 Right. So like --0. 3 And also, by the way, you don't Α. 4 necessarily need to even link -- you don't 5 need to join the data within Google's 6 If you get some data out of 7 Google's system that has an identifier and 8 then you go to some third-party system and 9 get some data, it can be linked there, or you can get, off the person's phone, you 10 11 can get it. 12 So the problem, as I have been 13 saying from the start, occurs at the moment 1 4 that sensitive information is collected 15 when the user has indicated they don't want 16 that sensitive information collected, 17 because the actual collection hurts the 18 user. 19 Minimally it hurts the user by, you know, chewing up their mobile device 20 21 battery and tying up their bandwidth and 22 deteriorating the performance of their 23 device. But, additionally, it hurts them 24 by putting them at risk of their data being 25 exposed when they have said I don't want Page 138

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 140 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	this data collected because I'm afraid for
2	it to be exposed, if someone collects it,
3	that is a problem. I can even give you
4	another analogy
5	Q. Well, I think I understand what
6	you're saying, but we can come back to your
7	analogy later. Maybe you can slip it into
8	a different answer.
9	My next question is what I'm
10	trying to understand is just what you did
11	and didn't do, that's all, in this
12	Appendix G is about your data testing and
13	your procedures and all that. In this case
14	you received what we've discussed are GAIA
15	logs and non-GAIA logs, right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Did you undertake any attempts
18	to find a match between entries in one of
19	those and the other?
20	A. I mean, I think we've
21	documented some ways that this stuff can be
22	matched up, okay? You know, it doesn't
2 3	necessarily mean I found evidence that
2 4	Google joined them.
25	Q. Right. I'm not saying Google,
	Page 139

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 141 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	I'm saying you. Did you successfully match
2	any of them up?
3	A. I mean, we have to look through
4	all the reports to I don't remember
5	actually every detail of this.
6	Q. Well, I don't think you did
7	actually do that. But I'm trying to
8	understand, you know, I don't know what
9	went on behind the scenes. Did you try
10	to I'll tell you what I'm asking. In
11	Brown you testified that you tried to and
12	did join what Google calls pseudonymous
13	data and a person's identity, but you
14	didn't say that in your report here, and so
15	I don't know if that's because you tried
16	and it didn't happen or if it's because you
17	didn't try.
18	MR. MAO: Assumes facts not in
19	evidence. You are assuming that the
20	analogies are one-for-one in one case
21	versus the other.
22	MR. SANTACANA: I'm not
23	assuming anything.
2 4	Q. I'm just trying to understand
25	what you did and didn't do, and that's
	Page 140
	J • - I

1 context for my question. 2 Sure. So a couple of things. Α. 3 One, I didn't prepare Brown, I haven't 4 reread my Brown report for this. And I 5 have also kind of avoided talking about 6 Brown in this case and you have sort of 7 opened the door up there. 8 I think that we, in this case, 9 I and my assistants, did the analysis on what data we had. We may have had less 10 11 data here than we had in Brown. It is --12 it is a different case, different 13 circumstances. 1 4 So, but did you try to match Ο. 15 together entries in a GAIA log to entries 16 in a non-GAIA log to show that they 17 belonged to the same person or the same 18 device? Did you attempt to do that, 19 yourself or your team? 20 I mean, I think we were able to 21 do that with fingerprinting. I think we 22 did find some ability to match up like 23 using some timestamp combined with some 24 other data we were able to correlate things 25 I believe. I think there is a test in Page 141

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 143 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    there that talks about that.
                                   I'm aware
2
    that the timestamps are fuzzed a little bit
3
    but that fuzzing doesn't appear to have
    been enough to stop us from doing that.
4
5
         0.
                Okay. So you're saying you
6
    believe that you did use timestamps to
7
    match together entries?
8
                I'm just -- I haven't found it
         Α.
9
    in the report yet. I'm speaking from
    memory. I believe that there was some
10
11
    point at which we were able to match stuff
12
    up just using fingerprinting at minimum,
13
    and whether we were able to match up with
1 4
    identifiers is something else. But it's
15
    documented in here. If we did, we did. If
16
    you're saying it's not there, then it's not
17
    there. I can -- I can accept your
    representation, although the document will
18
19
    ultimately speak for itself.
20
                I don't think it's there, but I
21
    also don't want to sit here for an hour
22
    while you read it. So maybe during a break
23
    you can try and find that spot.
24
                I will -- during a break maybe
         Α.
25
    I will figure out if I can find that or
                                         Page 142
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 144 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	not.
2	Q. Okay. But you but suffice
3	to say then it sounds like you do recall
4	attempting to conduct such a match?
5	A. I think that for thoroughness I
6	have looked into that and it may be the
7	case that the way the data was presented to
8	us in the selection of data, which was
9	pretty limited, it didn't pan out.
10	Q. But if whatever you did find,
11	it would be documented here, right?
12	A. Yeah, whatever I did find is
13	documented, and I don't have anything to
14	change at this moment.
15	Q. Okay.
16	MR. MAO: I will
17	MR. SANTACANA: It is not an
18	objection. You can complain during a
19	break. I already know what you are
20	going to say.
21	MR. MAO: The objection is to
2 2	the form of the question.
2 3	MR. SANTACANA: Okay, if you
2 4	say so.
25	Q. So part of your purging opinion
	Page 143

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 145 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	has to do with joining that user control
2	field from a GAIA log to a log that doesn't
3	contain GAIA?
4	MR. MAO: Objection to the form
5	of the question, mischaracterizes the
6	evidence. But go ahead.
7	A. Let's go take a look at that.
8	What paragraph are you looking at?
9	Q. I think it is 416. Yeah, so
10	416, "Google could populate non-GAIA GA4F
11	logs with this user controls field for the
12	purpose of identifying user
13	data/identifiers associated with sWAA-off
14	traffic."
15	Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. So my question about that is
18	did you attempt to develop a method to
19	figure out how to match up the user control
2 0	field from a GAIA log to entries in a
21	non-GAIA log?
2 2	A. So I believe that Appendix G,
2 3	which I haven't read through, will have
2 4	some information about that, if that if
25	that has if I did figure that out.
	Page 144

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 146 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	I would also just comment that
2	there are some identifiers in here that
3	Google constructs via cryptographic methods
4	for which Google has a key and we don't.
5	So Google may have some additional
6	capability using its keys to be able to
7	match things up better than I could.
8	Q. You said Google may have
9	additional capabilities using its keys.
10	Did you render the opinion in the case that
11	it can do that?
12	A. I think that all the details
13	are in Appendix G, which is cited here. So
14	I think I would have to read through that
15	carefully and I could probably sort it out.
16	I just don't have it at the top of my head.
17	Q. Okay.
18	MR. MAO: Just one point of
19	clarification. I do think the way you
2 0	used the word "joined" here is
21	different than Brown. In Brown the
22	definition of "join" was talking about
23	Google joining. You asking about
2 4	Jonathan to join is kind of a strange
25	thing. I'm just noting that as a
	Page 145

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 147 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	standing objection.
2	MR. SANTACANA: Okay. I'm
3	using Jonathan's definition of "join"
4	for today.
5	MR. MAO: Let's just let you
6	finish, because I'm pretty very,
7	very confident that's not how that was
8	used in Brown.
9	MR. SANTACANA: Okay. Well,
10	this is not Brown, but that's helpful
11	to know.
12	MR. MAO: Well, you are
13	analogizing the two. That's the only
14	reason why I was trying to make a point
15	of clarification.
16	MR. SANTACANA: I get it.
17	Q. Okay. Did you undertake in
18	this case to populate a non-GAIA GA4F log
19	with a user control field from a GAIA log
20	to identify user data associated with
21	sWAA-off traffic?
22	A. Unless I presented it in that
23	Appendix G, which I haven't read recently,
24	I didn't, okay? But if I did, it would be
25	documented.
	Page 146

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 148 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. I didn't see it there. I mean,
2	this sentence says Google could do this,
3	but it's not citing anything. I mean, we
4	can look you do cite Section 2.1.2 to
5	discuss user controls. So we can take a
6	look at that. I will take a look during a
7	break, but I don't think it's in there.
8	A. Well, why don't you take a look
9	and then we'll take a look and then we will
10	see if we can get to the bottom of it.
11	Q. Great. Then I'll move on for
12	now.
13	You also reviewed ads data from
14	ads logs in the case, right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do those logs contain any sWAA
17	bit, the ones that you reviewed?
18	A. I don't recall. I mean, it's
19	sort of a very big set of data that was
20	reviewed and a lot of analysis, so I would
21	just cling to the report and what I've
2 2	documented in the report, because that has
23	all the answers.
2 4	Q. Okay. Were the ads logs that
25	you reviewed in your mind GAIA logs or
	Page 147

1	non-GAIA logs?
2	A. I think the ads logs seemed
3	like they are something separate from GAIA,
4	but, again, I think I've documented it
5	clearly in the report, so whatever I've
6	said holds.
7	Q. Okay. Now moving to paragraph
8	418, you say that "It is not possible at
9	this point to 'unbake the cake,'" so Google
10	would need to delete internal products,
11	services or algorithms it built in whole or
12	in part with sWAA-off data.
13	Are you rendering an opinion in
14	this case as to which products, services or
15	algorithms those are?
16	A. No, because you could see in
17	footnote 183 I have said that an
18	independent assessor could look into that.
19	Q. Okay. So that would be like a
20	future project?
21	A. Future work.
2 2	Q. Okay. And you do not identify
23	in this report a list or a partial list or
2 4	even examples of products, services or
25	algorithms that Google built in whole or in
	Page 148

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 150 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	part with sWAA-off data?
2	A. No.
3	MR. MAO: Just a standing
4	objection, that I don't want to I
5	don't want to get bogged down with the
6	discovery history in this case.
7	MR. SANTACANA: I appreciate
8	that.
9	MR. MAO: Yeah, just my
10	objection, a standing one, but I will
11	let you ask your questions.
12	A. Can I answer it now?
13	Q. I thought you did.
14	A. I didn't really answer.
15	Q. My transcript says you said
16	"no."
17	A. Yeah, I was going to say more.
18	Q. Well, that's okay. I got my
19	answer.
2 0	All right, let's talk about app
21	developers. So app developers are the ones
22	who install Google Analytics for Firebase
23	into their app, right?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. Google doesn't install it?
	Page 149

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 151 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. That's an interesting question.
2	That's a really interesting philosophical
3	question, because one thing I covered in
4	the report was the migration from AdMob to
5	AdMob+ when Google sort of inserted the
6	Google Analytics for Firebase essentially
7	code and functionality into the advertising
8	SDK and deployed that essentially as an
9	update to the advertising SDK so that
10	everyone who had the advertising SDK
11	suddenly had the Google Analytics for
12	Firebase functionality in there. So that's
13	just a caveat I would put into that answer.
14	Q. Okay. We will get to AdMob in
15	a moment. I'm trying to keep the record
16	clean. My question was about Google
17	Analytics for Firebase, that's the GA4F
18	SDK.
19	A. That SDK is installed by the
20	developer. I just noted that Google did
21	slip essentially that code into another SDK
22	by way of an update.
23	Q. Yeah. We will get to that,
2 4	because I don't think that's what your
25	report says, but we will get to that. GA4F
	Page 150

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 152 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    is an optional feature of the Firebase SDK
2
    which has other features in it too, right?
                 Let me take a look, because I
3
         Α.
4
    have actually documented this pretty
5
    carefully in the report, a section that
6
    talks about the SDKs.
7
                 Yeah, I think that is 62.
         Q.
8
         Α.
                 Yeah, and I don't want to
9
    change what I said there.
10
                 Paragraph 62.
         0.
11
         Α.
                 Okay.
                        It's in the background
12
    section I think.
13
                 Yes, page 28.
         Q.
                 All right, so I just would
1 4
         Α.
15
    point out in paragraph 63 for app
16
    developers that use Firebase GA4F is
17
    enabled by default. I also want to note
18
    here it says that GA4F is tightly
    integrated with ads, which is one of the
19
20
    points I was making previously.
21
                 Okay. But you're not asserting
         0.
22
    in this case that developers are using
23
    Google Analytics for Firebase by accident,
24
    right? They are all intending to do it,
25
    you have to take steps to make it work?
                                          Page 151
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 153 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I think that I don't feel like
2	I need to fight you on this point.
3	Q. I don't think you do.
4	A. So I would just let my report
5	speak for itself. Whatever I've said
6	stands.
7	Q. Okay. Now let's talk about the
8	AdMob or GMA SDK for a moment. So
9	paragraph 71 says "In order to use AdMob,
10	app developers must embed the Google Mobile
11	Ads SDK in their apps."
12	That at least is accurate,
13	right, that SDK doesn't appear by accident,
14	an app developer has to incorporate it?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Now, 73 so I think you just
17	asserted that Google slipped AdMob+ into
18	AdMob with an update that meant that
19	developers were suddenly using it without
2 0	knowing it I think is what you were
21	implying, but I don't think that your
2 2	footnote 60 supports that. So just take a
2 3	look and let me know if you want to
2 4	recharacterize your testimony.
2 5	MR. MAO: I object to the
	Page 152

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 154 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	characterization.
2	A. No, I think I like the
3	testimony, but you should look at paragraph
4	74 which quotes and cites Google created
5	AdMob+ to ensure we are collecting
6	analytics data for all AdMob publishers.
7	Q. That's a quotation from some
8	Google document, right?
9	A. We should look at the document,
10	yeah.
11	Q. Okay. Well, what I'm looking
12	at is paragraph 73 which says
	And then in the
16	footnote to that sentence it says it
17	cites a document and in parentheses quotes
18	the document saying that
21	I think, I mean, this is just
22	kind of basic logic, but I assume that
23	means then that we would be to the control of the c
	so obviously the
25	developer has to take some step to do it,
	Page 153

```
1
    right?
2
                No, because you can see in
    paragraph 74 and 75, well, in 75 I say
3
    "Google neither used the term 'AdMob+'
4
5
    externally nor marketed its new
    capabilities as a separate product.
6
7
    Instead, in the summer of 2019 Google
8
    simply updated the existing Google Mobile
9
    Ads SDK, so that analytics functionality
    was automatically available, " and that
10
11
    "According, to documents Google produced in
12
    this litigation Google aimed 'to achieve
13
    100 percent of analytics data collection
14
    for AdMob publishers.'"
15
                 So all that to me reads a
    little bit like force-feeding.
16
                 Okay. Well, I mean, we're here
17
         Ο.
    for your expert testimony, so I just want
18
19
    to make sure you're being as accurate as
20
    you can be, okay? Are you opining in the
21
    case that AdMob+ functionality was
    force-fed to app developers?
22
23
         Α.
                 I think someone could draw that
24
    conclusion, but what I would testify about
25
    in court is what it says here in the
                                          Page 154
```

```
1
    report.
2
                 Which is that Google aimed to
         O .
3
    achieve 100 percent adoption, and that the
    functionality was automatically available,
4
5
    right?
                 Well, here's the thing, when
6
7
    you have an SDK --
8
                 I'm just saying you said that
         O .
9
    in here, right?
10
                 But I want to clarify to you
11
    that when there is an update to an SDK,
12
    generally developers will install updates,
13
    they will uptake the updates, because the
14
    updates may be needed for security reasons.
15
    You know, keeping your software up to date
16
    and patched is generally an important thing
17
    to do.
18
                 Did you evaluate the patch in
         Q.
19
    question?
20
         Α.
                 I did not evaluate the patch in
21
    question. I've just noted that this was an
22
    update that was made and this was part of
23
    Google's statement that they want to
24
    achieve 100 percent analytics data
25
    collection for AdMob publishers, and this
                                          Page 155
```

1	
3	Q. Try and try and just stick
4	to the question. Did you evaluate the
5	update patch that you're talking about?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Did you evaluate the
8	documentation that came with it?
9	A. I think I've cited some of it
10	here.
11	Q. You cited the documentation to
12	the update in question?
13	A. Well, that becomes a boundary
14	issue of what do you mean by
15	"documentation"? You have correspondence
16	around it.
17	Q. I'm talking about
18	correspondence with developers.
19	A. I've cited to a bunch of
20	documents. So those are the ones I've
21	cited and until we look at them I can't off
2 2	the top of my head remember what's in each
2 3	one of these Bates numbered documents.
2 4	MR. MAO: Yeah, belated
25	objection, mischaracterizes the
	Page 156

1	document.
2	Q. Okay. So if you haven't cited
3	documentation that was provided to
4	developers in this report about the AdMob+
5	update, then you didn't review it; is that
6	fair to say?
7	A. I've cited everything that I've
8	reviewed regarding this issue.
9	Q. Okay. Now, back to GA4F,
10	Google Analytics for Firebase features of
11	the Firebase SDK can be customized by app
12	developers; is that fair to say?
13	A. What paragraph are you
14	referring to here, if any?
15	Q. Just generally.
16	A. I don't know if I have given
17	that opinion or not. If I said so, then I
18	said so, and if not, I want to check what
19	your source is.
2 0	Q. Okay. Well, you're aware that
21	there are custom events?
2 2	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. And those are customizable by
2 4	the developer?
25	A. Okay, now I understand what you
	Page 157

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 159 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	are talking about, yes.
2	Q. You yourself in this case made
3	some custom events for the test app that
4	you created, right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And then there is also what's
7	called automatically collected events?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And those are customizable but
10	to a lesser degree I guess?
11	A. Okay. Yeah, I think that might
12	be a fair way to describe it.
13	Q. So part of the customization
14	that's possible with Google Analytics for
15	Firebase is choosing the types of
16	information to send to Google?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And part of that is choosing,
19	for example, which custom events to log in
2 0	your app, if any?
21	A. Yes.
2 2	Q. You or your team configured
2 3	your test app to log certain custom events,
2 4	right?
25	A. Yes.
	Page 158

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 160 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. And those events would have a
2	name and also parameters associated with
3	them?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And the parameters can also be
6	customized?
7	A. You can choose what parameters
8	to send.
9	Q. And what they say?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And your team made the
12	choice to test out whether you could send
13	an e-mail address as a parameter of a
14	custom event for the test app you made for
15	this case?
16	A. Oh, yes, because we observed
17	that in the wild and we were looking to
18	recreate and so we could say this is the
19	process by how such a thing we have
20	observed in the wild has occurred.
21	Q. Got it.
2 2	A. It is scientific to try to
23	reproduce an observed phenomenon.
2 4	Q. And you were able to do it, you
25	were able to customize your test app to
	Page 159

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 161 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	send those e-mail addresses?
2	A. Yes, and I'm also aware that
3	there is various terms of service that seek
4	to guide developers about what kind of data
5	they should and shouldn't log. I'm aware
6	of that.
7	Q. Okay. We will come to that.
8	MR. MAO: Sorry to interrupt,
9	I'm just letting you know, can we take
10	a break at 11:30? About 23 minutes.
11	MR. SANTACANA: 2:30?
12	MR. MAO: Yeah, sorry, I just
13	have a quick call, personal call.
14	MR. SANTACANA: No problem.
15	Q. Okay. Had you not customized
16	your test app to send e-mail addresses,
17	those e-mail addresses would not have been
18	sent to Google, right?
19	A. Correct. That's not a default
20	behavior.
21	Q. Okay. Do any of the
22	automatically collected events for Google
2 3	Analytics for Firebase send e-mail
2 4	addresses?
2 5	A. Not that I'm aware of.
	Page 160

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 162 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Do any of them send any
2	personally identifiable information?
3	A. Yes, because they send
4	identifiers that can be linked to an
5	individual I'm sorry, I used that
6	subjunctive but I shouldn't have. They
7	send identifiers that are linked to an
8	individual.
9	Q. To an individual device?
10	A. Yeah, and the device is linked
11	to the individual.
12	Q. Okay. Setting aside device
13	identifiers and other similar unique
14	identifiers, is there any other personally
15	identifiable information that is sent with
16	the Google Analytics for Firebase
17	automatically collected events?
18	A. As far as I know, the
19	automatically collected events, as far as
20	it concerns the issues here, relate to the
21	personal identifiers that are sent, in
2 2	other words, identifiers that link to a
23	person. I'm not asserting that these
2 4	automatic events will automatically send an
25	e-mail address or a phone number or a
	Page 161

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 163 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	license plate number. I'm not asserting
2	that and I don't want to sort of overcharge
3	Google in this case.
4	Q. Okay. So Google didn't design
5	Google Analytics for Firebase to have
6	people send them names or e-mail addresses
7	through automatically collected events?
8	A. Yeah. What I will observe is
9	that we did observe it happen, and I
10	understand Dr. Black's rebuttal, which is
11	that that's not according to the terms of
12	service, that the developers shouldn't do
13	that, I understand that.
14	My retort to him is that in any
15	policy there is always some rate of
16	compliance and some rate of noncompliance.
17	With something that is very, very widely
18	used there will inevitably be some
19	noncompliance even by legitimate,
20	good-faith parties who we observed who did
21	this probably erroneously, accidentally,
2 2	unintentionally, it has happened.
2 3	And I think that the other
2 4	insight from this is that when it does
25	happen, of course automatically identifying
	Page 162

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 164 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    an e-mail address as a piece of data is a
2
    very easy thing to do. There is like
    functions -- canned functions that do that
3
    for you, is this a valid e-mail address, is
4
    this string a valid e-mail address. A
5
6
    quick test, you can know.
7
                 I just would comment that
8
    Google upon receiving the data doesn't seem
9
    to run that test to say hey, is this an
    e-mail address, is this a phone number, is
10
11
    this something, you know, likely to be very
12
    sensitive, and maybe we should redact this
13
    and not log it. I just would note that
14
    Google isn't doing that, and that might be
15
    a good thing for them to do.
16
         O .
                That is understood. So just so
17
    we're super clear, when you talk about rate
18
    of compliance with the policy, you're not
19
    opining in this case that Google, even
20
    though it has that policy with developers
21
    to not send names and e-mail addresses and
22
    the like, that Google wants them to be sent
23
    to Google anyway, that's not one of the
24
    things you're opining on?
25
                No, I'm not -- I'm not saying
         Α.
                                          Page 163
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 165 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	that.
2	Q. Okay. And you're not opining
3	that Google is capitalizing on the
4	noncompliance of developers who do send
5	things like name and e-mail address?
6	MR. MAO: So, sorry, just
7	MR. SANTACANA: Objection to
8	form works.
9	MR. MAO: Yeah, objection to
10	form.
11	Q. Go ahead.
12	A. Can you read back the question?
13	Sorry.
14	Q. I'll do it. You're not opining
15	that Google is capitalizing on the
16	noncompliance of developers who do send
17	things like name and e-mail address?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. Also, I would just also comment
21	that the policy is the policy documents
2 2	and the terms of service are kind of
2 3	lengthy and complex things and I'm not
2 4	trying to give you a legal opinion even to
25	say this is compliant or noncompliant, I'm
	Page 164

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 166 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 just sort of taking his word for it that 2 I'm assuming it's noncompliant. 3 0. Understood. 4 Α. I'm assuming what he says is 5 right, but maybe somebody is going to argue 6 that that is actually wrong. Ο. An app developer might show up 8 with a different view, understood. But in 9 this room we can agree it's not compliant to send e-mail addresses that way? 10 11 Α. I'm taking it as an assumption. 12 Q. Okay. So in that test app did 13 you also incorporate the GMA SDK? 1 4 I've documented whatever is Α. 15 incorporated in there, because there are 16 two test apps and we should just look, 17 because that's an interesting question and 18 I don't remember it off the top of my head. 19 0. Okay. We will look -- I will look during a break and come back to GMA. 20 21 Okay. 22 When you were configuring your 23 test app and the Google Analytics account 24 that went with it, did you enable Google 25 Signals; do you know? Page 165

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 167 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I don't recall, but it may be
2	documented.
3	Q. Okay. I don't think it is, but
4	I will check.
5	And then you're familiar with
6	the check box developers have access to to
7	enable or not enable data sharing, quote,
8	"data sharing" with Google?
9	A. You should just show it to me
10	so I'm sure we're talking about the same
11	box.
12	Q. Okay. I could do that.
13	All right. Now, you are you
14	said you are familiar that there are terms
15	of service. Did you review them for this
16	case?
17	A. I've looked at them.
18	Q. These are the terms of service
19	between Google and the users, the app
2 0	developers of Google Analytics for
21	Firebase?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Are you opining in this case
2 4	about the rate of compliance or
25	noncompliance with those terms of service?
	Page 166

1	A. No.
2	Q. Are you rendering any opinion
3	in the case about Google's efforts to
4	enforce or failure to enforce its terms of
5	service against app developers?
6	A. I mean, I just made an
7	observation that they take e-mail addresses
8	and don't seem to be scanning for them, so
9	that's kind of an interesting data point.
10	But, in general, I haven't issued opinions
11	about Google attempting to enforce terms of
12	service or not. Someone might draw a
13	conclusion from the fact I noted.
14	Q. You've said that Google doesn't
15	seem to be scanning for them. Is that just
16	because you found some in there so you
17	concluded they are not scanning for them?
18	A. Well, I found some in there and
19	I was able to insert some and they weren't
2 0	blocked.
21	Q. To your knowledge.
22	A. To my knowledge. And I guess
23	Google could of course, you know, they
2 4	could clarify that if they wanted to.
25	Q. Sure, okay. Apart from that,
	Page 167

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 169 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 are you rendering any opinion in the case 2 about Google's efforts to enforce or not enforce its terms of service? 3 4 Α. No, I'm trying to render 5 technical opinions, not something like 6 that. And you haven't done anything 8 to measure or quantify or test Google's enforcement measures? 9 No, I haven't done a survey to 10 quantify that. All I would say is it's a 11 commonly known principle in security, in 12 13 computer security, that there's no such 14 thing as perfect security and there is no 15 such thing as perfect compliance. When you 16 try to get humans involved in a system, 17 they make mistakes, it is just inevitable. 18 Q. Sure. 19 So when I say there is a Α. 20 noncompliance rate, there is going to be some noncompliance, but I haven't sought to 21 22 quantify that. 23 0. Okay. In those terms of 24 service, Google does require developers to 25 disclose the use of Google Analytics for Page 168

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 170 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Firebase to their end users, right?
2	A. I will take your word for it.
3	Q. Okay.
4	MR. MAO: Just standing
5	objection here in this area that you're
6	asking him about disclosures of things
7	which he has not been designated for.
8	But go ahead.
9	MR. SANTACANA: Well, that may
10	help speed things up actually.
11	Q. Did you did you, just to
12	sort of close this out, did you review any
13	privacy policies from third-party app
14	developers to determine the degree to which
15	they mention or don't mention Google
16	Analytics?
17	A. That's outside the scope of my
18	report.
19	MR. MAO: Eduardo, I'm not
20	saying that, you know, as part of your
21	questions to understand how they did
22	the setup and the testing that you're
23	not entitled to it.
2 4	MR. SANTACANA: Right.
25	MR. MAO: But I view that as
	Page 169

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 171 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

I	
1	being part of the mechanics in his
2	personal capacity as opposed to a
3	disclosed expert for the subject area.
4	MR. SANTACANA: Right. No, I'm
5	just closing him out. If you are not
6	going to present him on it, that's
7	great.
8	THE WITNESS: No.
9	Q. Okay. I think you do have an
10	opinion that Google does not provide users
11	with control over Google's collection and
12	saving of sWAA-off data, right?
13	A. Yes, I think I've said that.
14	Q. And I think that's on page 115
15	of your report.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. It's a couple of three
18	paragraphs here. You say in 251 "There is
19	no way to prevent Google from saving WAA
20	and sWAA-off data once it is logged."
21	Sorry, wrong paragraph. Let's go to the
2 2	top. 249 you say "It is my opinion that
23	Google, throughout the class period, has
2 4	uniformly not provided users with any
25	control that stops Google from collecting
	Page 170

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 172 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 and saving the WAA-off and sWAA-off data at 2 issue in this case." 3 Α. Yes. Setting aside whether Google 4 Q. 5 has presented a control in my account for that, to the extent users review a privacy 6 policy from a developer that discloses the 8 use of Google Analytics, isn't one way for 9 them to avoid the collection to not use the 10 app? 11 I think that that is a very 12 heavy lift for the user to understand, 13 using Google Analytics -- we are using 1 4 Google Analytics for Firebase and the user 15 would now need to understand that that SDK 16 is ignoring their WAA-off and sWAA-off 17 settings. The simplest explanation there 18 is for the user to just imagine, that's 19 okay, you can use that because I have set 20 WAA and sWAA-off, and I'm not being 21 tracked. 22 So in your view a user who Ο. 23 reviewed a privacy policy that disclosed 24 the use of Google Analytics for Firebase 25 would conclude that the sWAA button Page 171

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 173 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    overrides that?
                 MR. MAO: Objection, vague.
2
3
         But go ahead.
                 So, one, I'm not here I don't
4
5
    think to testify about user perceptions. I
    mean, I mentioned it in passing a few
6
7
    places. We have covered this.
8
                 I didn't think so, but you did
         0.
9
    just kind of say something about it, so I
    just want to understand.
10
11
                 Yeah, so, no, what this is
12
    saying is from a technical perspective
13
    there is no button there that will stop
14
    that data collection.
15
                 Okay. That's the extent of the
         0.
16
    opinion?
17
         Α.
                 That's the extent of the
18
    opinion.
19
         Ο.
                 Okay. Let's talk about --
20
         Α.
                 I've just lost my connection,
21
    which is going to hinder people on Zoom
22
    from watching.
23
                 MR. SANTACANA: Let's go off
24
         for a second.
25
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
                                          Page 172
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 174 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         2:22 p.m. We are off the record.
2
                 (Recess taken.)
3
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
         2:41 p.m. We are back on the record.
4
5
                If I can say something, because
    you asked me during a break to look into
6
    it.
8
                Yes, please.
         0.
                So I did look at that and kind
9
         Α.
10
    of refreshed my own memory, but we didn't
11
    really seek to join, in other words,
12
    discover links between the identifiers that
13
    are collected and logged and GAIA, because
1 4
    there is no need to. Okay? What we did to
15
    find the users' data was to just harvest
16
    the identifiers off their device and
17
    request that data, and that's -- that's the
18
    linkage that's of interest.
19
                Right, understood, or the test
         0.
20
    device you were using.
21
                Right. So I hope that
    clarifies what I said previously in that
22
23
    line of questions.
24
                Yeah, that sounds consistent to
         Q.
25
    me with what you said. I just want to make
                                         Page 173
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 175 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	sure I understand. So you requested you
2	used the device identifiers that you took
3	off of either plaintiffs' devices or test
4	devices to request data from Google?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And the identifier in question
7	was a device ID?
8	A. Some sort of device ID, yes, or
9	an app instance ID, something you could
10	find on the device.
11	Q. Yeah, I think app instance ID
12	never resulted in anything, we just used
13	device ID.
14	So then you got your data.
15	Those were all non-GAIA logs, but you also
16	got GAIA logs which were used which you
17	got because e-mail addresses were queried,
18	right?
19	A. Okay.
20	Q. So I guess my question is you
21	had those GAIA logs, you had those non-GAIA
22	logs, so just to be perfectly clear, you
23	didn't attempt to like find a line in the
2 4	GAIA log that matched a line in the
25	non-GAIA log and put them together?
	Page 174

1	A. I do remember at some point
2	finding some correspondence just by using
3	fingerprinting techniques. So I don't know
4	if that made it into the report or not.
5	But let's just say that the report the
6	report explains everything very completely.
7	Q. Okay. Sorry, correspondence,
8	you mean in a technical sense?
9	A. I did find at some point, and I
10	remember finding a technical correspondence
11	by using some fingerprinting technique. I
12	was able to match a couple of different log
13	sets of data up using fingerprinting.
14	Q. And using fingerprinting, that
15	means trying to match one field in one log
16	to the same field in another log?
17	A. Like some data some data in
18	one log with data. Because the actual data
19	provides a lot of matches sometimes.
20	Q. And did you document those
21	matches in the report?
22	A. I just remember doing it, and I
23	don't remember whether we put it in the
2 4	report or not. Maybe it got left on the
25	cutting room floor, I don't know.
	Page 175
	Page 175

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 177 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. I don't think it is there, but
2	that's what I would like to talk about if
3	you do find it.
4	A. Okay.
5	MR. MAO: So just real quick,
6	this will be quick, so my understanding
7	in Brown was that when they were
8	talking about let me just say my
9	objection. When they are talking about
10	joining, they are talking about joining
11	to GAIA. So however you are comparing
12	it to, just please make it clear on the
13	record or else I'm going to make sure
14	that we rehab it and it unnecessarily
15	stretches this out, okay? Thank you.
16	MR. SANTACANA: Could we go off
17	for a second?
18	MR. MAO: Yeah.
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
20	2:44 p.m. We are off the record.
21	(Recess taken.)
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
23	2:46 p.m. We are back on the record.
2 4	BY MR. SANTACANA:
25	Q. I want to jump back for a
	Page 176

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 178 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 moment, Mr. Hochman, to when we were 2 discussing your three changes that Google 3 could make; stop collecting, purge, and 4 delete, okay, as I call them, and I want to 5 focus on the stop collecting one. think the way you phrased it at paragraph 6 409, let me know when you're there. 8 Α. Yes. 9 So paragraph 409, the first sentence is "It is my opinion that Google 10 11 could change WAA and sWAA so they match 12 their function as described in Google's 13 disclosures. Put differently, Google could 1 4 change WAA and sWAA so that WAA and sWAA 15 actually do the work that Google says they 16 do." 17 And my question is, keeping in mind that you're not opining on sort of 18 19 what the words are supposed to mean or all 20 of that, I'm not asking you about that, 21 isn't it also fair to say that another 22 change that Google could make would be to 23 describe WAA and sWAA differently to match 24 the function that you observed in the case, 25 and that would also sort of do away with Page 177

1	the problem?
2	A. I don't think that this is
3	really a solution, because the users
4	users want to have privacy, and I think
5	Google has undertaken some commitments to
6	allow users to have some control over their
7	privacy and that would sort of defeat, you
8	know, the bigger purpose of all of this,
9	which is to give people some option.
10	Because, you know, that's
11	really sort of the point of these controls
12	is to give someone if you change the
13	description and say these are fake
14	controls, that doesn't really solve the
15	problem of them being fake controls.
16	Q. Well, if the description of WAA
17	said we're still going to have Google
18	Analytics even when you turn WAA off, but
19	when WAA is off we won't tie analytics data
20	to your GAIA, which is the unique
21	identifier associated with your Google
22	account, that would be accurate?
23	A. No, that wouldn't be accurate.
2 4	Q. Okay. Why not?
25	A. Because you are saying it
	Page 178

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 180 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    wouldn't tie to your GAIA, and the problem
2
    is that this stuff tends to tie together
    because there is data collected in both
3
    scenarios which correlates strongly and it
4
5
    ties, it links.
6
                Okay. So what if instead it
         0.
7
    said we won't join your analytics data to
8
    your GAIA using the definition of "join" we
9
    have been using today?
                I don't really think that's a
10
11
    solution either, because essentially what
12
    you're proposing to do is to tell people,
13
    hey, we are going to give you this control
14
    which actually does nothing to improve your
15
    privacy.
16
         Ο.
             Well, again, I'm just -- I'm
17
    just trying to understand, the control does
    something, right? It does impact the way
18
19
    Google stores the data, right?
20
         A .
                Google still stores the same
21
    data, it just stores it in a different
22
    place.
23
             And with different things
         Q.
24
    associated with it?
25
         Α.
                I'm not sure about that. I
                                         Page 179
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 181 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    think the way I would describe it is they
2
    are storing the same data but just in a
3
    different place and that data is still
4
    linked to you. It has personal
5
    identifiers. It has your device IDs in it.
6
    It is essentially like a license plate on
7
    your device. Like a license plate on your
8
    car and device ID on your phone are kind of
9
    analogous.
                 That is fine. So let's use --
10
11
    well, I don't know how they are analogous.
12
    But because the license plate is tied to
13
    your name at the DMV's database, right, on
    a mapping table, right?
14
15
                 Well, I don't want to -- I
16
    mean, we may be getting into too much
17
    detail there, but the idea is that it is --
18
                 It is your analogy.
         Q.
19
                 The license plate is a unique
20
    identifier for a car. Do you follow me
21
            It is a unique identifier for your
22
    car.
23
         Q.
                 Okay.
24
                 Your VIN number is a unique
         Α.
25
    identifier for your car.
                                          Page 180
```

1 Q. Okay. 2 So they are unique identifiers Α. 3 for your car. And your phone has unique identifiers, okay? So the problem is that 4 5 those unique identifiers are persistent, 6 they are stable over time, they point to a single person, they are personal 8 identifiers, and that becomes a problem. 9 Using those identifiers and spreading them 10 all over the place in logs is a problem 11 because all that data is linked back to the 12 user. 13 So I understand that you Q. 1 4 consider that to be a privacy problem. МУ 15 question is about this part of your report 16 where you say "Google could change WAA and 17 sWAA so that WAA and sWAA do the work 18 Google says they do, " I'm just pointing 19 out, or they could change how they describe 20 WAA and sWAA to match up with the work they 21 are doing? 22 Α. So I considered that 23 possibility and did not write about that. 24 I did not say that because I don't think 25 that solves the problem. Page 181

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 183 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Okay. I understand. Now,
2	turning back to app developers, did you
3	incorporate any other analytics products in
4	the test apps for this case other than
5	Google Analytics for Firebase?
6	A. Not that I'm aware of.
7	Q. App developers can incorporate
8	multiple analytics SDKs from multiple
9	providers in the same app, right?
10	A. In theory, they could.
11	Q. Why do you say "in theory"?
12	A. I mean, I just haven't I
13	haven't expressed an opinion about that.
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. And I haven't I mean, what
16	you're saying, I don't know of a reason why
17	they couldn't. Let's leave it at that.
18	Q. And you're not expressing any
19	opinion as to how often developers
20	incorporate multiple analytics SDKs into
21	the same app?
2 2	A. No.
2 3	Q. And you are not expressing any
2 4	opinion in the case about how hard it would
25	be to have multiple analytics SDKs in the
	Page 182

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 184 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	same app at the same time?
2	A. No. I mean, the only thought I
3	have on that is it starts to gum things up.
4	You know, it is going to chew up more
5	battery and tie up more bandwidth.
6	Q. Okay. I meant how hard it
7	would be for the developer to have multiple
8	analytics SDKs in the same app.
9	A. It doesn't strike me as
10	something that would be particularly hard
11	from a programming standpoint, but from a
12	software engineering standpoint it is
13	probably desirable to keep it light, you
14	know, not to gum it up with too much
15	different technology.
16	Q. Okay, I see, I understand that,
17	okay.
18	So we were talking a moment ago
19	about persistent identifiers, right, and
2 0	that the persistence is the problem. Go
21	ahead.
2 2	A. No, I should let you finish the
23	question.
2 4	Q. The same device IDs that are
25	persistent unique identifiers for a device
	Page 183

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 185 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    that Google gets from Google Analytics are
    also sent to other places on websites and
2
3
    apps if they use other analytics providers,
4
    right?
5
         Α.
                 I don't have any disagreement
6
    with that.
7
                 So the same event data from
         Q.
8
    let's say -- let's just use the event first
9
    open as an event example, right, first open
10
    standard event you would have in any
11
    analytics SDK, right?
12
         Α.
                 Yes.
13
                 So first open gets triggered,
         Q.
14
    and the app developer has AppsFlyer and
15
    Google Analytics and Facebook SDK all
16
    integrated into the same app. All three of
17
    those are going to get the device ID and
18
    the fact that the event occurred, right?
19
                 Presumably.
         Α.
20
         0.
                 So let's say the sWAA button
21
    works the way that you want it to work,
22
    which is that if the person has sWAA off,
23
    Google will -- well, let me first ask, is
24
    this the way you think it should work, if
25
    the person has sWAA off Google will reject
                                          Page 184
```

1	the device ID tied first open event?
2	A. I mean, I think that a better
3	way would be, let's just keep it simple, if
4	WAA or sWAA are set, then the SDK will
5	learn about that and remember that and
6	whenever the app runs, the SDK just won't
7	transmit data back to Google.
8	Q. At all, right, okay. Not even
9	so it's not rejecting it, it is just not
10	transmitting it in the first place?
11	A. I mean, maybe it sends
12	something, you know, could it send
13	something? Yeah, there might be something
14	it can send as long as it doesn't include
15	anything that ties to the user.
16	Q. Okay. So the user in this
17	hypothetical is a sWAA-off user, they have
18	Google Analytics, Facebook and AppsFlyer
19	all integrated into the app, so the Google
20	Analytics SDK in your world will not
21	transmit at all for that user, right?
2 2	A. Let's say that that was the
2 3	case, yes.
2 4	Q. Okay. And then but
25	AppsFlyer will, right? AppsFlyer doesn't
	Page 185

```
1
    have a sWAA button?
2
                Assume it doesn't.
         Α.
3
                 I don't think it does.
         0.
4
    Facebook doesn't have a sWAA button as far
5
    as I know.
6
                 I'm not sure about that. I
         Α.
7
    haven't looked into it.
8
         Ο.
                 Okay. Let's actually stick
9
    with AppsFlyer. Like you said, they're not
    on the both sides of the thing. So the
10
11
    device ID in first open gets sent to
12
    AppsFlyer but doesn't get sent to Google
13
    because that user has sWAA turned off,
14
    right?
15
         Α.
                Okay.
16
         Ο.
                 Why would a developer like you
17
    use the Google Analytics for Firebase SDK
    at all if the sWAA-off data is not going to
18
19
    get sent, but they could use AppsFlyer and
    get all of it?
20
21
                 I don't know. That's an
22
    interesting question, and I haven't opined
23
    about that and I haven't done, you know,
24
    that analysis. This is sort of a further
25
    down the road question, isn't it?
                                          Page 186
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 188 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         Q.
                 I suppose. I'm thinking about
2
    the privacy risk of the device ID being out
3
    there, right? Isn't it basically the same
4
    risk if that user's app won't send to
5
    Google but it will continue to send to
6
    AppsFlyer, same persistent -- same license
7
    plate as you say, isn't it the same
8
    problem?
9
         Α.
                I'm not sure, because, I mean,
    just thinking it over, one of the things I
10
11
    think I noted right in the preface sort of
12
    of the report, the introduction, I talked
13
    about the very large amount of activity
    that Google collects.
14
15
                 Google has a really big, you
16
    know, sort of wrap-around view of a lot of
17
    stuff. AppsFlyer may have a more narrow
18
    view and that data may not be saved by
19
    AppsFlyer, it might just be sent to the
20
    individual app owner. So now you've got
21
    this kind of very fragmented world where
22
    there is data spread out in a bunch of
23
    little silos, it is not all in one juicy
24
    target.
25
               Okay.
         Q.
                                          Page 187
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 189 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 So that could qualitatively be 2 different. 3 So because they are in O . different silos, the fragmenting of the 4 5 data across different silos makes the privacy risk lower than in Google's 6 scenario where it's all under the same 8 roof? 9 A. I don't know that even I would 10 go so far to say lower. I would say it may 11 create a different risk profile. It may 12 create a different set of risks and 13 probabilities. 14 O . Would it be less concerning to 15 you? 16 Α. I don't know. Because I'm not here to evaluate AppsFlyer, so I haven't 17 18 thought that all through and all I can say is that it's a different scenario. 19 20 Ο. Well, what if Google spun out 21 Google Analytics for Firebase, right, it bought Firebase, what if it spun it out 22 23 into its own separate entity? 24 I don't know. Someone would Α. 25 have to do an analysis of that. I mean, Page 188

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 190 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    maybe that solves your problem, maybe it
2
    doesn't. I don't know. I mean, could that
    be a viable solution? Maybe. I think you
3
4
    would have to investigate it.
5
             Okay. Let's talk about
6
    personalization. So speaking of semantics,
    there is some back and forth with you,
8
    between you and Black about this word
9
    "personalization," so I want to ground it
10
    in your report. So I'm going to have you
11
    compare two paragraphs for me. First,
12
    paragraph 278, really the first four lines
13
    of that, and then 277.
14
         Α.
                Okay.
15
                So just review those for a
         Ο.
16
    moment.
17
                Yeah, I was already back at
         Α.
18
    277.
19
                 (Witness perusing document.)
20
                MR. MAO: Let's go off the
21
         record.
22
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
23
         3:01 p.m. We are off the record.
24
                 (Discussion off the record.)
25
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
                                         Page 189
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 191 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 3:08 p.m. We are back on the record. 2 BY MR. SANTACANA: 3 Okay. So you have had a chance 0. to look at 277 and 278? 4 5 Yeah, and I looked at the 6 paragraph before them also which had some 7 enlightening stuff in it, 276. 8 Okay, yeah. So we'll get to 0. 9 276. Thank you for pointing that out. I 10 actually want to start at 278 and work 11 backwards. 12 So in 278 you say "Ad 13 personalization is impacted by two 1 4 additional user controls: GAP and NAC. 15 Because Google does not use data collected 16 by GA4F from WAA and sWAA-off users to 17 serve personalized ads, such WAA and 18 SWAA-off data is not used for ads 19 personalization regardless of whether GAP 20 and NAC are turned on or off." 21 So just hold that in your mind 22 for a moment. 23 Α. Okay. 24 And then in 277 you talk about 0. 25 the distinction between personalization and Page 190

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 192 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	targeting, right?
2	A. Yeah, based on the evidence in
3	276. I don't think you can read 277
4	without reading 276.
5	Q. Well, let me just ask you this:
6	Is your statement in 278 about ad
7	personalization incorporating the
8	distinction that you draw in 277 between
9	personalization and targeting?
10	A. The answer is yes, and I would
11	just adjust the question slightly, or the
12	assumption in the question slightly, which
13	is that I'm pointing out that Google is
14	making a distinction between
15	personalization and targeting, and I'm sort
16	of adopting that in my further analysis,
17	and the basis for that opinion is what I've
18	outlined in 276, which actually follows
19	from 275.
2 0	Q. Right, yeah, and we'll get to
21	276, which I think has certain errors baked
2 2	into it. But I want to stick with 277 for
23	a moment to make sure we're using the
2 4	right the same terminology, you and I.
25	So "Google seems to consider
	Page 191
	raye 191

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 193 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	advertising as targeted but
2	non-personalized when it is based on
3	information associated with a user's
4	non-GAIA IDs as opposed to the GAIA ID,"
5	and then you give some examples of what
6	that might be. Then you say or before
7	that you say "Google defines
8	'personalization' as altering a user's
9	experience based on information associated
10	with their user ID."
11	Just one clarifying point, do
12	you understand the phrase "user ID" in that
13	sentence to mean GAIA ID in the next
14	sentence, do you understand them to be
15	interchangeable or different?
16	A. I believe I believe that,
17	yeah, user ID is referring to the GAIA ID,
18	although to be 100 percent sure I would
19	have to take a look at that document just
20	to be sure.
21	Q. Isn't one of the elements of
22	personalization in this distinction that's
23	being drawn here that personalization
2 4	requires the leveraging of historical
25	information about or associated with the
	Page 192
	rage 172

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 194 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	user ID and then for targeting it relies on
2	information that is nonhistorical?
3	A. I mean, I think that's one way
4	to look at it. Historical is certainly a
5	category of information that's in the
6	associated with the GAIA ID, but there
7	might be some other settings there or
8	something, you know, that maybe is not
9	necessarily historical, but just a
10	configuration.
11	Q. Got it. Persistent settings?
12	A. Something like that, yeah.
13	Maybe you wouldn't view it as historical,
14	you would just view it as a setup.
15	Q. The reason I'm asking is you
16	give three examples of what targeting
17	includes. You say "such as language, type
18	of device, and content of the app being
19	viewed."
20	So those three examples are all
21	pieces of information about the
2 2	A. Let me help you, current
2 3	context.
2 4	Q. Yes, current context of the
2 5	advertisement that's being served?
	Page 193

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And then in your definition of
3	personalization, when you say "information
4	associated with their user ID," there I
5	think you are referring to, and I can pull
6	up the document, but I think you are
7	referring to information that is in what
8	you called earlier a dossier about the
9	user, right?
10	A. Yes, and in this case it would
11	be the dossier of data collected in what
12	Google calls the user's account, in other
13	words, the area that's associated the
14	data structure that's associated with a
15	GAIA ID, or the data stored that is
16	associated with the GAIA ID.
17	Q. Got it, okay. So with that
18	clarification in mind, you're not you're
19	not disputing in this case that Google will
2 0	not personalize ads, as we have just
21	defined it in this back and forth, with
2 2	sWAA-off Google analytics for Firebase
2 3	data?
2 4	A. That's correct. I only said
25	that Google, just because they are not
	Page 194

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 196 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    personalizing, they still might target, but
2
    targeting is different than personalizing.
3
                 And one difference is you could
         O .
4
    do targeting without keeping a dossier?
5
         Α.
                 In theory, yes.
                 You could even do it without
6
         Ο.
    saving the information at all presumably?
7
8
                 I mean, I could think of ways
         Α.
9
    to do it without even transmitting that
    information. If you just had that
10
11
    information at the device, I could imagine
12
    a way to architect it that you might, for
13
    example, say give me a set of ads with some
14
    specs and I'll hold the specs here and I'll
15
    match the ads you send me with the specs
16
    and I will display the one that matches up
    the best. I mean, whether --
17
18
                 That sounds really slow.
         Q.
19
                 Well, yeah, that might be
         Α.
20
    troublesome, but maybe not. You know, the
21
    app during idle time could download all
22
    kinds of ads and just, you know,
23
    selectively display them. I mean, there
    are different ways to design a system and
24
25
    there might be some ways to do this. I
                                          Page 195
```

1 think this is all beyond the scope of the 2 report and it is more of a curiosity than 3 anything we really need to focus on. Yeah, I am curious about it 4 Q. 5 Is, in your mind, is the targeting 6 referred to in 277 at odds with the 7 representations made in the WAA control? 8 Α. I don't -- well, I think that 9 the issue is that the WAA control, if the representation is interpreted, let's just 10 11 say that the Court is going to figure out what that is promising, let's say the Court 12 finds or the factfinder finds that the WAA 13 1 4 control is promising the user not to send 15 the data and not to store the data. 16 that were the case, targeting is impossible 17 under the current architecture of the system without sending the data. 18 The 19 system would have to be some completely 20 different system to maybe do that. 21 I'm thinking about some of the patents that I have reviewed in other 22 23 matters, public patent documents from the 24 early internet where they actually did have 25 ad systems where, you know, the server Page 196

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 198 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    would load up a whole bunch of ads to the
2
    computer and then the computer would just
3
    pick which one to show, so, I mean, people
4
    have tried to design ad systems that way.
5
         0.
                I think I know what you're
6
    saying. So --
7
                 There is always -- there is
         Α.
8
    always a dichotomy between the server and
    the client and you can sort of shift the
9
    workload between them, and obviously there
10
11
    is a better way to do it.
12
                Yeah. But does it -- would it
         Ο.
13
    make a difference to you if the data were
1 4
    used in short-term memory and discarded,
15
    the data used for targeting, so it's not
16
    saved?
17
                 MR. MAO: Objection, vague and
18
         compound. Go ahead.
19
                 What difference would it be, if
    the data was sent to the ad server and was
20
21
    transient and it wasn't stored, I mean,
22
    that might be -- that might improve some of
23
    the privacy and security properties, but it
24
    doesn't necessarily comply with the user's
25
    expectations on that control, because I
                                          Page 197
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 199 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    have already told you that not sending the
2
    data at all may be what the user is
3
    expecting.
4
                If that's what they are
5
    expecting, they are also, you know, the
6
    users can have different concerns. Some
    users -- well, let me -- let me walk it
7
8
    back.
9
                I don't know what the users are
    thinking. I haven't studied or surveyed
10
11
    that, okay? I really shouldn't even
12
    speculate about it. The user -- if the
13
    user's expectation is nothing is to be sent
    and nothing is to be saved, then obviously
1 4
15
    sending doesn't really work, okay? But if
16
    somehow someone finds a different way, you
17
    know, is it better to save only for a short
18
    time versus saving for a longer time versus
19
    saving permanently? You know, the longer
20
    you save the data, potentially the greater
21
    the risk. But I don't think that's
    determinative in this case at all.
22
23
         Q. Did you say I don't think
24
    that's determinative?
         A. Determinative. Because I don't
25
                                         Page 198
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 200 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 think this is a case about how long Google 2 is saving the data. 3 My understanding is what is in dispute is that WAA and sWAA is a switch 4 5 that is for privacy, you can do your 6 activity in private. A good example would be if I go into a hotel room, I expect 8 privacy. I don't expect a peeping Tom with 9 a secret camera to record my activity in that hotel room. And if the peeping Tom 10 11 says hey, don't worry about it, I recorded 12 this but I deleted it 15 minutes later, 13 that's still not okay. If he says I 1 4 recorded it and I put the tape in a safe 15 and I'm never showing it to anyone and I'm 16 not looking at it myself, don't worry, it's 17 fine, that's still not okay. It's the 18 actual peeping on me that's the problem. 19 There is that concept 0. I see. 20 of spying again. Are you in your mind 21 analogizing the sWAA and WAA buttons to 22 what Google represents to be incognito mode 23 in Chrome browsers or what Safari calls 24 private browsing mode, is that analogous in 25 your mind?

Page 199

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 201 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I'm not thinking of it in that
2	way. I'm thinking of it as I want privacy,
3	don't watch me.
4	Q. Well, there are different
5	degrees of privacy, and the degree that you
6	just analogized was locking the door in a
7	hotel room and knowing nothing about what
8	goes inside on inside the box, right,
9	the room?
10	A. Well, that's one way I look at
11	it.
12	Q. And that made me think of
13	incognito mode. Like is that why you're
14	making that analogy is because those are
15	A. I'm not trying to connect this
16	to incognito mode.
17	Q. Okay. Leave incognito out of
18	it. I'm not trying to bring Brown into
19	this.
2 0	You are familiar with Safari
21	private browsing mode?
2 2	A. Yes.
23	Q. So like is your conception of
2 4	the WAA and sWAA switch that it is similar
25	to in the browsing context activating
	Page 200

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 202 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    private browsing mode in Safari, or that's
2.
    what --
3
                That's not the way I have been
         Α.
    thinking about it. I have been trying to
4
5
    think about this just on its own,
    standalone. This is a switch, allows my
6
    web and app activity to remain private, it
8
    is a privacy control. I'm thinking about
9
    it in a very simple way.
                Maybe too simple. The switch
10
11
    has a description. It doesn't say this is
12
    a privacy switch. There is actually like
13
    15 privacy switches that do different
14
    things, right?
15
                I mean, we can go back and look
16
    at the page and look at what it all says,
17
    but I'm not analogizing it to some browser.
18
    I'm just telling you that that's not how I
19
    thought about it.
20
                There is -- there is a switch
21
    on Android devices and a switch on iOS
    devices that's a device-level switch that
22
23
    blocks the device ID from being used by any
24
    apps. You are familiar with those?
25
                I believe so. What's the name
         Α.
                                         Page 201
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 203 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	of that switch?
2	Q. On Android I think they used to
3	call it OOOAP, opt out of ads, or
4	something, and
5	A. Triple O something.
6	Q. Yeah. And on iOS it is now
7	called app tracking transparency, and
8	before that it had a different name.
9	A. Was it called LAT?
10	Q. Yes. So you are familiar with
11	those switches?
12	A. I have to admit it now, because
13	I just gave you the name of one, yes.
14	Q. You would admit it truthfully
15	regardless, right?
16	A. Of course.
17	Q. So what in your mind is the
18	distinction between the sWAA switch as you
19	believe it functions or should function and
2 0	the device ID disabling switch on mobile
21	devices?
22	A. Well, okay, so I can, even off
23	the top of my head, give you a distinction
2 4	between these two things. Because the
25	device ID switch, which limits the access
	Page 202

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 204 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 to that identifier, is just one thing, but 2 the SDK, Firebase SDK, has access to a 3 variety of different pieces of identifying information on the device, so that it's a 4 5 question of a narrow control or a broad 6 control. 7 Ο. Okay. Do you opine in your 8 report that Google uses data from a user's 9 past app activity to personalize advertising even when the user has sWAA 10 11 turned off? 12 I believe Google has 13 represented that when sWAA and WAA are 14 turned off, the past app activity is not 15 used to personalize ads, and I accept that. 16 I am not disputing that. 17 Okay. So back to targeting, 0. would it be fair to then call targeting as 18 19 you are talking about it here contextual 20 targeting? 21 So I like the more general just 22 targeting because it might admit some other 23 things, because someone might quibble about 24 what is contextual and what is not. 25 targeting is general. It might devolve Page 203

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 205 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 into being contextual, but maybe there is 2 something else that we haven't thought of. 3 Okay. But as far as you know, O . you're familiar that Google uses the term 4 5 "contextual targeting" in their 6 developer-facing pages? 7 Yeah, I'm aware of contextual Α. 8 targeting from the ad platform side and often that was referring to like based on 9 what words are in a content page on the 10 11 display network and what words the user --12 the advertiser has specified in an ad 13 campaign, and they might say oh, okay, you 1 4 want to target people searching for white 15 sneakers and here is an article about white 16 sneakers, even though it is not a search, 17 it is just a context page, maybe we will 18 show your ad here because we think it is 19 especially relevant in this context. 20 0. Right. 21 So that is kind of maybe a 22 little bit more specific than showing an ad 23 based on someone's language or their 24 general course location. 25 Right. And from an internet Ο. Page 204

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 206 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    marketing standpoint, in order to
2
    effectuate contextual targeting in a
3
    responsible way, Google has to say
    something about its serving of ads, some
4
5
    type of information?
6
                 I would even go further than
7
    that. I would say that in order to serve
8
    ads, Google needs to retain some data in
9
    order to comply with industry standards. I
    think Google is a member of the Media
10
11
    Rating Council and they are certified for
12
    generalized and valid traffic detection and
13
    sophisticated and valid traffic detection,
    and that's ad fraud detection.
1 4
15
         0.
                Okay.
16
                 So when you want to charge
17
    someone for ads, you actually have to
18
    retain some data in order to prove good
19
    delivery of the ads. You can't just say
20
    hey, I have shown your ad a million times,
21
    give me the money. You actually have to
22
    have some proof from a vendor who is MRC --
23
    ideally MRC certified who can provide some
24
    reliable statistics.
25
                 This MRC was set up in the
                                          Page 205
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 207 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 1960s by the Congress because there at the 2 time had been a lot of lying about advertising on like television, radio, 3 4 newspapers, like lying about the reach of 5 the advertising. So Congress sort of 6 decided to put an end to that and said let's certify the distribution of these 8 ads. So that has moved into the online 9 era, and Google and Google Analytics are a couple of the certified vendors. 10 11 Ο. Okay. 12 That is more than what you 13 wanted to know about that, but it might be 14 helpful. 15 In the context of this case, Ο. 16 when we are talking about -- what's the 17 term you would use, bookkeeping, 18 recordkeeping, Ratings Council compliance? 19 I would just say you want to retain evidence of good delivery. Because 20 21 one of the things as an advertiser that I 22 like Google for is that they try to 23 maintain a clean network. They try to 24 protect me as an advertiser from ad fraud. 25 They tell us that they conduct proactive Page 206

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 208 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 investigations. They have automatic systems that detect fraudulent activity and 2 3 try to protect the advertiser from that. Isn't one of the ways they 4 Q. 5 effectuate that by analyzing device ID and IP address? 6 7 Α. Look, I can help you a little bit there. I've done a bunch of ad fraud 8 9 cases, and one of the things to do is to look at the device ID and some of this 10 11 collected data. So it is a known method 12 for detecting ad fraud. 13 Would Google have to drop that Q. 1 4 detection method for sWAA-off users to 15 resolve the problems you've identified in 16 your report? 17 Α. I don't know. Would there be some way to work it out that these things 18 19 could coexist? I'm not sure. Again, I 20 would point back to my original observation, which is Google has put itself 21 22 into a very difficult position by promising 23 the user, hey, we are going to be your 24 advocate for privacy and the vendors they 25 work with. They've got some overlapping Page 207

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 209 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

and conflicting obligations, and that creates a problem for them and maybe they ought to focus on one business or the other in order to do the best possible job for each constituency. Okay. Well, but for now let's assume that they are not going to drop users or drop advertisers in the immediate The fraud detection mechanism that future.

you were just talking about it sounds like 10

11 relies on certain persistent or

12 non-temporary, non-transient identifiers,

13 right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This ends up being a deep rabbit hole that we are going into here about like how would Google do this. I mean, maybe there is some way that they can work it out. You know, maybe they can talk to the advertisers and, you know, talk to the industry standards and say hey, you know, in order to respect privacy, we can't collect this data for certain segment of people who turn this off, but we know these are real people because they've got Google accounts. You know, you have to have a

Page 208

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 210 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Google account in order to have a WAA and
2	sWAA set. So there is already some level
3	of authentication there.
4	Like if Google authenticates
5	these people that these are real people who
6	have real Google accounts, you know, maybe
7	that is a substitute for the telemetry,
8	perhaps, I don't know. That's a really
9	detailed thing that someone is going to
10	have to work out afterwards.
11	Q. But if Google doesn't save
12	anything from the transaction, then that
13	would then it can't prove that it told
14	the truth that it was a Google account and
15	therefore a real person?
16	A. Again, I don't know. Like
17	could they work out some better
18	arrangement? They might be able to work
19	out a better arrangement. But looking back
20	retrospectively, you know, it was
21	essentially if you didn't collect this
22	data, you would have a lot of problems
23	charging for those ads.
2 4	Q. And I think you say in your
25	report that if sWAA functioned the way that
	Page 209

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 211 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    you read it to function or should function,
2
    Google wouldn't be able to serve ads at
    all, right?
3
4
         A. Again, which part of the report
5
    are we looking at?
                I'll come back to it. It is in
6
7
    here somewhere.
8
                Does contextual targeting in
9
    your mind deviate from the representations
10
    made on the WAA page or is it just the
11
    saving of the records that the targeting
12
    occurred?
13
         A. My gripe is with the
1 4
    collection -- the transmission and saving
15
    of the information while the user has
16
    indicated that this is sensitive
17
    information and they want to keep it
    private. It is the sending and saving,
18
19
    that's the issue.
            Okay, understood. Let's look
20
         Ο.
21
    at 144, paragraph 144 of your report. Just
    review it for a moment.
22
23
                 (Witness perusing document.)
24
         Α.
                Yes.
25
                So you say in this paragraph
         Q.
                                         Page 210
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 212 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    that Google can pull the wool over its
2
    users' eyes by refraining from using
3
    sWAA-off data to personalize the user
    experience which would cause the user to
4
5
    catch on, but nevertheless storing it.
6
         Α.
                Yes.
7
         Q.
                Just a couple of follow-ups
8
    about that.
9
                 First, you were not intending
10
    to opine as to Google's intent in this
11
    case?
12
                No.
         Α.
13
                Okay. Because the phrase "pull
         Q.
14
    the wool over its users' eyes" is pretty
15
    colorful. I'm just making sure that is not
16
    an opinion about Google's intent.
17
                 I do like to be colorful
    sometimes because these reports could be
18
19
    dry, and I like them to be interesting to
20
    read. But it is a description of the
21
    effect. It's not a description of Google's
22
    intent, okay? I'm sure that that's just --
23
    maybe it's overly colorful, but it's clear
24
    now.
25
                Okay. And you're not opining,
         Q.
                                          Page 211
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 213 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	I think we covered this already, I
2	apologize if we did, but you're not opining
3	that Google is nevertheless secretly using
4	sWAA-off data to personalize the user's
5	experience anyway?
6	A. I don't think I've said that.
7	Q. Okay. Let's talk about PII. I
8	think I gave you the privacy policy from
9	May 2018 earlier.
10	A. I have it.
11	Q. You can mark that number 4.
12	(Hochman Exhibit 4 marked for
13	identification.)
14	A. And you said this is from
15	May
16	Q. It says right there on the top,
17	May 25, 2018.
18	A. Okay.
19	Q. So you are aware that the
20	privacy policy has definitions in it for
21	key terms, what Google calls key terms?
22	A. Yes, I see that.
23	Q. Let me first ask you actually,
2 4	maybe to make this easier, your report
25	actually doesn't use the phrase "personal
	Page 212

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 214 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    information" or "personally identifiable
2
    information" or "PII," at least not in your
3
    own words. There is a couple of quotes
4
    from somewhere else. Was it your intention
5
    in this report to express an opinion about
6
    which pieces of the data at issue in the
    case are or are not PII?
8
         Α.
                No, that sounds like a legal
9
    conclusion.
10
                Okay. So you have no opinion
11
    as to whether -- you're not trying to
12
    express an expert opinion as to whether the
13
    data at issue in the case comprises in
1 4
    whole or in part personally identifiable
15
    information?
16
                MR. MAO: Objection,
17
         misrepresents his testimony. Go ahead.
18
                 I mean, I've said at some
         Α.
19
    points that various identifiers link to a
20
    person. So someone could draw a conclusion
21
    or form a legal conclusion based on the
    technical opinion, but I'm not here to give
22
23
    a legal opinion.
24
                 Okay. By the way, you talk
25
    about IP addresses a couple of times in
                                           Page 213
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 215 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
           I just want to make sure we're all
    on the same page. Did you find any IP
2
3
    addresses in the logs you were provided?
                I don't recall.
4
         Α.
                 Okay. Do you have a basis to
5
         0.
6
    dispute that Google -- that Google
7
    Analytics does not store IP addresses in
8
    logs?
         Α.
9
                Well, in the logs that aren't
    shown to the Google Analytics website
10
11
    operator, in other words, the website
12
    operator who installs Google Analytics or
13
    the app publisher who deploys Google
    Analytics for Firebase, I, in having
14
15
    reviewed those reports, I know that
16
    generally you're not seeing IP addresses.
17
    There is some privacy concerns around them.
18
    So I haven't asserted that.
19
                 What's in the logs, I mean, I
    have documented what's in the logs.
20
21
    what I've seen, I don't recall in this case
22
    whether we have got IPs. It's documented,
23
    whatever I've said, it stands.
24
         Q.
                Fair enough.
25
                MR. MAO: Just to make sure,
                                          Page 214
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 216 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	what was the answer, the last part, the
2	last sentence? I just want to make
3	sure it actually made it into the
4	record.
5	MR. SANTACANA: "It's
6	documented, whatever I've said, it
7	stands."
8	MR. MAO: Thanks.
9	Q. Now, you're aware that
10	Dr. Black did some work to try and quantify
11	different types of pieces of information in
12	the logs that you analyzed, right?
13	A. I'm aware of that, and I of
14	course have retorts to him.
15	Q. And you what?
16	A. I have retorts.
17	Q. You have retorts? Okay. Well,
18	have you conducted any studies or
19	experiments or attempted to recreate the
20	statistics that he created to determine
21	whether they were accurate?
22	A. Oh, I'm not disputing
23	necessarily how he counted.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. But I think my issue would be
	Page 215

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 217 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	that the sample data we got was not large
2	and apparently not representative, so it
3	sort of is not really amenable to that kind
4	of statistical analysis. You can't you
5	need to have a statistically valid sample
6	and a representative sample in order to do
7	statistical analysis. So I wouldn't draw
8	the conclusions that he's trying to draw by
9	doing an analysis on that small sample set.
10	Q. Fair enough. My question was
11	actually about or where I was headed was
12	you note in I think Appendix G that there's
13	some age and gender information in some of
14	these data entries, in some of the logs
15	from Google Analytics that you reviewed.
16	Do you recall that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Can you say whether that
19	demographic information came from Google or
20	from the app developer?
21	A. A great question, and all I
2 2	have observed is that data was there in the
23	logs.
2 4	Q. Okay. But you're not sure
25	whether it's because Google supplied it to
	Page 216

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 218 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	the developer based on some other
2	information Google had or whether the
3	developer supplied it to Google based on
4	some other information the developer had?
5	A. Yeah, in general I have
6	additional questions about these logs that
7	I would love to be able to ask someone
8	knowledgeable about them, and I just
9	haven't had the opportunity to get all the
10	discovery that I would like to have. But
11	that is what it is.
12	Q. Okay. The web and app activity
13	control I think you say should only cover
14	app activity data, right?
15	A. I think I've talked about web
16	view data also.
17	Q. Web views inside of apps?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. I'll include that in app
2 0	activity. But really all I'm asking is
21	A. I think you should also include
2 2	the ad activity within the app.
2 3	Q. Okay. So the app activity in
2 4	your mind includes any advertising activity
25	within that app?
	Page 217

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 219 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 They are all just activities. 2 It is a remote transaction occurring 3 between the app and the server, and data is going back and forth. 4 5 Right, okay. So we will come back to ads. 6 7 We talked about the first open 8 analytics event earlier. 9 Α. Yes. So when Google logs a device ID 10 11 in the first open event, did you see any of those in the logs? 12 13 Yeah, probably we did, because we would have had first open events. I'm 1 4 15 just sort of inferring it. Whatever we 16 found, we've documented. 17 Okay. Did you see any evidence 0. that Google leveraged those events, just to 18 19 use them as an example, from sWAA-off users 20 to perform advertising? 21 I think my inference is that 22 those events would be -- it would be very 23 important to count those as conversions. 24 If someone had been running an app 25 promotion campaign, they want to get people Page 218

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 220 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	to install apps, so as soon as someone does
2	that first open, the software development
3	kit, which seems to behave the same
4	transmit the same information with sWAA and
5	WAA on or off, the only difference I've
6	documented is where that information seems
7	to be stored according to Google's
8	explanation.
9	The conversion tracking is very
10	important there, because that's the
11	justification for the advertiser, it is the
12	justification to charge the advertiser
13	money for advertising is that hey, this
14	advertising works.
15	Q. Apart from the recordkeeping
16	associated with charging the advertiser
17	that you're talking about, did you see any
18	evidence of Google using sWAA-off
19	conversion events for any other purpose?
20	A. I mean, I think that using the
21	sWAA-off conversion events to track
22	conversions is the big use of them. I
23	didn't necessarily
2 4	Q. Understood.
25	A look for them to be used for
	Page 219

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 221 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 anything else. Just knowing they are used 2 to track conversions is the significant 3 thing. 4 Okay, understood. But apart Q. 5 from the recordkeeping associated with charging the advertiser, did you see 6 7 evidence of Google using sWAA-off 8 conversion events to personalize 9 advertising? 10 Okay, so I have a couple of 11 different questions, a couple of different 12 answers to that question. 13 One answer, the simple answer, the first-order answer is that I didn't see 1 4 15 that being used to further personalize ads, 16 although I do know from having been an 17 advertiser that Google seems to keep 18 statistics on users about their propensity 19 to convert and that there are some what 20 Google reps have told me are safe settings 21 in the ad platform that you can use to try 22 to essentially allow Google to boost your 23 bids in the auction when they observe a 24 user who is more likely to convert, and 25 that these options have been available at Page 220

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 222 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	least in the past and maybe still.
2	So there is potentially some
3	second-order effect beyond just the
4	immediate conversion. I mean, if you know
5	that somebody is converting, that
6	information is valuable in more ways in
7	more ways than just the immediate
8	conversion and immediate ad revenue that's
9	justified by that conversion.
10	Q. So you skipped ahead, that's
11	where I was going next. Did you see
12	evidence in the case that Google uses
13	sWAA-off conversion measurements to inform
14	what you were just talking about, which is
15	user propensity to convert?
16	A. Well, I think there is some
17	indirect evidence in that I've cited a
18	variety of Google documents that talk about
19	how data is used to improve their products,
20	you know, data that is collected, including
21	sWAA and WAA-off data can be used to
22	improve Google products, it can be used for
23	machine learning. So that's sort of an
2 4	indirect use.
25	But I didn't investigate
	Date 2001
	Page 221

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 223 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	those I didn't observe those algorithms
2	within the system. Like you had asked me
3	well, what algorithm should Google delete
4	or what product should Google delete, and I
5	said, you know, we need a surveyor to come
6	and figure that out.
7	So there is a scope of my
8	investigation. I looked at certain parts
9	of the system and I have seen indications
10	that this data is flowing out to other
11	parts of the system and being used there.
12	I haven't inspected all those other parts
13	of the sort of vast Google technology
14	infrastructure.
15	Q. This feature of this feature
16	relating to user propensity to convert is a
17	Google Analytics feature, right?
18	A. The one I'm thinking of is in
19	Google Ads.
20	Q. In Google Ads, okay. Maybe the
21	word "predictive" is associated with it?
2 2	A. The word "enhanced" was
2 3	associated with it a while back. It was
2 4	sort of like you could enhance bid
25	enhancement, like if you see someone who is
	Page 222

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 224 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 kind of a likely converter, then it will 2 enhance your bid, and I was advised by a 3 Google ad rep that that is a safe option, that you enable that and it will improve 4 your ROI. 5 What does the word, I'm just 6 0. 7 curious, what does the word "safe" in that 8 mean? 9 Α. I think what it means is that it is sort of conservative in that it is 10 11 making a good prediction. When it enhances 12 your bid, you are not just paying more, you 13 are paying more with good reason. 1 4 Because it costs more? 0. 15 Α. Yeah. 16 Ο. Okay. So I think fair to say 17 in order to do that predictive work about a particular user converting, there would 18 19 need to be some dossier about that user's 20 historical conversions, right? I guess there would need to be 21 22 some data or some model somehow, and I 23 could imagine that this might be 24 implemented in different ways, and I'm not 25 exactly sure how Google has implemented Page 223

1	that internally.
2	Q. Okay. And so fair to say
3	whether Google keeps such a model for
4	sWAA-off, that includes sWAA-off data, is
5	outside the scope of what you've examined
6	here?
7	A. I believe that it's uncertain
8	whether Google has that model or not. And,
9	again, in the corrective measures section
10	of the report, K, I talked about having
11	somebody assess or figure out, so that
12	would probably come out in the assessment.
13	Q. Okay. Did you see any evidence
14	in the case or express any opinion as to
15	whether Google has made any attempt to
16	decipher the meaning of app developer's
17	custom analytics events?
18	A. I don't recall that.
19	Q. Are you are you, sitting
2 0	here, aware of any evidence to that effect
21	that Google is trying to figure out what
2 2	those custom events mean?
2 3	MR. MAO: Objection, vague.
2 4	But go ahead, sorry.
25	A. Well, I can tell you what I
	Page 224

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 226 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    know about automatic interpretation of
2
    computer code is a tricky problem. It's a
3
    rough problem to get someone's program and
    to evaluate what it is doing, you know, say
4
    is this program safe or not, is this
    program bugged or not, is this program
6
    correct or not. All those things are
8
    actually proven to be unsolvable,
9
    theoretically unsolvable. So that's --
                Until AI takes care of it all.
10
         0.
11
         Α.
                No, AI can't take care of it
12
             It is actually unsolvable on a
    either.
13
    theoretical basis, deeper than that. It is
1 4
    not just hard, it is actually at least
15
    contradictions, illogical contradictions,
16
    so these are unsolvable problems.
17
                In computing there are some
    problems that are unsolvable. Like have
18
19
    your heard of the halting problem? That's
20
    an unsolvable problem. Given an arbitrary
21
    program, determine whether this program
22
    when it runs will stop or run forever,
23
    unsolvable problem. You can't solve it.
24
         Q.
                Interesting. Now you have
25
    piqued my interest, but we are on the
                                         Page 225
```

```
1
    clock.
2
                 So in-app purchase event is
    another sort of standard conversion event
3
    in analytics and in ads, right, in-app
4
5
    purchase?
6
         Α.
                 Yes.
7
                 So I guess first focusing only
         Q.
8
    on in-app purchase events that are
9
    triggered by sWAA-off users, did you see
10
    any evidence that Google is compiling the
11
    in-app purchase events of sWAA-off users to
12
    enhance the advertising that's delivered to
13
    that user, i.e., personalizing the ads for
1 4
    that user?
15
                 I don't recall documenting that
16
    in my report. I mean, if it's in there,
17
    it's in there; if it's not, it's not.
18
                 No, I don't think it's in
         Q.
19
    there.
20
                 Okay. And then even for
21
    sWAA-on users, did you come across any
22
    evidence that Google is deciphering and
23
    making personalization decisions based on
24
    what the user purchased as opposed to just
25
    the fact that a purchase occurred?
                                          Page 226
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 228 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I haven't been evaluating
2	personalization based on WAA-on data.
3	That's outside the scope.
4	Q. Okay. I think you give an
5	example which Google gives on its website
6	about the favorite food custom event
7	parameter. Do you know what I'm talking
8	about?
9	A. Yeah, I remember mentioning
10	that in the report. Maybe we will go look
11	at the spot if you have a follow-up
12	question.
13	Q. Well, it's honestly maybe
14	redundant of a question I just asked, but
15	it sounds like you're saying to Google at
16	least at a programmatic level it's not
17	going to know what that means if it is a
18	custom event parameter about a person's
19	favorite food?
20	MR. MAO: Objection,
21	argumentative. But go ahead.
22	Q. I'm not meaning to argue with
2 3	you.
24	A. I didn't take it that way. I'm
25	not sure. I mean, I don't know if Google
	Page 227

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 229 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	is trying to decode what these things mean
2	or not, but I haven't opined that they are.
3	Q. What about to the extent that
4	like URLs or page view names are being
5	transmitted to Google, URLs with stuff
6	stuffed into them, right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Parameters, or page view names
9	in an app that have names like AI Article.
10	A. Okay.
11	Q. Did you come across any
12	evidence that Google is attempting to
13	decipher the parameters and URLs or the
14	page view names or screen view names to
15	determine something about that user?
16	MR. MAO: I will just lodge a
17	standing objection here and then I will
18	stand down.
19	This is argumentative because
20	you are tempting me to go into the
21	procedural history in this case and I'm
22	trying not to do so. So I will just
2 3	leave that. I mean, the discovery
24	procedural history. Go ahead. I will
25	leave that standing objection.
	Page 228

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 230 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 Okay. So I don't think I've
2
    opined about that, although I do recall
3
    there may be perhaps another expert will
    talk about how in privacy analysis if you
4
5
    see the URLs that someone is looking at,
6
    that can tell you an awful lot about that
7
    person's interests, proclivities, sexual
8
    orientation, etc., and --
               If a human sees it?
9
         Q.
                Yes. It can be sensitive
10
11
    information.
12
         0.
                Yeah. My question is about
13
    Google's operation at a programmatic level
1 4
    or systematic level. You know, I
15
    understand that if I handed you all the
16
    URLs and a person could read them, then you
17
    may come to certain conclusions. But I'm
18
    asking about how the system is designed
19
    sort of across everybody.
20
                So one thing I would just
21
    advise you is that Google crawls the web,
    so Google knows a lot of URLs and
22
23
    classifies all these web pages. Google
24
    understands --
                Yeah, I'm familiar with that.
25
         0.
                                          Page 229
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 231 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Not to talk about state of 2 mind, but they are machine learning and 3 indexing the web. They are crawling the web, acquiring pages, saving copies of them 4 5 and then they index them, catalog them, like a librarian would, in an automatic 6 7 way. 8 So if Google sees a URL, it 9 would be kind of child's play for them to 10 go into their index and extract, you know, 11 okay, what is that URL about, what are the 12 topics of interest, and then to use that 13 information to maybe, you know, generate 1 4 some inferences about what that person is 15 interested in. 16 So I'm not saying that they are 17 looking at the URL and analyzing the text 18 of the URL to extract information, but you 19 could use the URL, a URL is actually a 20 unique identifier for a piece of web 21 content. So if you have a URL you can go look up in your files, hey, what's this web 22 23 content all about, and you can extract a 24 lot of structured information. 25 So it sounds like you could 0. Page 230

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 232 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    think of a way that it could be done, for
    purposes of the opinions that you are
2
    rendering in the case, you aren't rendering
3
    an opinion that Google is doing that with
4
5
    sWAA-off URLs or screen view names or
6
    things like that?
7
                 MR. MAO: Same objection on the
8
         procedural history of this case. But
9
         go ahead.
                 I mean, it strikes me now that
10
11
    you raised it, I haven't thought about
12
    this, but now that you have raised it,
13
    if --
                Well, let me stop you there.
1 4
         0.
15
    You haven't thought about it before right
16
    now?
17
                 Well, but what I -- what I can
    say is if you have a URL, you could take
18
19
    that URL and combine it with some other
20
    information you have, Google could, its web
21
    index, and it could generate a very nice
22
    prediction of what topics that person might
23
    be interested in if it wanted to serve an
24
    ad to that person, without having to
25
    reference that person's history in any way.
                                          Page 231
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 233 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Yeah, I believe that. My
2	question is have you opined that Google is
3	doing that with sWAA-off data?
4	A. I don't know for sure if they
5	are doing that or not, but sort of as an
6	inference it would be kind of surprising to
7	me if they wouldn't take advantage of that
8	because it is sort of so easy and obvious.
9	Q. Okay. I think you note in your
10	report that these logs that you examined
11	contain geolocation data?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. You don't disagree that the
14	geolocation data is to some degree
15	coarsened from the precise location of the
16	device when it was collected, right?
17	A. I do believe that it is
18	coarsened to something like minimum of one
19	square kilometer or minimum of 1,000
20	people.
21	Q. Okay. Let's talk about
22	well, where are we in time?
23	A. Why don't we take a quick
2 4	break.
25	Q. Okay. Let's take a break.
	Page 232

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 234 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                    The time is
2
         3:58 p.m. We are off the record.
3
                 (Recess taken.)
4
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
5
         4:20 p.m. We are back on the record.
6
    BY MR. SANTACANA:
7
                 Okay. I think you mentioned
         Q.
8
    earlier that the Washington Post app had
9
    been sending e-mail addresses to Google,
10
    right?
11
                 What I remember is it was one
12
    of their reputable newspaper apps, maybe it
13
    wasn't the Washington Post, maybe it was
1 4
    the New York Times.
15
         0.
                It was.
16
         Α.
                Was it?
17
         0.
                 Yes.
18
                 Oh, I got it right.
         Α.
19
                 So, and I think you said that
         0.
20
    you assume that's essentially against the
    terms of service for using Google
21
22
    Analytics?
23
                 Well, I know that Black
24
    highlighted it, and I will just take his
25
    word for it on that issue for the sake of
                                          Page 233
```

```
1
    discussion.
2
                Dr. Black does some
         0.
3
    calculations in his report about which apps
    included something that might be an e-mail
4
5
    address and which did not. Do you have any
6
    calculations in rebuttal to that? Did you
7
    perform any calculations? Or did you just
8
    sort of take that as is?
9
         Α.
                I don't accept his analysis. I
    just am not addressing it.
10
11
                Okay, sure. So you're not --
12
    you're not going to dispute the math I
13
    quess?
1 4
                I'm not going to dispute the
15
    math. I think I said earlier that the logs
16
    that I was given through discovery were
17
    sort of sparse and maybe not a
    representative sample, maybe not a
18
19
    statistically significant sample. So, you
20
    know, subject to those concerns, I'm not
21
    disputing his math.
22
             Okay. So let's talk about
         Ο.
23
    joinability. What in your mind does
24
    joinability risk refer to?
25
                So I understand joinability
         Α.
                                         Page 234
```

1 risk as sort of a terminology that Google seems to be using, so I can say that I 2 understand what they mean by that. 3 4 Q. Please. 5 The joinability risk is that 6 somebody, in trying to run a report or generate some data, pulls together data, it 7 8 uses one of these Google identifiers as a 9 key, as a join key, and joins together two 10 tables using one of these keys as a field, 11 and thereby reidentifies a bunch of data 12 relative to a GAIA ID. 13 Using that understanding of Q. joinability risk, did you identify any 14 15 instances in which that risk was realized? 16 So I considered that way of 17 assessing the privacy situation to be not the methodology of choice because the 18 19 problem with privacy is that there are persistent identifiers that are personal 20 21 identifiers. 22 These Google identifiers are in 23 fact personal to individual people and that 24 the real risk is that that data with that 25 identifier leaks, is exfiltrated, is Page 235

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 237 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 accidentally supplied to a vendor, however 2 that might happen, and that that directly 3 is identifying to a person. Even if you don't have the person's name, that data 4 5 specifies an individual device, which someone who has that trove of data can 6 extract the data from that of an individual 7 8 person. 9 Q. So completely understood. Just 10 the question is, though, you just outlined 11 the risks, right, the concerns, did you 12 find in the evidence an instance of such a 13 risk to date having been realized with respect to sWAA-off Google data? 14 15 MR. MAO: I have an objection 16 on this area of questioning on the 17 procedural discovery -- the discovery 18 procedural history in this case. Go 19 ahead. I did not have access to data 20 Α. 21 showing evidence of Google's data breaches, 22 whatever may have happened. So I guess my 23 answer is I will be more succinct: No. 24 Ο. Okay. Take a look at paragraph 25 137. Just read that to yourself for a Page 236

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 238 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	moment.
2	(Witness perusing document.)
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So the first sentence says
5	"Within Google's non-GAIA logs, Google
6	intermixes both WAA-on and sWAA-on data
7	with WAA-off and sWAA-off data."
8	Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And then later in the same
11	paragraph you say that Google does not
12	it does not appear that Google treats the
13	WAA-off and sWAA-off data in Google's
14	non-GAIA logs any differently than it
15	treats the WAA-on and SWAA-on data in those
16	logs?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. So when you say that
19	Google doesn't treat the on and off data
2 0	any differently, is that actually, never
21	mind. Strike that. I now understand.
2 2	A. Glad to have been of service.
2 3	Q. All right. Now take a look at,
2 4	I'm whipping you back and forth, look at
25	paragraph 303.
	Page 237

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 239 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 I don't mind that, because I'm 2 a nonlinear thinker. Perfect. You have an objective 3 0. 4 see brain. 5 Α. Okay, I'm there. 6 Ο. So in paragraph 303 you address 7 a Google engineer's comments about 8 joinability risk. Do you see that? 9 Α. Yes. And that engineer provided in 10 11 the document that you are quoting examples of joinability risk. Do you see that? 12 13 Α. Yes. 1 4 This is the document that was 0. 15 authored by Xinyu Ye. Do you recall that? 16 I don't recall that name, but 17 let's just see. There is a document Bates 18 number here, so we could look at it. 19 Before we do, let me just ask 0. 20 you, Dr. Black addresses that document in 21 his report, and what he says is that Steve Ganem testified about this document and 22 23 said that the joinability risk that was 24 being identified was hypothetical and that 25 the identifier in question is not stored Page 238

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 240 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	unless assigned end user has consented to
2	sWAA. Do you have any basis to dispute
3	that?
4	A. Okay. So one issue there,
5	there is a couple of issues there, one
6	issue is when you say some sort of computer
7	security risk is hypothetical, this field
8	is a little different than some other
9	fields in that analysis of hypothetical
10	risks is very important in computer
11	security, especially because computers
12	operate at high speed, over high volumes of
13	data. Even something that's a, you know,
14	one in very small number risk turns out to
15	be quite serious over a large body of
16	transactions, in fact it statistically will
17	come up.
18	Q. I don't mean to interrupt, but
19	I think you I wasn't clear enough in my
20	question. That's not what I meant.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. So what I meant by hypothetical
23	is that the engineer in question was
2 4	commenting as to the risks that would arise
25	if a particular course of action were
	Page 239

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 241 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	taken, but that particular course of action
2	was never taken by Google.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. Maybe perhaps because of those
5	joinability risks. So my that's my
6	understanding of the record on this. Do
7	you have any greater understanding other
8	than what you said in your report?
9	A. What I have in my report is
10	what my understanding is, and we can go
11	look at the detail of the documents and try
12	to reconcile what Mr. Ganem and what
13	Mr. Black are saying and what I'm saying,
14	if you wish to, or we can just leave them,
15	you know, stand as they are.
16	Q. Let's come back to it.
17	Sitting here now, though, you
18	do not know whether the joinability risks
19	discussed in paragraph 303 related to an
20	existing course of conduct or a proposed
21	course of conduct, right?
2 2	MR. MAO: Objection, assumes
2 3	facts not in evidence.
2 4	A. Okay. So, look, in 303 the
25	most important thing is the first sentence.
	Page 240

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 242 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	"Internal documents are consistent with
2	Mr. Miraglia's testimony revealing that
3	Google employees acknowledge that so-called
4	pseudonymous data is linked to users." So
5	that's the point I have been making all
6	along, all right?
7	And then there is a document
8	here which I really can't give you further
9	comments unless I were to actually sit and
10	reread that document to refresh my memory
11	about what it says, because I just I
12	haven't memorized all these documents.
13	There is far too many of them.
14	Q. Okay. All right, let's look at
15	202. At 202 you say that "Google's
16	production of data from a collection log of
17	Google Analytics for Firebase shows that
18	Google saves GA4F data in non-GAIA logs
19	using substantially the same structure and
20	content as the data it saves in non-GAIA
21	logs." I think maybe that is a typo.
22	But, anyway, "Because Google
23	stores a copy of consented data in both
2 4	GAIA and non-GAIA logs, the timestamps,
25	identifiers and data stored in both types
	Page 241

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 243 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    of logs make GAIA and non-GAIA data and ID
2
    joining straightforward."
3
                Are you with me?
4
         Α.
                Yes.
5
         0.
                Not to belabor the point, but
6
    just to be perfectly clear, though you
    opine that doing the -- performing that
7
    joining would be straightforward, you are
8
    not opining that Google does in fact
9
    perform that join?
10
11
                No. So my assumption is that
12
    Google is trying to not join data. When
13
    they have a policy and they say we don't
1 4
    join this data, my assumption is that they
15
    aren't joining that data. Unfortunately,
16
    the problem is a threat actor who gets hold
17
    of this data from Google is not bound by
18
    Google's good intentions and policies. So
19
    that's my concern.
20
         0.
                Okay. My other question about
21
    that sentence was just about the
22
    straightforward joining part. Your report
23
    does not document that you were able to
24
    perform such a join, right? Have you had a
25
    chance to take another look?
                                          Page 242
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 244 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I haven't found it, but I
2	remember seeing an example where for sure
3	we found I think it was even a timestamp
4	and maybe it was an IP address. It was a
5	timestamp plus something else, and I was
6	able to see how records joined across these
7	logs.
8	Q. It's not documented here in the
9	report, right?
10	A. It might be somewhere. I just
11	the report is huge and the appendices
12	are huge. It is more than I can remember
13	the location of everything. So it's
14	Q. Did you take time during a
15	break to look for it?
16	A. I didn't have enough time to
17	find it yet, but maybe it will turn up
18	later.
19	Q. Okay. And just to be clear,
20	that join that you performed, did you say
21	you thought it was with timestamp?
2 2	A. I think timestamp was one of
2 3	the elements, and I think there was
2 4	something else if my memory is correct.
25	Q. You were operating with a GAIA
	Page 243

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 245 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 log and a device ID log that you knew came 2 from the same device and user, right? 3 Α. The datasets were not gigantic. 4 Q. Well, and they were limited in 5 scope to only the test devices, right? 6 They were limited to whatever 7 was produced to us. Yeah, I assume that 8 that's the test devices or the user 9 devices, yes. 10 So you have no way of telling 11 if you were looking at the entirety of all 12 of Google's analytics data if you could 13 perform such a deterministic join between 14 those timestamps? I mean, for all you 15 know, there could be a hundred million 16 entries at the same timestamp. 17 Α. Well, so I'm going to correct what you said a little bit. 18 19 O . Sure. 20 Α. It is actually a probabilistic 21 match, okay? So fingerprinting is a probabilistic endeavor. 22 23 Q. Okay. 24 And so if I were to have a huge Α. 25 set of data, the computational complexity Page 244

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 246 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    of doing that essentially is that you take
2
    all the data, you calculate some
3
    fingerprint from the data, you have a
4
    fingerprint function, you have some inputs
5
    and you calculate a fingerprint function,
6
    however that is, and then you look for
7
    matching fingerprints.
8
                 This can be done with pretty
9
    good efficiency. It's not computationally
10
    a difficult task. And fingerprinting is
11
    actually done and clustering is done in
12
    order to gather up data like this and do
13
    probabilistic matching. It is a common
1 4
    practice and it is feasible, okay? So I'm
15
    not opining out of thin air. I'm using my
16
    experience in knowing what people actually
    do in these sort of large-scale internet
17
    identity systems.
18
19
                People other than Google?
         Ο.
20
         Α.
                People, in general, state of
21
    the art, state of the art is that it is
    feasible to do this. You can do
22
23
    probabilistic matching with a good, I have
24
    read academic papers, you can do
25
    probabilistic matching with a good degree
                                          Page 245
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 247 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    of success.
                 There is no technical
2
    impediment to doing it. You may have some
    failure rate. You may not match all of
3
    them. But you match a high percentage of
4
5
    them.
6
                I see, okay. That's helpful.
7
    Take a look at 248.
8
                 MR. MAO: By the way, Eduardo,
9
         let's take a break when we are done
         with this mod.
10
11
                MR. SANTACANA: Didn't we just
12
         take one?
13
                 MR. MAO: I need to use the
1 4
         restroom.
15
                MR. SANTACANA: Okay.
16
         Α.
                By the way, your last question
17
    just shook loose something in my mind. If
    I remember, you were asking me if I had
18
19
    seen any IP addresses in the logs. I do
20
    remember seeing, I think that there is
21
    maybe some IP addresses that were encoded.
22
    They don't necessarily look like IP
23
    addresses, but I seem to recall encoded IP
    addresses, or IP addresses, but now I have
24
25
    a memory of that.
                                          Page 246
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 248 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. I didn't see that in your 2 report. So could you put that on your list of things to look for? 3 4 Α. Sure. 5 0. That would be really helpful. 6 All right. So paragraph 248, 7 you -- well, you just answered my question 8 actually. Never mind. 9 Well, one question on this. On 10 this that you talk about in 11 248, so Dr. Black looked at the of entries from the same 13 devices and found that they did not match and must have been 14 Do you have any basis to dispute that? 16 17 I understand that there is Α. some -- and even in 248 it says that. 18 19 There is some is going on it would appear. Also 248 does 20 that have disclosure of the IP address and 21 22 user agent found in both GAIA and non-GAIA 23 logs. I think you saw that, but I will 24 just state it for the record that that 25 answers prior questions about that. Page 247

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 249 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. MAO: Sorry, Eduardo, you
2	said that 248 says that some things
3	match and some things don't match? I'm
4	not seeing that.
5	MR. SANTACANA: No, I was
6	saying that Dr. Black's report says he
7	wasn't able to
8	from GAIA logs to non-GAIA logs because
9	they must
10	
11	A. And I have actually said that
12	they are
14	So he and I therefore agree on that point.
15	Q. Okay. Let's look at 310,
16	paragraph 310.
17	By the way, did you personally
18	draft this report?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So paragraph 310 says that
21	Google in effect associates WAA-off and
22	sWAA-off data with GAIA by measuring
23	conversions using DSID. Is that a fair
2 4	summary of this paragraph?
25	A. Let me just read it one second.
	Page 248

```
1
         Q.
                 Sure.
2
                 (Witness perusing document.)
                 So for context, let's look at
3
         Α.
         just the first sentence there.
4
5
                      So that's not under
9
    dispute. That's been admitted. And let me
    just read 310 now.
10
11
                 (Witness perusing document.)
12
                 MR. SANTACANA: I just
13
         remembered you wanted a break, I'm
14
         sorry.
15
                 So 310 is pointing out that
16
    there is a direct link between sWAA-off and
17
    GAIA through this DSID, which is an
    encrypted, the encrypted GAIA ID.
18
19
                 So I want to be very clear
20
    about that. DSID is an encrypted GAIA ID,
    understood. Without the decryption key you
21
    could not determine whose GAIA the DSID
22
23
    refers to, right?
24
                 So the encryption key
25
    presumably is strong enough, I'm assuming
                                          Page 249
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 251 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 it is strong enough, I'm assuming that 2 somebody doesn't figure out a way to break 3 the crypto system, so someone would have to get possession of the key in order to do 4 5 it. 6 So that, in theory, okay, it 7 speaks to the risk, right? So if someone 8 exfiltrates that piece of data, if they 9 don't get the encryption key also the exfiltrator may have trouble with that 10 11 piece of data. They may use other pieces 12 of data to link things up. 13 If, in a different scenario, if 1 4 some government agency comes to Google and 15 says give us data matching certain 16 specifications, it is conceivable that Google could be ordered to use their 17 18 decryption key to connect that and hand 19 over the data. So that's also a risk. And 20 of course, I mean, I like law enforcement, 21 I support law enforcement, but they 22 sometimes make mistakes, and, you know, 23 that's a risk that a user is exposed to, 24 that they could be maybe falsely accused of 25 something based on some data that's Page 250

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 252 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	obtained from Google.
2	Q. And you don't dispute that the
3	encryption key that generates DSID is
4	temporary and trashed periodically, right?
5	A. If the key is rotated, I would
6	anticipate that it might be rotated
7	periodically. You know, there's a lot of
8	detail here, such as how long is how
9	long is the data retained, how often is the
10	key rotated, you know, all these things can
11	affect the analysis of the magnitude of
12	that risk, but they don't they don't
13	affect the sort of basic gripe I have,
14	which is that data is being collected and
15	saved contrary to users' wishes.
16	MR. SANTACANA: Okay. Let's go
17	off.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
19	4:45 p.m. We are off the record.
2 0	(Recess taken.)
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
2 2	5:00 p.m. We are back on the record.
2 3	BY MR. SANTACANA:
2 4	Q. All right. Switching gears
25	here for a little bit, there is there is
	Page 251

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 253 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	a few places in your report where you use
2	the word "uniform." Do you know what I'm
3	talking about?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Was part of your assignment in
6	the case to measure uniformity?
7	A. Of the class members or of
8	something else?
9	Q. Of anything.
10	A. I don't recall that.
11	Q. Okay. And of class members?
12	A. I mean, I'm just aware that the
13	class may be an issue. In any class
14	action, the definition of the class, are
15	the class members uniform, is sometimes a
16	legal issue.
17	Q. Were you asked to opine on
18	uniformity for that purpose?
19	A. I don't think I was
2 0	specifically asked to opine on uniformity.
21	Q. Are you would you say you're
2 2	offering an opinion with respect to
2 3	uniformity in the class or of the class?
2 4	A. That sounds like a legal
25	opinion. I just have I'm just opining
	Page 252

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 254 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	about the technology. So if you want, we
2	can go look at the specific instances and I
3	can tell you more about them, because I'm
4	not sure what you're referring to because I
5	don't remember where I've used that word,
6	if anywhere.
7	Q. That's fair. Let me ask you
8	this: Did you use any particular
9	methodology or calculation to test
10	uniformity in the data that you received
11	and analyzed?
12	A. I think that I did look at some
13	of the data and saw that it wasn't
14	particularly representative. There was
15	some it was sort of skewed.
16	Q. What do you mean?
17	A. I think it's documented in one
18	of the appendices, but I seem to recall
19	there was some data that just didn't look
2 0	like it was a natural distribution.
21	Q. A natural distribution of what?
22	A. Values. Of course it wouldn't
2 3	be, because we are talking about test data
2 4	which is data generated by our apps and our
25	devices and our people, so we're not
	Page 253

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 255 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    looking at a uniform distribution there.
2
                 Okay. So nevertheless --
         O .
3
                 Sorry, we're not looking at a
         Α.
    representative distribution. I've got that
4
5
    word on my mind now because you mentioned
6
    it.
7
                 Did you apply any methodology
         Q.
8
    to determine the degree of uniformity in
9
    the data you were analyzing, was that one
10
    of the experiments that you did, or
11
    calculations?
12
                 I mean, so I'm not sure, I
13
    would have to look at which experiment, we
1 4
    would have to be more specific, because my
15
    memory is not -- I don't have a compartment
16
    in my mind of these are the uniformity
17
    experiments. That's not how I organized
18
    the data in my own mind.
19
                 Right. And I don't think you
         0.
20
    call anything a uniformity experiment.
21
                 You also did not calculate any
22
    numbers that were meant to represent
23
    uniformity in the data that you saw, right?
24
    That's not --
25
                 MR. MAO: Objection,
                                          Page 254
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 256 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	argumentative. I'm sorry.
2	Q. You are not trying to represent
3	uniformity with math in this case?
4	A. I don't recall that anywhere.
5	I mean, if it's there, it's there, but I
6	don't recall it.
7	Q. You did not offer any opinion
8	in here about the language of the
9	disclosures that third-party apps used with
10	their end users, fair to say?
11	A. No, I wasn't evaluating the
12	third-party disclosures.
13	Q. Nor their sufficiency or
14	frequency or wording, none of that?
15	A. That sounds like all things
16	that are outside the scope of my report.
17	Q. Okay.
18	A. Which is already big enough
19	given the size of the report.
20	Q. Dr. Black calculated that of
21	the 16,163 events triggered in the
22	datasets, 16,009 of them had no e-mail
23	address in them. Do you have any other
2 4	calculation that would deviate from that?
25	MR. MAO: Objection, vague.
	Page 255

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 257 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 That is sort of an absurd 2 statistic, because it's looking at a small 3 sample set of test data and he is somehow 4 trying to extrapolate what the rate of 5 e-mail addresses is, which is just -- okay, 6 that's just absurd. 7 I'm not making a statement of 0. 8 rate. I'm just saying did you -- I'm 9 literally just saying did he count it 10 correctly, incorrectly, or you have no 11 opinion? 12 I have not verified his number, 13 but I also have no reason to doubt his 14 number, and I also don't think his number 15 is meaningful in any way or useful in any 16 way. 17 Okay. A conversion event, the Ο. way it is recorded, do you recall seeing 18 19 those in the data, in Google's conversion 20 loq? 21 Good question. As I sit here Α. now, I don't recall it off the top of my 22 23 head. The appendices are kind of long and 24 very, very detailed and dense, and I would 25 just have to look at them and refresh my Page 256

1 memory on that point. 2 At some point you are MR. MAO: going to have to let him do that and 3 then we can get on the record all the 4 5 ones that we have held as a flag. it doesn't have to be now, just at some 6 7 point. 8 MR. SANTACANA: Okay. 9 MR. MAO: We probably should have done that during lunch. 10 11 So let's talk about HitBundles 12 for a moment. 15 Why don't we go to the Α. 16 paragraph that you're talking about. 17 That one is 116. 0. 18 Α. Okay. 19 Do you have it? Ο. 20 Α. Yes. 21 So you opine that Q. Page 257

```
1
3
                 Well, I think that that's the
          Α.
    sort of logical conclusion based on what
4
    I've cited here are Google's 4th
5
6
    Supplemental Responses to Interrogatories
7
    1, 3 and 4.
8
          Q.
12
          Α.
                 So this is actually an instance
13
    of the
14
                 Okay.
          Q.
15
                 In other words, there is
          Α.
19
          Q.
                 Right. As a result of that,
20
    for some unidentifiable portion of the
21
    analytics data that has been sent to
22
    Google,
                           ; is that your
    opinion?
25
                                           Page 258
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 260 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 I don't know that I have used 2 those words, in this paragraph. Do you see them somewhere else? Because I don't 4 5 remember using those words. No, those are my words. 6 7 Α. Those are your words, okay. 8 Let me read what I've written. 9 Q. Sure. (Witness perusing document.) 10 11 Α. I mean, this is again sort of a 12 deduction based on Google's answers to 13 these questions. 1 4 Right. And I'm just -- so my 0. 15 question is just for some unidentifiable 16 portion of analytics data that's been sent 17 to Google, 20 Α. I'm not sure I want to go that 21 far. 22 Okay. 0. 23 Because, you know, I think 24 just -- I only want to go as far as what I said here. 25 Page 259

1	Q. Okay. That's fine.
2	A. I will tell you why I say that.
3	
8	Q. Retrospectively?
9	A. I would imagine that they've
10	had that capability. I have no way to
11	verify whether they have done that or not.
12	Q. Okay. Back to conversion
13	events for a moment. The conversion events
14	that would be stored by Google from a
15	particular device will vary depending on
16	that user's engagement with apps; is that
17	fair to say?
18	A. Each app may have a different
19	conversion action, or in-apps can have more
20	than one conversion action.
21	Q. And they may have custom ones
22	too?
2 3	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. So the degree of information
25	about conversions that is sent to Google by
	Page 260

1	Google Analytics for Firebase varies from
2	user to user depending on the apps they
3	choose to use?
4	A. I don't know that I would put
5	it that way, because I think you have
6	you have a population of users, and the
7	users, there will be some sort of
8	statistical distribution in terms of the
9	number of conversions recorded per user. I
10	mean, I wouldn't expect every user to have
11	the same number of conversions as every
12	other. That would be unrealistic.
13	Q. Right. Some may only have one
14	type, some may have different types, some
15	may have a lot, some may have a little,
16	right?
17	A. There is some I mean,
18	users there will be some statistical
19	there will be a mean, there will be some
20	standard deviation in terms of the number
21	you get per user.
2 2	Q. Sorry, I'm not understanding
2 3	your question. I was just trying to
2 4	establish that some users are going to have
2 5	more conversion events than others and
	Page 261

1 different conversion events too, right? 2 Yes, there will be some Α. variation. 3 4 And, as I recall, you are not Q. 5 opining in this case that Google uses 6 sWAA-off conversions that it logs for any purpose other than the recordkeeping 8 associated with evidence of good delivery of ads? 9 10 And it is also a way to charge 11 advertisers. You show them conversions, 12 and that enables you to collect money from 13 your advertiser. But apart from that, you're not 1 4 0. 15 opining that there is some other thing 16 Google is using those for? 17 Well, I think we covered this before and I think I said that the 18 19 information about conversions can also be 20 used, sort of second-order way can be used 21 to help train algorithms to help make predictions, to help improve Google 22 23 products. So there is some additional uses 24 for that beyond immediately monetizing 25 getting revenue from advertisers. Page 262

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 264 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Does that does that include
2	sWAA-off data?
3	A. I mean, because as far as I can
4	tell, the conversions that are tracked with
5	sWAA-off are treated just like any other
6	conversion, they are they would I
7	would expect that they would be fed into
8	all these machine learning algorithms sort
9	of the same way.
10	Q. And what if a user has sWAA off
11	but the app developer has not enabled data
12	sharing with Google, what impact does that
13	have?
14	MR. MAO: Objection, vague,
15	argumentative, and assumes facts not in
16	evidence.
17	A. Okay, so
18	MR. MAO: Part of that is also
19	just wrong. But go ahead.
20	A. So I don't remember the answer
21	to that question, and I might have
22	documented it somewhere, but I just don't
23	remember it off the top of my head.
24	Q. I don't see a reference to it
25	in your report.
	Page 263

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 265 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. MAO: Wait, sorry, on
2	publisher conversions or advertiser
3	conversions? Which one are you talking
4	about in his report?
5	MR. SANTACANA: I'm talking
6	about data sharing, the data sharing
7	side.
8	MR. MAO: Of the public
9	conversion side?
10	A. So my understanding of your
11	question is that you asked me if the app
12	publisher declines data sharing with
13	Google, how does that affect this, and the
14	answer is I don't recall.
15	Q. Okay. Are you opining in this
16	case that Google uses a record of a
17	device's conversions that were sWAA-off
18	conversions to choose which ad to show to
19	that device?
20	MR. MAO: I'm sorry, can you
21	read the question back again?
22	(The record was read.)
23	A. I don't think I've rendered
24	that, but I'm not going to take a position
25	on that question.
	Page 264

1	Q. Why?
2	A. Unless I figured it out already
3	and documented it somewhere, I don't
4	remember it being something I figured out.
5	But maybe I did figure it out and forgot
6	about it. But I don't remember it, and I'm
7	not going to be able to answer it now.
8	Q. Okay. That's fine. I don't
9	think you did opine on that. I'm just
10	clarifying.
11	Now, on the number of times
12	that any particular user has been subjected
13	to the privacy risks that you identify in
14	your report when they have sWAA turned off,
15	that is something that will vary depending
16	on a number of factors, let's start there,
17	is that fair to say?
18	A. I think that some users are on
19	their phones and apps more than others.
20	Q. Will it also vary well, let
21	me just say, will the severity of the
2 2	privacy risk in question also vary from
2 3	user to user?
2 4	A. I don't know, because the users
25	that we are interested in are people that
	Page 265

1 have Google accounts that flip those 2 switches to the off position, and one of them or both of them, either the first one 3 only or the first and second one, right, 4 5 because you can't flip sWAA-off unless -you can't flip -- one you can't do without 6 the other, okay? So, I mean, those people 8 designated I want this to be private and it 9 is not really up to me or anyone else to 10 second-quess them. 11 Sorry, my question was just you 12 identify privacy risks you have today, you 13 do in your report. Does the severity of the privacy risks for those sWAA-off users 14 15 vary from user to user? 16 I don't know a way to quantify 17 that and differentially explain for this user it is much more risky than for that 18 19 user. Because each user has their own --20 they are people, they are individuals, 21 right? And they all have made a decision, 22 and I'm not going to say that your opinion 23 is worth more than his opinion. We both 24 made a decision to have this thing shut off 25 and you deserve equal respect for that Page 266

1 decision. 2 Completely understood. But if 0. 3 you say -- well, forget the analogy. You have said today that, for example, one 4 5 factor that goes into severity is how much 6 data is collected about a particular -tied to a particular identifier, more data, 8 more risk; less data, less risk. Another 9 factor might be how fragmented the data is. 10 Another factor might be how detailed the 11 data is. 12 My question is, aren't all 13 those factors going to factor in to how 1 4 severe the risks are for any particular 15 user in this class? 16 MR. MAO: Objection, misstates 17 his testimony. Go ahead. 18 I don't think you can make a Α. 19 function where you take these numbers as 20 inputs and say -- and calculate, say, for 21 this person it is really severe, for that 22 person it is not severe at all. Because 23 even one little leak can have drastic 24 consequences, and it is sort of no way 25 of -- there is no way for me to know that, Page 267

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 269 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	you know, who is going to be hurt by some
2	privacy leak. I don't feel like I could
3	calculate that and I don't think anyone
4	else could calculate that. I think each
5	person who sets that switch should have
6	their wishes respected, and I don't know
7	the way to distinguish this one is worth
8	more than that one.
9	Q. So you don't you don't think
10	that you could calculate based on how much
11	data there is and what kinds of data they
12	are, how risky it is to a particular member
13	of the class?
14	MR. MAO: Are you asking him on
15	a technical basis within the scope
16	of
17	MR. SANTACANA: I've asked my
18	question.
19	MR. MAO: Okay. Then my
20	objection is you are asking him
21	questions which may be outside the
22	scope of his designation. But you can
23	go ahead.
2 4	MR. SANTACANA: There is no
25	designation. He is under subpoena.
	Page 268

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 270 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. MAO: I disagree.
2	MR. SANTACANA: Okay. There is
3	no such thing as a designation.
4	THE WITNESS: This goes over my
5	head.
6	MR. MAO: What do you mean,
7	what he has been designated as an
8	expert for? Yes, there is.
9	MR. SANTACANA: What is his
10	designation?
11	MR. MAO: He is our technical
12	expert, so you are asking something
13	that might be reserved for another
14	expert.
15	MR. SANTACANA: Is there a
16	document that designates him?
17	MR. MAO: Yeah, the scope of
18	his work, his opinions. You are asking
19	him something outside the scope of his
20	opinions.
21	MR. SANTACANA: Sorry, have you
22	served some document that designates
23	him for some topics and not others?
24	MR. MAO: It is there on his
25	document, isn't it?
	Page 269

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 271 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. SANTACANA: This is a
2	disclosure of expert opinions.
3	MR. MAO: Yeah.
4	MR. SANTACANA: This is not a
5	designation of topics. So I'm just
6	trying to understand what you're
7	saying.
8	MR. MAO: He is not going
9	outside the scope of his opinions. You
10	are the one that was trying to say that
11	he was limited to what he had testified
12	to and opined on. Are you asking him
13	to opine on other subjects? That's not
14	what we retained him for.
15	MR. SANTACANA: I'm asking him
16	my question.
17	MR. MAO: You know what, I'm
18	not sure this is fruitful. Just go
19	ahead.
20	A. Sure. Could you reask the
21	question?
22	Q. You don't think you could
23	calculate based on how much data there is
24	and what kinds of data they are how risky
25	these practices are to a particular class
	Page 270

1	member?
2	A. I don't have a methodology for
3	doing that.
4	Q. Okay. Well, and I think what
5	you are saying is they should be treated
6	the same, because no one member's WAA-off
7	decision is worth more than another class
8	member's?
9	A. Well, I mean, that's my view of
10	it based on my sort of technical opinion
11	that this is a switch that somebody set and
12	Google has gone and violated their wishes
13	and collected data that shouldn't be
14	collected and saved data that shouldn't be
15	saved.
16	Q. You have opined before that
17	user data has a market value, right?
18	A. I have.
19	Q. Have you done that in the
2 0	context of litigation only or also
21	publicly?
2 2	A. I've said it publicly, I've
23	said it in litigation, data is a commodity
2 4	that has value.
25	Q. The market value of the data in
	Page 271

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 273 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	question here, the sWAA-off data, would it
2	be the same as to every class member or
3	would it have to vary from class member to
4	class member?
5	A. I can tell you that the way
6	that such data is typically traded, you buy
7	a population and you pay an average price
8	per, and some, you know, you are just
9	paying per unit, and some may have more,
10	some may have less data.
11	Q. Some may have more data, some
12	may have less data, but you just sort of
13	pay per population?
14	A. I mean, I think that's the way
15	it is commonly traded.
16	Q. Okay, yeah. So this is a class
17	action, so that's not an option.
18	My question I guess is what are
19	the factors that would go into the fair
20	market value of the user data in question
21	if you were to pay each class member an
22	amount that is tied to the fair market
23	value of their specific user data?
2 4	MR. MAO: Just objection,
25	outside the scope of the expert
	Page 272
	1 490 272

1	opinion.
2	A. I'm not prepared to answer that
3	question. I have no basis to answer that
4	question.
5	Q. Well, I'm not I'm just
6	asking you, you have opined about fair
7	market value before, you have talked about
8	it publicly, you believe that data has
9	value, right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. More data has more value than
12	less data, right, bigger population is
13	worth more than a smaller one?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Detailed population is worth
16	more than a less detailed one?
17	A. Potentially.
18	Q. Population with Social Security
19	numbers is worth more than population that
20	just has device identifiers?
21	A. Maybe, it depends. I mean,
22	again, this is getting into a lot of
23	details which I haven't done that analysis
2 4	here, so I'm not ready to give you any
25	opinion about the relative value of
	Page 273

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 275 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 different pieces of data. 2 0. Sure. Data brokering, I would have to 3 research it and work on it before I could 4 5 say anything more. 6 Well, you have identified today 7 that there is a privacy risk that you are 8 worried about and the privacy risk is that 9 data will be exfiltrated from Google, 10 right? 11 That's one risk. 12 That's one risk. Why would Q. 13 somebody exfiltrate this data from Google? 14 MR. MAO: Objection, calls for 15 speculation. 16 Ο. How do you even know it is a 17 risk to begin with? 18 Okay. So I have -- I'm aware Α. 19 that data has value not only in legitimate commerce, it also has value to criminals. 20 21 People doing online crime and scams will 22 try to acquire data. And where there is a 23 willing buyer with money, then there may be 24 someone who is going to make a play to get 25 a hold of some dataset that is unique that Page 274

```
1
    they can sell for a good amount of money.
2
                 So back to the topic at hand,
         Ο.
3
    which is the privacy risks that you've
    identified, right, you've talked about
4
5
    those risks extensively today, my question
6
    is, doesn't the severity of the risk vary
7
    depending on the nature of the data from
8
    class member to class member, how much it
    is, how detailed it is, what kind of data
9
    it is?
10
11
         Α.
                 I don't know how to ascertain
12
    that.
13
                 Okay. Your report, look at
         Q.
    paragraph 206 for a second, I'm focused
1 4
15
    really on this part where you say Google
16
    admitted in its response to RFA 25 that
                                    Do you see
21
    that?
22
         Α.
                 Yes.
23
                 You quote that admission a few
         Q.
24
    times in your report. My question is do
25
    you know
                                          Page 275
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 277 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I don't remember.
2	Q. Do you identify
3	in the report?
4	A. Well, I point to admission
5	number 25.
6	Q. Okay. So if it's not there,
7	then you don't know?
8	A. I don't remember, and that's
9	where I would go to look, and that's what I
10	can tell you.
11	Q. Fair enough. All right. Now
12	let's look at 163. So in this report
13	actually, I will just show you. First,
14	actually, just flip to 101.
15	MR. MAO: I'm sorry, his
16	report, 101?
17	MR. SANTACANA: His report,
18	paragraph 101.
19	MR. MAO: Got it, thank you.
20	Q. So at paragraph 101 there is a
21	phrase "Google collects the user's GAIA ID
22	regardless of their WAA or sWAA status."
23	Let me know when you see it.
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. I think you clarify at the end
	Page 276

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 278 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    of that paragraph Google logs and uses DSID
2
    even when the user has WAA or sWAA off. I
    just want to be clear, you are not opining
3
    that Google is collecting the user's GAIA
4
5
    ID in analytics HitBundles, what it is
    collecting is DSID, which is an encrypted
6
    form of GAIA ID, right?
8
                 I'm not asserting -- I don't
         Α.
9
    think that this asserts that the GAIA ID is
    collected in the clear. It seems to be
10
11
    collected in an encrypted form. It is an
12
    equivalent information, but it is in
13
    encrypted form.
1 4
         O .
                 Okay. Just making sure that's
15
    where we're at.
16
                 Now, I think you touched on
17
    this a little bit earlier, but talking
18
    about the consent check process now, you
19
    are aware that when Google performs a
20
    consent check on analytics data,
24
         Α.
                 That's the impression I had,
25
    yes.
                                          Page 277
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 279 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

-		
1	Q.	For Android devices?
2	Α.	That's consistent with my
3	understand	ling.
4	Q.	As a result of that,
	Is	that also consistent with your
11	understand	ling of the
12	А.	I'm not sure that's right.
13	Q.	Okay. What do you mean?
14	А.	I'm not sure that's right.
15	Q.	You're just not sure?
16	А.	Well,
2 0	Q.	Yeah.
21	А.	So So
2 4	Q .	Right.
25	А.	So .
		_ <del>_</del>
		Page 278

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 280 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Right.
2	A. And .
3	Yes, under that scenario, I see what you're
4	saying.
5	Q. Okay. My question is why is it
6	designed that way? Do you have any opinion
7	about that?
8	A. I recognize the design pattern.
9	Q. What does it look like to you?
10	A. Well, that's a tactic called
11	isolation.
12	Q. Okay. What is can you
13	explain just briefly what that means?
14	A. By trying to separate the data
15	this can make it more difficult for an
16	attacker to get hold of the two pieces of
17	data.
18	Q. Is there any cost to
19	implementing an isolation pattern in
2 0	something like this?
21	A. I mean, for somebody like
22	Google, they have data centers full of
23	servers. They have plenty of servers.
2 4	This is not an extremely resource-intensive
25	calculation.
	Page 279

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 281 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	So I'm not sure, I mean, the
2	incremental cost, I mean, it may be that it
3	is all sunk cost for Google. I'm just not
4	sure. I don't think I've performed that
5	analysis and I haven't really thought about
6	that because this is the first time I have
7	heard about this process that you're
8	mentioning here or at least the first time
9	I've focused on it in terms of cost as far
10	as I can tell.
11	Q. Well, the process,
	we were
13	just talking about, that is detailed in
14	Google's interrogatory response and you
15	describe it in your
16	A. Can we take a look at where I
17	describe it just to refresh my memory?
18	Q. Sure. Why don't you take a
19	moment to read 162 through 164, which is a
2 0	few pages, 165, excuse me.
21	MR. SANTACANA: If we could
2 2	just go off, I need to use the restroom
2 3	real quick.
2 4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
2 5	5:36 p.m. We are off the record.
	Page 280

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 282 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                (Recess taken.)
2
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
3
         5:41 p.m. We are back on the record.
4
    BY MR. SANTACANA:
5
         Ο.
            Have you had a chance to review
6
    those paragraphs?
7
         Α.
                I've looked at 162. Is that
8
    the right one?
9
         Q. And what about 163 and 164 and
    165?
10
11
         A. No, I haven't gotten to those
12
    yet. Just a minute.
13
            I think 163 is the key.
         Q.
14
                (Witness perusing document.)
15
                Okay, yes, this thing here. So
         Α.
16
    what I can see is I haven't formed any
17
    opinion about cost in this.
18
                Okay. Just, sorry, I'm just
         Q.
19
    trying to refresh your recollection as to
20
    this idea that
                   I thought you were
23
    saying that you hadn't thought about that
24
    before.
25
         Α.
               No, I knew about that but I
                                         Page 281
```

```
1
    hadn't thought about cost.
2
                Okay. So going back to my
         Ο.
3
    question about cost, I want to clarify, I
4
    actually did not mean in terms of money,
5
    what I meant was the isolation, is it a
    technique is what you would call it,
6
    isolation pattern?
8
                 Isolation is one way to try to
         Α.
9
    improve security.
10
                 Okay. So does isolation come
11
    at any cost from a computing perspective?
12
    Is there any reason not to do it, you know,
13
    from let's say Google's perspective?
1 4
                 I'm not sure. I mean, that's
         Α.
15
    sort of a detailed engineering question
16
    that may be specific to the circumstance.
17
                 Okay. So talking about the
         Ο.
    consent check, do you have any basis to
18
19
    dispute that this isolation process we were
    just talking about was designed to separate
20
21
    what Google calls pseudonymous data from
22
    GAIA keyed data?
23
                 I understand that Google is
24
    trying to separate data, divide between
25
    data that is stored with the GAIA ID and
                                          Page 282
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 284 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    data that's not stored with the GAIA ID.
                                                Ι
2
    understand they are trying to separate
3
    that.
         Q. All right. Now let's talk
4
    about iOS for a moment. So for iOS the
5
    process is different, right?
6
7
         Α.
                Yes.
8
                 And you talk about this at 164
9
    and 165. One of the things you talk about
10
13
                 Let me take a quick look here
         Α.
    and refresh my memory.
14
15
         0.
                 Sure.
16
                 (Witness perusing document.)
17
                 Yes, okay, so I've looked at
         Α.
    that. Do you want to reask the question?
18
19
         0.
                 All right. One of the things
20
    you talk about is
22
         Α.
                 Yes.
23
         Q.
                 Okay. One just clarifying
24
    question, you don't, sitting here today,
25
    know whether
                                          Page 283
```

```
1
            , do you?
2
                 MR. MAO: Sorry, can you read
         back the last -- the end of that
3
4
         question? Sorry, Eduardo, you just
5
         covered your mouth a little bit.
6
                 MR. SANTACANA: That's all
7
         right.
8
                 Sitting here today, you don't
9
    know whether
             , do you?
11
         Α.
                 I don't recall.
12
         Q.
15
                 I don't recall.
         Α.
16
         Q.
                 What do you mean, you don't
    recall?
17
18
                 I don't recall the answer to
         Α.
    that question. If it is documented in the
19
    report, so be it, and if it's not in the
20
21
    report, then it's not.
22
                 I don't think it's documented
         0.
23
    in the report. I'm just trying to
24
    establish, you're not opining that Google
25
                                          Page 284
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 286 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	, right?
2	MR. MAO: Objection,
3	argumentative.
4	A. Okay. So I think the point
5	the key takeaway here is that
6	There is a limit to the limit t
8	Q. Understood.
9	A
11	Q. Understood.
15	MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
16	hypothetical and argumentative. Go
17	ahead.
18	A. I don't recall saying anything
19	like that.
2 0	Q. Okay. Now, the iOS consent
21	check process has changed since iOS 14,
2 2	right?
2 3	A. I understand that Apple has
2 4	made some policy changes regarding use of
25	identifiers over time.
	Page 285

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 287 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 0. And that includes its 2 incorporation of the app tracking 3 transparency protocol? I believe I have mentioned that 4 somewhere in here. 5 I think it is mentioned once or 6 7 twice. But you do not really analyze in 8 this report how that affects Google's 9 ability to check consents, right? I mean, whatever I've said 10 11 about it is there for you to see. This 12 sounds like a detail which I just don't --13 I can't put all these details in my head at 1 4 once, so I've got the report. 15 That's fine. Is it your 16 opinion in this case that Google should 17 honor the sWAA control even in 18 circumstances where it does not know who 19 the user is? 20 If Google doesn't know who the 21 user is, if the user has asked and said I don't want to be tracked, that should be 22 23 honored. I don't see any reason why it 24 shouldn't be honored. 25 Did you render any opinion in 0. Page 286

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 288 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	the case as to the technical limitations
2	that iOS 14 imposed on Google's ability to
3	identify Google users?
4	A. I'm aware that there has been
5	somewhat of an ongoing cat and mouse game
6	between Apple and the data brokers and
7	advertising networks where Apple seems to
8	be making moves to try to promote privacy
9	and the data brokers and ad networks seem
10	to be trying to retain their capabilities.
11	So that has just been an ongoing trend.
12	Q. Are you rendering did you
13	render any opinion in the case on how the
14	app tracking transparency protocol affects
15	Google Analytics?
16	A. I don't recall saying anything
17	about that. I don't recall that being part
18	of my opinions.
19	Q. Okay. I had something but I
2 0	lost it.
21	MR. MAO: It happens to all of
2 2	us. It happens more with age.
2 3	THE WITNESS: We might need a
2 4	dinner break here for everyone to
25	refresh and regain.
	Page 287

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 289 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 MR. SANTACANA: I might try to
2
         make that flight.
3
                 MR. MAO: Okay, let's do it.
         Is it shown on time?
4
5
                 MR. SANTACANA: Different
         flight, JFK.
6
7
         Q. I have a question about
8
    paragraph 71.
9
         Α.
                 Speed round. Okay, go ahead.
                 It's the last sentence.
10
         Q.
11
                                ," and you cite a
16
    document.
17
                 You know, sometimes people make
18
    approximations in e-mails and internal
19
    documents. Did you do anything to confirm
20
    whether that breakdown is precise or
21
    accurate?
22
         Α.
                 I'm relying on that document.
23
         Q.
                 Okay. And nothing else?
                 I'm relying just on that
24
         Α.
    document.
25
                                          Page 288
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 290 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. Okay. So you're at least open 2 to the possibility that number is wrong or even really wrong, it is just one document? 3 I mean, yeah, it's a 4 Α. 5 possibility that the person who -- I would have to look at the document really before 6 I -- I shouldn't opine about a document in 8 the blind. But I can confirm that that's 9 the document I'm relying on and I'm not relying on something else. 10 11 Okay. App developers who use 12 Google Analytics for Firebase to measure 13 conversions could instead use some other 14 SDK to measure conversions, right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 0. And they could do that even 17 while continuing to place advertising on 18 Google's display network, right? 19 In theory, they could, and they could also in theory use both SDKs at the 20 21 same time. I think we have covered that, 22 if you want to use the mobile ads, you need 23 the mobile ad SDK, GMA, and therefore you 24 get that Firebase -- Google Analytics for 25 Firebase functionality which is bundled in Page 289

1	with that now.
2	Q. Well, I think you may be
3	conflating two things. So an app that
4	doesn't serve ads would have no need for
5	the GMA SDK, right?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. So for apps that are ad buyers
8	only and are using Google Analytics for
9	Firebase in their app, they could instead
10	use some other analytics provider and
11	continue to buy ads on Google's display
12	network?
13	MR. MAO: Objection. I think
14	that hypothetical is just wrong, your
15	hypothetical.
16	A. I'm sorry, so I didn't
17	understand it, the first time you asked the
18	question, I didn't understand it the way
19	you asked it. The second time I was in
20	fact mixed up.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. So we shouldn't rely on my
23	first answer, and what we should do
2 4	Q. Let's start over.
25	A. Yeah, start over and we will
	Page 290

1	try to get a clean answer.
2	Q. App developers who use Google
3	Analytics for Firebase to measure
4	conversions could instead use some other
5	SDK to measure conversions, right?
6	A. In theory they could.
7	Q. Including SDKs offered by
8	companies other than Google?
9	A. In theory I think they could.
10	Q. And they could use an SDK from
11	a company other than Google to measure
12	conversions on Google's display network,
13	right?
14	A. I would want to verify that
15	just to be sure, because these technologies
16	are kind of changing and it might even be a
17	dependent thing depending on when.
18	Something that is true now might not have
19	been true several years ago and vice versa.
2 0	The other thing I would say is
21	that the reason I have said theoretically
22	they could is that I don't know that any
23	significant number of people are doing it
2 4	that way because the Google Analytics
25	integrates so nicely with the Google ad
	Page 291

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 293 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 platforms that it is very beneficial to use 2 them together. 3 So take a look at Appendix E. Ο. Is that tab 3? 4 Α. 5 Ο. Tab 3. Yes. Α. 8 That was a project at Google to Q. 9 right? 12 Let me take a look at what I Α. 13 said about that, but, I mean, the way I think of it is the idea is to have one 14 15 Google Analytics, like one ring to rule 16 them all, but the Google Analytics 4 is the 17 evolution of Google Analytics for Firebase 18 as it is then extended to apply to the web 19 also, so that there is one analytics platform that covers all of it. That's the 20 21 way I think of it. 22 So take a look at paragraph 26 0. 23 of this appendix. It says and it quotes an interrogatory Page 292

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 294 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	response from Google. "Google tracks app
2	campaign ad spend that is bid against
3	different types of conversions. As of last
4	month (October 2022)
	of app campaign ad revenue was
6	attributable to conversion types bid
7	against GA4F (as opposed to other sources
8	of conversions)."
9	Do you see all of that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And then it says "When
12	launched in the first half of 2019, the
13	percentage was
14	iti
	in October of 2022."
16	Do you see all of that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. So do you understand that the
19	remainder of the 100 percent not
2 0	represented by conversions bid against GA4F
21	are being bid against some other conversion
22	measurement system?
23	A. Well, that would seem to be
24	implied, although I'm not sure that you
25	could infer that the other <b>were</b>
	Page 293

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 295 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 non-Google systems, because Google also has 2 Universal Analytics, their legacy product, 3 that is being phased out presently. 4 Q. So some of it may be Google, 5 some of it, though, may be non-Google? 6 And maybe some of it is not 7 tracked at all, in theory. Some people may 8 just not set up conversion tracking. I do run across these kind of advertisers with 9 regularity, shockingly. 10 11 Well, so let's clarify 0. 12 something about that. The types of ad 13 campaigns at issue in this case, as I 14 understand it, is app ads campaigns, right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 0. And can you run an app ads 17 campaign without conversion measurement at 18 all? 19 I would have to double-check Α. 20 that. It may be that it is not possible, 21 it may be that it is mandatory. 22 I'm not sure either. O . 23 I would just take -- that's the 24 kind of thing I don't memorize. I just 25 look at the documentation. Page 294

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 296 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Okay.
	-
2	MR. MAO: I just note for the
3	record that we have a fundamental
4	disagreement between you and I on what
5	an app campaign promo is.
6	MR. SANTACANA: That's fine.
7	Q. When an app developer places an
8	ad with Google for an app promo campaign or
9	an app ads campaign, do they pay per
10	conversion?
11	A. I think there I haven't used
12	this product recently. I would have to
13	look it up to be sure, but I've used it
14	sort of in the past some number of years
15	ago. But, again, I would just direct you
16	to the documentation which will answer that
17	question. I'm not sure I recorded it here
18	in the report.
19	Q. I think you did. Look at
20	paragraph 5.
21	A. Okay. I record this stuff
2 2	because I don't want to have to memorize
23	it.
2 4	See, the other problem is that
25	these products have an ever rotating set of
	Page 295

1	names and features.
2	Q. Sure.
3	A. So there are actually two
4	different kinds of app campaigns, there is
5	the install, the app install campaigns, the
6	app engagement campaigns where you try to
7	reengage some user who has lapsed, hasn't
8	used the app in, I don't know, 30 days.
9	Q. Right, okay, understood.
10	A. Okay. So I've noted Google
11	required app developers to implement app
12	conversion tracking and deep linking it to
13	have a minimum of 250,000 app installs in
14	order to run, I believe that is for the
15	ACE.
16	Q. Uh-huh. That's the engagement
17	campaign you are talking about?
18	A. Yeah, so I see that. There is
19	something about that is one note about
2 0	mandatory conversion.
21	Q. Right. You're not saying it
22	has to be with a Google product, you are
23	just saying that the advertiser has to
2 4	track conversion somehow?
25	A. I would just look it up in the
	Dog 206
	Page 296

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 298 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 documentation to know for sure what systems 2 would satisfy that requirement. 3 O . Okay. Read the manual. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Exactly. So back to my 6 question about how the advertisers pay for 7 all of this. How do they pay for all of 8 this? Well, I believe it is sold per 9 Α. 10 install or per engagement. I believe the 11 advertiser is able to make a bid. 12 The advertiser makes a bid. Ο. 13 What do you mean by that? 14 They specify the amount of Α. 15 money they are willing to pay for a result. 16 Q. Okay. 17 This program -- these programs Α. have changed over time. So I may be 18 19 recalling how something was in the past and it may be different at different points in 20 21 time. Probably the best thing to do is actually just to look it up for whatever 22 23 era you are interested in and know for sure 24 for that era what it was. 25 Okay, fair enough. Dr. Black 0. Page 297

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 299 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 says at some point in his report that if 2 Google Analytics for Firebase were to just 3 disappear, right, snap your fingers, it's gone, that advertisers, app developers who 4 5 advertise their apps, they would just 6 choose some other analytics SDK and keep 7 advertising with Google, they wouldn't just 8 stop advertising. I mean, you have done internet marketing work with clients 9 before. Does that seem fair? 10 11 MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete 12 hypothetical, argumentative, and just 13 completely wrong, but go ahead. Okay. So my concerns with that 14 Α. 15 hypothetical are, one, if users switch to 16 another conversion or analytics package, 17 that package may start pushing on them a 18 different ad platform. It might have a 19 really slick integration with a different ad platform, which causes Google to lose 20 21 market share. So I wouldn't conclude that 22 everything -- they would just switch and 23 advertising with Google would proceed all 24 the same, I don't think you can draw that 25 conclusion.

Page 298

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 300 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Ο. And I didn't mean to say it 2 would proceed all the same. I was just 3 saying they wouldn't stop advertising altogether? 4 5 You know, there could be -there could be some sort of seismic shift. 6 7 And also I'm not sure how realistic it is 8 that something like that would happen. Well, okay. We were just 9 Q. 10 looking at paragraph 26 of Appendix E of 11 your report. It says that in the first 12 half of 2019, of app ad spend at 13 Google was bid against GA4F conversions, 1 4 right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Ο. So at least as recently as the 17 first half of 2019 ? 21 The thing is in technology Α. times move fast and things move fast and 22 23 you can't necessarily stuff the genie back 24 in the bottle. Like if you took away this 25 product I don't know that you can conclude Page 299

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 301 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 things would just revert back to how they 2 were in 2019. 3 And I'm not suggesting that O . 4 they would revert back to the way they were 5 in 2019. I'm just saying as recently as the 6 first half of 2019, 10 Well, there is also something 11 absent there which is how much money are 12 they spending with Google. Is that -- has 13 Google's market share of the app ad market 14 gone up or changed over this time period? 15 I think that's another thing. So I'm not 16 ready to make some sort of economic 17 judgment I don't think about, you know, 18 whether -- if people switch, Google's revenue just keeps on the same. I wouldn't 19 draw that conclusion. 20 21 Do you advertise your website 0. 22 on Google's ad network? 23 Α. I did until recently. 24 When did you stop? Q. Α. 25 Not too long ago, like a month Page 300

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 302 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	ago maybe.
2	Q. Who do you advertise with now,
3	or do you just not advertise anymore?
4	A. I have some term contract that
5	I continue advertising with a SEEK
6	directory, which is a specialized website
7	for expert witnesses. It seems to produce
8	the best results for me. I have been
9	watching my ROI on Google erode, so I kind
10	of just pulled it.
11	Q. Got it.
12	A. I have been a big fan of Google
13	advertising over the years though.
14	Q. So you advertised your website
15	up until a month ago with Google, you used
16	Google Analytics, you also have advised
17	clients on using Google Analytics, right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. With websites?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And you have advised clients on
2 2	internet marketing, how to run ads and make
2 3	sure that they are doing it in the most
2 4	optimal way, right?
25	A. Yes.
	Page 301

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 303 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         Q.
                 How many of those types of
2
    consultations have you done?
                 Hundreds.
3
         Α.
                Hundreds. Hundreds of
4
         Ο.
    entities?
5
6
         Α.
                 Probably, yeah.
7
                 So let's say one of those
         Q.
8
    entities came to you now and said Google
9
    Analytics, they just turned it off
    yesterday, and now I can't tell if my ads
10
11
    are converting or not. Would you say to
12
    them you should stop advertising on Google
13
    or would you say just measure your
1 4
    conversions using some other tool?
15
                 I mean, it's sort of a -- it's
16
    sort of a counterfactual assumption that
17
    this product would just disappear
18
    overnight. I would think that, for
19
    example, if the Court would order an
20
    injunction, somehow that impacted this, I
21
    would hope that there would be some fair
22
    notice to all the third parties that depend
23
    on it, not to leave people high and dry,
    that there should be a chance for -- a
24
25
    chance for orderly migration.
                                          Page 302
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 304 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. It is disappearing in six 2 months. I don't know. This is -- it 3 Α. gets into something very speculative. 4 Ι 5 just don't know where that would go or how 6 I would deal with that because it has never come up before like that. 8 Have you ever advised anyone 9 not to use Google Analytics on their website? 10 11 I have at times talked about 12 the pros and cons of using -- tracking 13 pixels on websites. 1 4 Have you ever advised that Ο. 15 someone use a tracking pixel other than 16 Google Analytics? 17 I mean, in addition in the past Α. I used other products, but Google Analytics 18 19 has become very dominant in the market. 20 0. If one of your clients said to 21 you I just got a notice that Google 22 Analytics will remain working just as it 23 always has, but for any of the users who 24 visit my website who have sWAA off, their 25 data will just not appear, won't be there, Page 303

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 305 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 won't contribute, what would you advise 2 them to do? 3 I would say that's fine, and I Α. will tell you why, because the Google 4 5 Analytics as it is does not give you an 6 exact count of anything. It actually uses statistical sampling. They don't actually 8 measure every interaction, they measure a sampling of them, and then extract from the 9 10 sampling. 11 So if there are WAA-off and 12 sWAA-off users it is possible to use 13 statistical methods to essentially replace 1 4 the missing data. So I think it's 15 something that one can use statistics to 16 correct for. Either Google could build 17 that into the product or the company using it could know, okay, this has some 18 19 measurement error, and in fact we already 20 know that this has some potential for 21 measurement error because some users in the 22 past, you know, there have been issues with 23 people, for example, they might use Privacy 24 Badger and they might disable the tracking 25 beacons. You know, sometimes -- sometimes Page 304

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 306 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 there are things that affect the accuracy 2 of all the tracking. 3 If you get a good statistical sample, if you get a read on three-quarters 4 5 of your visitors, you can extrapolate from that and know what is going on, as long as 6 -- as long as there is not too much bias in 8 the data. In other words, if you are 9 selling -- I mean, there might be some weird counterexample, for example, like if 10 11 Bruce Schneier is trying to sell his book 12 on the web and all the people coming to buy 13 the book are privacy hawks, like they might 14 all have Privacy Badger installed, so that 15 might be a little weird. But that is kind 16 of an unusual circumstance. 17 I mean, if you are just selling sneakers or belts or whatever, those kind 18 19 of people are just kind of run of the 20 internet and there is not going to be any 21 sort of correlation between desire for 22 privacy and whether people have a 23 propensity to buy shoes, because everybody 24 wears shoes. 25 MR. MAO: Can we get a clock Page 305

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 307 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

I	
1	check on that? I would like to at
2	least take a break before the last hour
3	just so we can kind of finish.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Five hours,
5	46 minutes.
6	MR. MAO: I'm going to need
7	about ten minutes with him for my part.
8	MR. SANTACANA: Sure.
9	MR. MAO: Just to be clear,
10	because I don't want you to complain
11	that you miss your plane. I'm not
12	trying to jam you on your time, I'm
13	simply saying if you are trying to make
14	your last flight, I'm going to insist
15	on having my ten minutes.
16	MR. SANTACANA: I understand.
17	I won't be surprised.
18	Q. So sticking with this for a
19	moment, wouldn't it be kind of difficult
20	for Google Analytics to tell your
21	hypothetical client in this scenario what
22	sWAA-off users would have done on the
23	website if the data has no sWAA-off users
24	in it at all?
25	A. I'm not sure exactly how to
	Page 306

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 308 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 best approach that, because this is, again, 2 one step into the future. It is sort of presuming some sort of result in this case 3 and then what do we do next. I think it is 4 5 best to get there first and then figure 6 out -- everyone can figure out what that next step is. That is hopefully not too 8 disruptive to the marketplace. 9 Q. So if let's say Google had honored the sWAA setting the way that you 10 11 interpret it from the beginning, you don't 12 think that would have hampered Google 13 Analytics for Firebase in a way that really like made it not work for app developers? 1 4 15 I'm not sure, but I think that 16 doing the right thing is usually a good business decision, because the way I look 17 18 at business, the trust of your customers is 19 your most valuable asset and you want your 20 customers to trust you and be happy with 21 you. 22 So I think doing the right 23 thing for customers, even if there is some 24 immediate apparent cost and then there is 25 maybe some hard to determine future Page 307

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 309 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    benefit, I think it is always a good idea
    to try to build up your customer trust.
2
    But that's just my philosophy. You asked,
    I answered, but make of it -- make of it
4
5
    what you will.
6
                That is perfectly fine. I just
7
    -- I want to really understand what you
    meant when you were talking about analytics
8
9
    and statistical sampling and the way that
10
    you would react if your client said to you
11
    I'm really upset, all of my -- all of the
12
    sWAA-off users are being excluded from
13
    Analytics now, this is a disaster, you
1 4
    know, what are we supposed to do, this
15
    thing is not working.
16
                I have actually documented in
17
    the report that something like
        are sWAA on. So you have got
18
     of your population, you are
20
    collecting data on them. So if you are
21
    missing of the data you can just
22
    sort of extrapolate that. If that
23
         is -- behavior of
24
    -- if the behavior of
25
    representative of the behavior of the
                                        Page 308
```

1 , you can just extrapolate and 2 have a pretty good idea. 3 So you wouldn't -- so you would O . not necessarily tell them you need to stop 4 5 using Google Analytics, this isn't accurate 6 anymore? 7 I would have to evaluate the Α. 8 circumstance and the situation at the time. 9 I think there is more to the hypothetical that I would have to evaluate. 10 11 Ο. Wouldn't, I mean, wouldn't in this hypothetical, if the sWAA-off data was 12 13 excluded from web analytics and your 14 customer is upset about it and they come to 15 you for advice, wouldn't it drive down the 16 value to the customer of advertising with 17 Google? 18 I'm not sure. I mean, again, Α. 19 it depends all on implementation details, 20 and, again, the going forward is different 21 than the history. 22 So is that -- I mean, are you 0. 23 saying I guess that going forward there's a 24 way in which Google could exclude sWAA-off 25 data from Analytics entirely, never get Page 309

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 311 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 sent, but the Analytics product could still 2 sort of work just as well for the app 3 developers who rely on it? I mean, I'm not sure. I would 4 have to see the details of that 5 6 implementation. There is sort of unknowns 7 in this hypothetical that might guide that. 8 Okay. I mean, you expressed a O. little bit about it. I mean, I'm just 9 10 trying to understand what you're saying. 11 I'm saying there are some scenarios under which you could do 12 13 extrapolation. I pointed that out. So I 14 don't know that I could give you a hard and 15 fast rule on this. I think it really 16 depends. 17 I will just ask you something Ο. quick about paragraph 182 on a completely 18 19 different topic. 20 Okay. Let's go like five 21 minutes and then take our break and then try to finish. 22 23 Ο. Sure. There is some blue 24 highlighting in here which I think was for 25 redaction purposes. Page 310

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 312 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. That's my understanding as
2	well.
3	Q. So I'm actually looking at the
4	blue highlight in this case. It says "I
5	understand that, " and then a little later
6	it says "Anibal Rodriguez was signed in to
7	his peteysake@gmail.com account."
8	Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And then you cite his
11	deposition transcript?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. You're aware that Dr. Black
14	talks about this in his report, the
15	possibility that Mr. Rodriguez was signed
16	in to a different account at different
17	points in time during the class period?
18	A. I don't recall that section of
19	Dr. Black's report.
2 0	Q. Okay.
21	A. But I also would just comment
22	that the last sentence in blue is that he
23	says "As shown in Appendix A, all three
2 4	plaintiffs' signed-in accounts had WAA and
25	sWAA turned off when the Baseview data was
	Page 311

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 313 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	generated." So I know that Appendix A was
2	actually a list of status at different
3	dates.
4	Q. Right. I'm very familiar with
5	Appendix A.
6	A. Yeah, that could be the fact
7	that he was the sWAA and WAA status
8	could be verified as of when that data was
9	collected.
10	Q. Okay. Apart from the
11	deposition transcript cite that you have
12	here for Mr. Rodriguez and Ms. Harvey and
13	Mr. Cataldo, you cite each of their
14	transcripts, is there anything else that
15	you are relying on for your understanding
16	as to which accounts were signed in to
17	these devices at which times? And to be
18	clear, did you talk to them or did the
19	lawyers tell you something that's not
20	documented here?
21	MR. MAO: And you are not
22	referring to what else you may have
2 3	produced to us, you are just talking
24	about like other sources outside of the
25	formal discovery process?
	Do 212
	Page 312

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 314 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. SANTACANA: No, or anything
2	that's not documented in this
3	paragraph.
4	A. I mean, what I would suggest is
5	I'm not going to be able to do it now live,
6	but I will check the records that were
7	collected and there may be something in the
8	actual record itself that corroborates that
9	information that they were signed in.
10	Q. Okay. There's but you
11	didn't talk to them, these plaintiffs?
12	A. I don't recall talking to them.
13	Q. Okay. And you're not relying
14	on something the lawyers told you about
15	which device which account was signed in
16	to which device at what time?
17	A. I'm relying on what's cited and
18	also the data itself.
19	MR. MAO: One other thing,
20	Eduardo, I know you are trying to get
21	out of here, but I do have on the
22	record a number of things that you
23	asked him that he agreed to follow up
2 4	on the appendix for the record. So I
25	don't know if you want to propose
	Page 313

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 315 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	something other than I can use my
2	time to do it. I don't care to hold
3	you to that. I'm just noting that that
4	is going to hold us over, okay?
5	MR. SANTACANA: I understand.
6	MR. MAO: Okay.
7	MR. SANTACANA: It's fine if I
8	miss it. It is completely fine. Let's
9	just do it right one time.
10	MR. MAO: I understand.
11	Q. So iOS 14 deprived third
12	parties of device ID if the user declined
13	the app tracking transparency prompt in
14	iOS. You're familiar with that?
15	A. Yeah, I recall that.
16	Q. You've gotten that prompt
17	yourself on an iPhone?
18	A. Probably.
19	Q. I would say almost certainly.
20	A. I don't remember it, but
21	probably I did.
22	Q. Well, it's a prompt that says
23	do you want to let this app track you for
2 4	advertising, and you say yes or no, and
25	sometimes it is worded differently, but
	Page 314

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 316 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	you've seen that before?
2	A. Probably.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. I mean, maybe I have some other
5	setting somewhere in my phone that just
6	suppresses it and says like no, never.
7	Q. Not possible?
8	A. No, it's not possible.
9	Q. But you're a computer privacy
10	expert, you didn't notice the prompts when
11	they came up?
12	A. I don't recall seeing them
13	recently.
14	Q. Okay. Maybe you just haven't
15	installed apps a lot.
16	A. Actually, I am kind of paranoid
17	about apps, and I will only install certain
18	kinds of apps.
19	Q. Got it. And actually I take it
2 0	back, it may be possible that if you turn
21	something off, it is off for all of them.
22	I'm not sure. In any case, are you
23	familiar with Apple's SKAD network?
2 4	A. Yeah, I don't remember the
25	acronym, but if you tell me what it spells
	Page 315

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 317 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	out or what it means, I probably I
2	probably have heard of it. I just don't
3	necessarily remember the name.
4	Q. I don't think I have ever seen
5	it what it stands for, if anything. But
6	do you have any understanding of what it
7	does or what it's for?
8	A. Again, I have to just connect
9	the thing to the name, because I may know
10	about the thing, I just don't know what it
11	is called.
12	Q. SKAD network is the thing that
13	Apple has to provide analytics and
14	conversion information to third parties
15	without giving them device ID. Are you
16	familiar with that?
17	A. Okay, so it is some sort of
18	privacy preserving analytics solution.
19	Q. Yeah.
2 0	A. Okay, yeah, I think I have
21	heard something about it.
22	Q. Or so they market it as. Okay.
23	Are you particularly familiar
2 4	with it or you just heard about it?
25	A. I mean, I think I follow the
	Page 316

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 318 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    press, so I see, you know, all the articles
    come across my inbox and I see them, but I
2
    don't -- I haven't looked at it in detail
3
4
    yet.
5
             Okay. And in your
         Ο.
    professional -- in the course of your
6
7
    professional life you haven't needed to --
8
    you don't advise people on app advertising?
9
         Α.
                I do at times. I just haven't
10
    had to look into it recently, and as I said
11
    before, the field moves kind of quickly, so
12
    I kind of do fresh investigation each time,
13
    because things change.
14
         Q.
                All right.
15
                MR. MAO: That break, Eduardo,
16
         at some point?
17
                MR. SANTACANA: Sure, we can
         break.
18
19
                 THE WITNESS: Now would be a
20
         good time.
21
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
22
         6:25 p.m. We are off the record.
23
                 (Recess taken.)
24
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
25
         6:45 p.m. We are back on the record.
                                          Page 317
```

1	BY MR. SANTACANA:
2	Q. Can you take a look at
3	paragraph 269 of your report.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Sir, in this paragraph you make
6	an assertion about marginal cost?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Other than what you have cited
9	here in this paragraph, was there any other
10	basis for this assertion?
11	A. Sure. So within my experience
12	I know elsewhere in the report I mention
13	that Google stated that they have
	and I'm aware of
15	Google's activities in storing video,
16	storing images, storing Gmail, storing an
17	index of the web. They have got some
18	pretty data-intensive applications there
19	that require a tremendous amount of
20	storage.
21	So what I'm thinking is that
22	the amount of storage required to store
23	this WAA-off and sWAA-off user data, which
2 4	is, you know, some of it is deleted
25	quickly, some of it is saved for a longer
	Page 318

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 320 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 period of time, presumably Google tries to be a little bit efficient about how they 2 store things. You know, when they are 3 going to store it for long term, they kind 4 5 of, you know, filter out what they don't need and keep what they need. 6 7 So I have in mind an inference 8 that this is a -- this amount of storage is 9 a rounding error in their whole scheme of things. And I also have an idea of what 10 11 storage costs at Google, because I actually 12 buy storage from Google. I pay Google \$2 a 13 month for premium Gmail storage. I pay 14 them \$2 a month to get 100 gigabytes of 15 storage. 16 0. That's less than I pay. 17 Okay. Well, and I also have, Α. you know, cloud storage with some other 18 19 providers like Dropbox, you know, I think 20 it is 150 bucks a year for 2 terabytes. 21 I'm aware of what the Amazon storage rates 22 are, especially cold storage is really 23 cheap, live storage is more money. But I 24 have some sort of general sense of what 25 cloud storage costs, and storage is pretty Page 319

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 321 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 cheap. So that's where all that opinion is 2 coming from. 3 Okay. So I quess the word O. material incremental cost, the word 4 5 "material" is doing some work there? 6 The word "material" is 7 definitely doing some work. 8 Have you quantified the degree O . to which a cost is material or not? 9 So generally my understanding 10 11 in these kind of situations, you know, the 12 difference between material and de minimus, the threshold is somewhere around 5 13 percent. You know, when you get something 14 15 that is like 1 percent, that is pretty 16 minor. 17 I think this is -- if Google is sitting down to plan out, okay, we need to 18 19 build more data centers, we need to 20 allocate storage, you know, what are our 21 forecast needs, they are looking at how 22 much video do they have to store, how much, 23 you know, images, how much mail they have 24 got to store, Google Docs, I mean, they 25 have got huge storage things. Page 320

1	I don't think the
2	WAA-off/sWAA-off data makes that list. I
3	don't think it factors into any sort of
4	calculation or projection of how much
5	storage one is going to need because I
6	think it is extremely small in the whole
7	scheme of things.
8	Q. Did you see any documents or
9	other evidence to that effect?
10	A. No, it is just an inference
11	from knowing how cloud computing platforms
12	work. And of course Google is welcome to
13	rebut me if they have an actual document
14	that shows otherwise, I'm totally happy to
15	take that document and see the facts.
16	Facts are friends.
17	Q. But you didn't see a document
18	either way?
19	A. They haven't produced that
2 0	document, but they certainly know what
21	their storage needs are and what their
2 2	storage use is for all this data. They
2 3	could, you know, if I'm wrong, they can put
2 4	the facts on the table.
25	Q. Got it. Okay. I have a
	Dagg 201
	Page 321

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 323 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 question about Firebase cloud messaging. 2 Any user that is receiving a notification 3 via Firebase cloud messaging has consented to receive notifications on this device 4 5 from that app, right? 6 You know, I think that the messaging, there is a setting that controls 7 8 whether apps can push messages. 9 Q. So to get such a message, a user would have to expressly agree to get 10 11 messages from that app? 12 Well, it might be the other way Α. 13 around. In order to not get messages a 14 user might have to turn it off. 15 Do you know one way or the Ο. other? 16 17 My impression is these things could be opted in. I mean, we could 18 19 double-check it. I mean, you can check it, I can check it. That is just something 20 21 that ought to be looked up. 22 So is it your understanding 0. 23 that when a user turns sWAA off that that 24 should disable the Firebase cloud messaging function of the Firebase SDK? 25

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 324 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I'm not sure. I haven't
2	considered that, I don't think. And that's
3	something that maybe Google could address,
4	you know, as a setting. You know, do you
5	want messaging to continue to work or not
6	if you flip this setting.
7	I mean, this is I think
8	something that some of the Google employees
9	have observed that is part of the issue
10	with the WAA and sWAA setting, which is
11	that it is dealt with inconsistently about
12	Google's range of products. It is hard,
13	very hard to understand what the behavior
14	is going to be.
14 15	is going to be. Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google
15	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google
15 16	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud
15 16 17	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud messaging data that you identify in your
15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud messaging data that you identify in your report?
15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud messaging data that you identify in your report?  A. I know that cloud messaging is
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud messaging data that you identify in your report?  A. I know that cloud messaging is a relatively minor product compared to, you
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud messaging data that you identify in your report?  A. I know that cloud messaging is a relatively minor product compared to, you know, the Google Analytics for Firebase and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud messaging data that you identify in your report?  A. I know that cloud messaging is a relatively minor product compared to, you know, the Google Analytics for Firebase and AdMob. Those are the two big ones. Cloud
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. Do you opine that Google has monetized the sWAA-off Firebase cloud messaging data that you identify in your report?  A. I know that cloud messaging is a relatively minor product compared to, you know, the Google Analytics for Firebase and AdMob. Those are the two big ones. Cloud messaging is a lesser lesser thing, less

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 325 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 your report that you could identify class 2 members using Google's data, right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. I think you start talking about 5 this in Section I, page 147. Yes, okay. 6 Α. 7 Q. So one of the methods you 8 propose here, given that historical 9 records, not all of them exist anymore, is that class members could identify 10 11 themselves to Google and that, combined 12 with records Google has, could identify 13 them as a class member? 1 4 A. I think I have said something 15 like that. 16 0. And I think what you said was 17 that to do that, they would identify which apps they use and then Google would confirm 18 19 whether that app uses Google Analytics for 20 Firebase or the ads SDK, right? 21 Α. I believe I said something like 22 that. 23 Ο. Even if a user who has sWAA off 24 has in the past used an app that has used 25 GA4F, that does not necessarily imply that Page 324

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 326 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Google saved sWAA-off data about that user 1 2. from GA4F, right? 3 I think if Google had saved the Α. data that plaintiffs asked them to save 4 5 somewhere near to the front of this 6 litigation, they would have the data that 7 they needed to answer that question, 8 Google decided that they didn't want to save that data, and I think they made a 9 motion that they wouldn't have to save it 10 11 and they didn't have to save it. 12 What data are you referring to? Q. 13 I think these logs of all the Α. app activity data. 1 4 15 Which logs? 0. 16 Α. I think I might have discussed 17 it somewhere in the report here, but what I'm thinking of are the 18 Page 325

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 327 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. Okay. So but you are here to 2 offer expert opinions, so I want to be 3 specific. Which logs in particular are you saying could have been used had they not 4 5 been deleted to identify class members 6 during the class period? 7 I don't know off the top of my Α. 8 head. 9 Q. Do you say so in your report? 10 I may say something about that 11 in the report. 12 Well, you may say, you may not Q. 13 say, I need to know if you do. Again, I mean, this kind of 14 Α. 15 question, we have struggled with it all day 16 because I've got, you know, like it looks 17 like 600 pages worth of report and 18 appendices in front of me here and I can't 19 possibly like index all that with my brain and remember where each and every little 20 21 fact is within that. 22 Ο. Well, I'm not asking you to 23 index anything. I mean, there is a table 24 of contents. You have been holding this 25 report all day. I'm just asking you --Page 326

1 MR. MAO: While you are asking 2 him questions, if you want him to take the time to look through that, look for it --4 5 Ο. The time to look through your report was before you sat down today. 6 7 Α. Which I did actually. 8 Ο. Good. So my question is which logs, right, you have this Section I, Class 9 Member Identification, you are welcome to 10 11 look at it right now, it is eight pages 12 long, okay, does it identify the specific 13 logs that you would have used had they not 1 4 been deleted to identify class members? 15 I mean, the report either does 16 or does not identify the logs, and also it 17 may mention some docket action which may 18 have lists, and I think there was also 19 motions or requests in this case for lists of logs and additional logs which Google 20 didn't produce. So, I mean, if Google has 21 22 resisted discovery and refused to provide 23 the relevant data, it doesn't seem cricket 24 that my client should be put at a 25 disadvantage for not having that which Page 327

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 329 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Google has refused to produce.
2	Q. Your client being whom?
3	A. The plaintiffs in this case.
4	Q. So I honestly don't really know
5	what you're talking about. But this
6	section of your report does not discuss
7	motions or discovery. What it talks about
8	is methods for identifying class members
9	using data that Google has or data that the
10	users would have, okay? And I just want to
11	be really clear about what you're saying
12	right now.
13	You are claiming that there is
14	data that was deleted that could be that
15	could have been used to identify class
16	members and now it doesn't exist anymore.
17	A. Why don't we why don't we go
18	to the exact statement here, if I can find
19	it.
20	Q. Sure.
21	MR. MAO: Sorry, when you are
22	saying "sure," we are starting out with
23	page 147, this section?
24	MR. SANTACANA: Page 147. Why
25	don't we go off while you look for it.
	Page 328

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 330 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
2
         6:58 p.m. We are off the record.
3
                (Recess taken.)
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
4
5
         7:00 p.m. We are back on the record.
6
    BY MR. SANTACANA:
7
               Okay. So you are ready to
         Q.
8
    address this?
9
         Α.
             Yeah.
               Go ahead.
10
         0.
11
                So I will just point you to
         Α.
12
    paragraphs 357, 358 and 359. That explains
13
    it.
14
               Okay. I am looking at that
         0.
15
    exact thing. So 357 says "Google could use
16
    the data stored within its voluminous logs
17
    to verify membership in the class. The
    same records provide information about the
18
19
    volume of WAA and sWAA-off data Google has
    collected and saved, to the extent Google
20
21
    did not destroy and delete such data."
22
                But that paragraph does not
23
    identify any log or logs in particular,
24
    right?
25
         A. Keep reading.
                                         Page 329
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 331 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Okay. But that one doesn't.
2	I'm going to keep reading in a moment. Am
3	I right?
4	A. Well, I told you there is three
5	paragraphs together that describe where
6	this data would have been found if it had
7	been retained.
8	Q. Okay. So paragraph 358, as I
9	see it, mentions 16 AdMob related logs,
10	eight of which are GAIA and I guess eight
11	of which are not GAIA logs?
12	A. Yeah, that's the microscopic
13	quantity of logs that Google produced.
14	Q. And then you identify the
15	log that contains a sWAA
16	bit in GAIA data, right?
17	A. Yeah, so there are sWAA and WAA
18	bits in some of these logs. I mean, Google
19	themselves said there was something like a
20	million hits when they searched through the
21	logs to find out how many fields mention
22	WAA or sWAA.
23	Q. And then it says
2 4	which does contain
25	WAA and sWAA bits.
	Page 330

1 Α. Right. And then 359 has the 2 sort of the payload here, "but Google has withheld providing information about the 3 vast majority of logs that contain such a 4 5 bit, "okay? That's the sWAA/WAA bit. 6 Well, Mr. Hochman, my 7 questioning of you today is about what you 8 did do, not what you didn't do. So I just 9 need to know what you did do and what you did opine on. I don't need to know about 10 11 the world of things that you may have never 12 seen that may or may not exist and that may 13 or may not be helpful to you, right? 1 4 So I think what you're saying 15 is at least with respect to the logs you 16 identify in 358, Google did not in your 17 view retain them long enough to identify 18 class membership? 19 So Google had the data, Google had the data, and if Google says they no 20 21 longer have the data, then that's because they didn't retain it, and the Court will 22 23 have to determine what to do about that. 24 That's not my question. I can't give you a 25 legal answer.

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 333 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q.	Well, on that we agree.
2		Let's talk about the
3		log. That's the only analytics
4	log in parag	graph 358, right? The others
5	are ads logs	S .
6	Α.	Let's take a look. Yes.
7	Q.	The retention period on that
8	log is	?
9	Α.	Okay.
10	Q.	You're aware of that?
11	Α.	It doesn't surprise me.
12	Q.	So on the day this case was
13	filed, at mo	ost there was <b>and</b> of data in
14	it going bac	ck , right?
15	Α.	For that log, but there are
16	other logs.	
17	Q.	Okay. But that log couldn't
18	help you fig	gure out who was a class member
19	in 2018 or 2	2019 if the case was filed in
2 0	the spring o	of 2020, right?
21	Α.	But there may be other logs. I
2 2	mean, Google	e has said that they had a
2 3	million hits	s. You can see it there at 359.
2 4	Q.	I'm asking you about this one
25	log that you	ı identified,
		Page 332

```
1
                   I will ask about the other
    ones in a moment.
2
3
                MR. MAO: Objection, asked and
         answered. Go ahead.
4
5
                MR. SANTACANA: Well, I don't
6
         think he answered it.
7
                The question is you could not
         Q.
                        log on the date
8
    use the
    this case was filed to figure out who was a
9
    class member in 2018 or 2019, right?
10
11
                That log, if it only had a
12
     - retention period, that wouldn't have
13
    gone back that far, but there may be other
    logs that do go back further.
14
15
                So the
         Ο.
16
    log, do you know what the retention period
17
    was on that one?
18
                As I said, there is a
         Α.
19
    microscopic number of logs that Google
    produced and I'm really not interested in
20
21
    the retention policy on this very small
22
    number of logs out of the total huge mass
23
    of data which was hidden from us.
24
                Well, you should be interested.
         Q.
25
    I mean, if on the day the case was filed
                                         Page 333
```

1 there was no data older than that you could use to identify a class member, 2 3 that would be pretty relevant to your opinion, wouldn't it? 4 5 I think that the people who have set this can say if they set it and 6 Google can use what data they have to try 8 to verify it. That's one of the things I said. And I also said that if Google had 9 retained data they would be able to use 10 11 that data to ascertain who the class 12 members were. 13 I understand. I'm just saying Q. 1 4 that depends in part on what the retention 15 period was for the logs in question on the 16 day the case was filed. 17 And Google has resisted Α. providing us information about all these 18 million different fields and all the 19 20 relevant logs because some of these things 21 are kept indefinitely as I understand it. 22 You're not answering my 0. 23 question though. I didn't ask you about 24 the other logs. I said -- well, let me 25 just ask it a different way actually. Page 334

1	You just said if Google had
2	retained data they would be able to use it
3	to ascertain who the class members were,
4	right? That's an expert opinion you have
5	given in the case?
6	A. Well, because I have shown that
7	these logs contain information that is
8	identifying and that links to a user, so
9	that's really the thrust of my opinion.
10	Now, if you all want to argue about
11	retentions and discovery and all that, you
12	can. All I'm pointing out is that had
13	these things been retained, these people
14	would be identifiable.
15	Q. Based on your review of the
16	logs that were produced in the case?
17	A. And also documents and
18	testimony which talk about how Google
19	continues to collect and store data when
20	the WAA and sWAA settings are turned off.
21	Now, bear in mind if Google
2 2	honored that setting and didn't store and
23	retain that data, then, one, there would be
2 4	no case, and, two, the class members
25	wouldn't be ascertainable because Google
	Page 335

1 wouldn't have that data. 2 When you say "that data" --0. The data that -- let's call it 3 Α. the contraband data, the data that was 4 5 collected while the switches were shut off. I don't think I will call it 6 7 that. 8 Α. I may. 9 Q. But I'm just going to -- I need to be clear about something. This is an 10 11 opinion that the Court may rely on one day, 12 okay, a federal judge is going to read 13 this, they may rely on it, they may ask you 14 to testify. It says "Google has collected 15 and saved data in ways that identify class 16 members, and you opine in here that that 17 data could have been used to identify class 18 members had it not been destroyed. 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. I just want to be clear, when 21 you say "that data," as an expert, what you 22 are opining on is the data that corresponds 23 to data that was produced to you in the 24 case, that had it been kept indefinitely --25 I think the data that is Α. Page 336

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 338 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	relevant, to the extent that it still
2	exists, or ever existed, would be found in
3	the non-GAIA logs that have WAA and
4	sWAA-off activity.
7	So all those logs, whatever
8	they are, that have WAA and sWAA-off data,
9	preferably the ones that have some of these
10	
11	so they are identified as having
12	been collected with that setting, one of
13	those settings off.
14	Q. Okay, thank you. One
15	clarifying point.
16	
17	
18	MR. MAO: Objection. This is
19	not a memory test. The document speaks
20	for itself. Go ahead.
21	Q. No, I'm just asking what you
22	meant. Are you referring to Analytics
23	non-GAIA logs?
24	A. I would say generally any log
25	that is recording web and app activity of
	Page 337

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 339 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 this nature with WAA-off and sWAA-off data. Additionally, I think there are some 2 If you remember in Section A, Appendix A, I reproduced some data that 5 shows when the users turned WAA and sWAA 6 off. So that information is obviously 8 useful also. 9 Q. I think I understand your 11 opinion now. 12 I just would point out, if you 13 look at 354, there is also some explanation 14 there of -- essentially I'm summing up the 15 prior paragraphs that talk about three 16 categories of information. Right. No, and I understand 17 0. 18 those. 19 Okay. Α. 20 0. I do. Okay. Did you get a 21 chance to check whether you ever performed 22 a join of GAIA/non-GAIA log entries? 23 MR. MAO: As I stated, we would do that when you are done. Sorry, I 24 25 just thought there would be some type Page 338

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 340 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         of a pissy fight over it.
                                      I know you
2
         want to get out of here.
3
                 MR. SANTACANA: No, I
         misunderstood.
4
5
         Ο.
                 You are still using Google
    Analytics on your website?
6
7
         Α.
                 Yes.
                 I can't remember if I asked
8
9
    this, do you know if the data sharing
10
    setting is turned on?
11
                 I don't remember.
                Do you know if Google Signals
12
         Ο.
13
    is turned on?
                 I don't remember. I mean, if
1 4
         Α.
15
    there is some data sharing thing -- my
16
    recollection on that one is that there was
17
    some setting which allowed essentially
18
    Google's staff to go in there and take a
19
    look and use that to counsel me, and I
    don't recall if I have turned that off. I
20
    mean, my nature would be to turn that off.
21
22
    But I haven't looked at it lately.
23
         Q.
                 If your opinion in this report
24
    is accurate, does that mean in your mind
25
    that Google Analytics is actively deviating
                                          Page 339
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 341 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    from the sWAA description in users'
2
    accounts when they turn it off?
3
                MR. MAO: Objection to form.
4
         Go ahead.
5
                So I'm using GAIA on a website,
    I'm not using it on an app.
6
7
                Right. No, I understand.
         Q.
                                             Ι
8
    think you said it's all unified now?
                Yes, I believe that it is
9
         Α.
    moving towards that, but I just haven't --
10
11
    I honestly haven't looked at it lately. I
12
    have been sort of working on these cases
13
    and not looking at my own web marketing.
1 4
    So at some point I will sit back and
15
    reevaluate like do I want to continue using
16
    this product or not. But I can wait for
17
    the Court to render an opinion about
18
    whether Google has done something wrong or
19
    not. I mean, I have my feelings, but I
    will also defer to the Court and let the
20
21
    Court decide.
22
               Okay. But, I mean, your
         Ο.
23
    feelings have not risen to the level of
24
    feeling like you need to discontinue your
25
    use of Google Analytics immediately?
                                          Page 340
```

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 342 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 The thing is I use all of
2
    Google's risky, privacy-invading products.
3
    I mean, I use some Google Home products.
    use all kinds of Google stuff, because I
4
5
    also want to know how it works. I use
6
    Google Analytics because I also want to
7
    keep on top of how it works. So I'm doing
8
    that and also --
9
         Q.
                Even at the cost of your
    website visitors' privacy?
10
11
                 You know, my website visitors
12
    who have privacy concerns have probably
13
    much bigger concerns than what's going on
1 4
    on my website, because my website is
15
    targeted towards law firms, so the main
16
    visitors to my site are lawyers. I have
17
    some people come in to read articles on web
18
    marketing.
                 I don't -- I am not here to
19
    tell the Court what final decision should
20
21
    be made. I've got my technical analysis,
    I've got my beliefs, I'm using Google
22
23
    Analytics because I have been using it
24
    since 2005, and I just haven't spent the
25
    time to figure out what other product I
                                          Page 341
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 343 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	could use that would fill that need,
2	because Google Analytics has kind of driven
3	most of the other products out of the
4	market. There is not really a lot of
5	choices for me. I'm open to your
6	suggestion, if you think there is some
7	other analytics package I can use, but I'm
8	not sure where else I could go.
9	Q. Well, I'm just trying to
10	understand how to square your opinion about
11	the privacy risks that users are facing as
12	a consequence of the way Google treats
13	sWAA-off data on the one hand and your
14	decision to continue to expose people to
15	those risks on the other. I mean, you have
16	said one single piece of data could ruin
17	somebody's life.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. You don't disagree with that
2 0	now?
21	A. No.
22	Q. So you're okay taking that
23	risk?
2 4	A. People who have I have
25	documented here that people are spending
	Page 342

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 344 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 like four hours a day in apps and they are 2 using lots and lots of apps --3 But it could be your site that 0. gets hacked? 4 5 Well, I don't have an app. 6 Ο. I understand. But it still 7 could be your site that gets hacked? 8 But my site doesn't have any 9 sensitive information from my visitors. I don't record any sensitive information on 10 11 my site. 12 Q. You have tracking pixels on 13 your site, right? 14 And the tracking is done by Α. 15 Google Analytics. Google is holding that 16 data. 17 0. Okay. 18 And I don't think that that 19 materially increases anyone's risk, because 20 the amount of -- percent of browsing that 21 someone does when they come on the web on 22 my site is minuscule as a part of their 23 total browsing, their total risk profile, 24 okay? Because Google Analytics beacons are 25 located everywhere. They are all over the Page 343

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 345 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    place. Me taking that beacon off my
    website does not materially reduce any
2
3
    person's risk.
4
               Okay.
         Q.
5
                Because there is so many
6
    beacons everywhere else.
7
                 MR. SANTACANA: All right.
8
         Let's take a quick break.
9
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
10
         7:16 p.m. We are off the record.
11
                 (Recess taken.)
12
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
13
         8:03 p.m. We are back on the record.
1 4
                 MR. SANTACANA: So I'm passing
15
         the witness.
    EXAMINATION BY MR. MAO:
16
17
                So, Mr. Hochman, I just want to
         Ο.
    make sure, you were given a number of
18
19
    questions during this deposition regarding
20
    whether or not you could find things like
21
    your joinability analysis, whether or not
22
    IP addresses were being collected, you
23
    know, what the retention periods were for
24
    permanent logs versus nonpermanent logs.
25
    You agree a lot of that is either written
                                          Page 344
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 346 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	in your report or written in the appendix
2	to the report, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. You understand that the Exhibit
5	No. 1 that was provided to you is actually
6	an incomplete copy of your report, I'm not
7	pointing fingers, I'm just saying that it
8	was missing some parts of the appendices;
9	is that correct?
10	A. Yes, some of the appendices
11	weren't here because they were Excel files.
12	Q. Which appendices were not in
13	here?
14	A. One of them was H2.
15	Q. Any others that you saw?
16	A. Well, probably H1 wasn't in
17	there either.
18	Q. So there is a number of
19	appendices missing in there, correct?
20	A. Yes. And let's see. Yeah, the
21	Hls are not there, all of the H appendices
22	are not there.
23	MR. SANTACANA: And you want me
2 4	to just read out which ones are there?
25	When I first introduced it I explained
	Page 345

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 347 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	the native files wouldn't be in there.
2	So Exhibit A, Appendix E, Appendix G,
3	Appendix I, Appendix K, and Appendix L
4	are in this paper volume that I handed
5	you at the start of the day.
6	MR. MAO: I think the problem
7	is just we are going to have to make
8	that a part of the record because
9	what's in the record is just the core
10	report itself.
11	Q. In other words, you only had in
12	front of you during the examination
13	selected parts that counsel was going to
14	use, but some of the other stuff that you
15	needed in order to fully refresh your
16	recollection wasn't available to you; is
17	that correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And then you believe
2 0	that once you have those available, you are
21	going to be able to answer some of the
22	previous questions; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. Okay. Second area of
2 5	questioning that I wanted to ask you, and
	Page 346

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 348 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 you can put I quess Exhibit 1 plus 2 appendices away for now. 3 Α. Okay. Is you were asked a number of 4 Q. 5 questions today regarding joinability and 6 also, you know, reidentification of users? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. Are those two concepts the same 9 thing? 10 No. They can operate in 11 different ways. 12 Okay. So in terms of what you Ο. 13 understood what was being discussed back 1 4 and forth between you and counsel, how 15 would you define these respective terms in 16 your own words? 17 Α. Joinability is if you Sure. 18 have two sets of data is there an 19 overlapping identifier or fingerprintable fields that can be matched up in order to 20 21 link two tables together. It literally 22 joined two tables of data together. So you 23 have two tables and there is an identifier 24 in each table and now those tables can be 25 joined together using that identifier. Page 347

```
1
         Q.
                 Okay. What about
2
    reidentification, is that different, the
    same? How would you define that?
3
         Α.
                Reidentification is different.
4
5
    If you have a record where some sort of
6
    identifying information has been stripped
    away, is there remaining data that can be
8
    used to reestablish an identification of
    that record. So that could be even just a
9
    single table that's taken. If some
10
11
    additional piece of information is supplied
12
    the record may be reidentified.
13
                 So, for example, if there is a
    table of app activity and it has some app
1 4
15
    instance IDs in it, if there is a suspect,
16
    and the suspect's phone is seized, the app
17
    ID can be taken off the phone and that can
    be used to reidentify that record and say
18
19
    aha, this record belongs to this suspect,
20
    because it has a matching instance ID in
21
    it.
22
                You heard counsel previously
         O .
23
    talk about how Google
                         Assuming that is true,
                                          Page 348
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 350 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	does that mean that Google is not able to
2	reidentify the user?
3	A. No, because Google, having
4	separated them, can also put them back
5	together. Even though they may have a
6	policy not to do that, they still have the
7	technical capability to do that, and they
8	might in fact do it under some sort of
9	external pressure or legal process.
10	Q. In your report you refer to a
11	number of things you called Google IDs; is
12	that correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Is Google able to reidentify
15	users who have been given a Google ID?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And that was part of your point
18	with regard to pseudonymous versus a name
19	and how pseudonymous does not necessarily
2 0	mean that it is less identifying than a
21	name, correct?
22	A. Yes, pseudonymous does not
2 3	mean
2 4	MR. SANTACANA: Sorry,
25	objection, vague.
	Page 349
	i age 34)

1 Pseudonymous does not mean 2 anonymous. 3 You were previously asked a 0. 4 number of questions regarding conversions, 5 and I heard in there conversions and ad promo and also Google Ads. Am I correct in 6 understanding that in your report there was 8 more than one type of ad that was being 9 discussed with regard to conversions? 10 MR. SANTACANA: Vaque. 11 Yes, so in mobile conversions, 12 there can be mobile app ads, app promotion 13 ads. We talked about two different kinds, 1 4 the app install ad and the app engagement 15 ad, and those can have conversions that are 16 tracked. 17 But there is something that is even let's say more common and bigger, 18 19 there are display ads that appear inside of 20 apps and those can also lead to 21 conversions, okay? So that they track --22 so, for example, someone is in an app, they 23 see a display ad, they click it, and some 24 data is recorded, the app interaction data 25 is recorded, and then later on that person Page 350

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 352 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 goes to the website of the advertiser and makes a purchase, and that conversion is 2 recorded, okay? So there can be 3 conversions going out of the app world and 4 5 there can be conversions coming in to the app world. 6 7 0. Right. And then for display 8 ads, whether or not Google can attribute a conversion event within the conversion 9 window absolutely makes a difference to its 10 11 bottom line; isn't that correct? 12 Yes. I have testified about 13 that, that if the conversions are not trackable, then the advertiser won't want 14 15 to pay for the ad. 16 When you say pay for the ad, 17 how are the different ways in which an ad can be paid? 18 19 The ad --Α. 20 Ο. And I'm talking about display 21 ads, not, you know, like a search ad or 22 something else that may be a nondisplay ad 23 within the network. 24 Well, so it gets complicated 25 because display ads can be paid for. In Page 351

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 353 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	the past there have been methods of bidding
2	on them by paying for the click or paying
3	for a thousand impressions or paying for a
4	conversion, and there is also automated bid
5	strategies which I think I have documented
6	in one of these appendices that the
7	automated bidding is a heavily-used
8	feature, which is only available in fact if
9	you use the Google Analytics for conversion
10	tracking. So there are a variety of ways
11	to pay.
12	But for the advertiser,
13	commonly conversions are the key
14	performance indicator of the advertising
15	campaign, is it converting. And if
16	conversions are not tracked, then the
17	advertiser is not going to want to pay for
18	the ads.
19	Q. So in your experience, have you
20	seen within Google's display ad system a
21	Google customer allowing a third-party
22	attribution to be the ultimate verifier as
2 3	to whether or not that advertiser would pay
2 4	Google or not?
25	A. I haven't seen that. For the
	Page 352

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 354 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    automated bid strategies, you have to use
2
    Google Analytics.
3
                 Probably my last area before I
         O .
    turn it back to Mr. Santacana, and then I
4
5
    will reserve my right to do a redirect,
6
    with regard to the class members in this
    case, whether they have WAA off or not,
8
    Google treats them all the same with regard
    to whether or not that data is collected;
9
    is that correct?
10
11
                 MR. SANTACANA: Vague.
12
                 Yes. When a user has WAA
         Α.
13
    and/or sWAA off, they are all treated the
14
    same way. Google collects the data
15
    regardless for all the people.
16
         Q.
                Actually, I have one more
17
    question. You reviewed Mr. Black's report;
18
    isn't that correct?
19
         Α.
                Yes.
20
         0.
                 Did you see Mr. Black do any
21
    type of sampling across Google's data at
22
    all?
23
         Α.
                 No.
24
                 MR. MAO: Back to you,
25
         Mr. Santacana. I reserve my right to
                                          Page 353
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 355 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	do a further redirect.
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. SANTACANA:
3	Q. Mr. Hochman
4	MR. MAO: By the way, if you
5	are looking at appendices that is not
6	part of what you give him
7	MR. SANTACANA: I'm not.
8	MR. MAO: I ask that you put
9	that in the record. Thank you.
10	Q. I have a question about one of
11	the last questions you were just asked.
12	The question was "Have you seen within
13	Google's display ad system a Google
14	customer allowing a third-party attribution
15	to be the ultimate verifier as to whether
16	or not that advertiser would pay Google or
17	not?"
18	I have to confess, I don't
19	understand that question. Your answer was
2 0	"I haven't seen that. For the automated
21	bid strategies, you have to use Google
2 2	Analytics."
2 3	A. Right. In other words, if you
2 4	are paying for conversions or acquisitions
25	app, you know, if you have if you are
	Page 354

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 356 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	trying to pay for an acquisition, CPA, you
2	have to use Google Analytics to track that
3	conversion. I'm not aware of Google
4	accepting third-party conversion data for
5	that purpose. And also, likewise, for the
6	automated bidding, you have to use Google
7	Analytics to take advantage of automated
8	bidding.
9	Q. I see. So what you are saying
10	is that there are certain types of methods
11	of bidding or measuring performance of ad
12	campaigns that Google only permits
13	advertisers to do when they are using
14	Google Analytics?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And there are other types where
17	they can use Google Analytics or something
18	else?
19	A. Yes.
2 0	Q. Okay. I understand now. All
21	right.
22	Mr. Mao asked you about
23	appendices that are not in this paper
2 4	volume I handed you at the start of the
25	day, right?
	5 255
	Page 355

i	
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And I think he asked you
3	something like once you have those other
4	appendices, you are going to be able to
5	answer some of the previous questions you
6	weren't able to answer, and you said yes.
7	Do you recall that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. I just want to be clear,
10	because I don't want to have to spend
11	another seven hours with you, you are not
12	saying that any question you weren't able
13	to answer today you could somehow magically
14	answer if I give you all of the Excel
15	files, right?
16	MR. MAO: Objection,
17	argumentative. Go ahead, answer.
18	A. There were certain questions,
19	there were select questions where if I were
20	to have those in front of me I would be
21	able to answer them.
22	Q. Which ones?
2 3	MR. MAO: Can we just say the
2 4	ones that he said he wanted to look at
2 5	the appendices?
	Page 356
	rage 330

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 358 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. So there was a question about 2 have you ever performed a join. Yes, and that is in Appendix 3 Α. H2, and while we were off the record I 4 5 showed you that file and showed you how that worked and I think you accepted my 6 7 explanation. 8 And there was a question about 9 IP addresses. 10 Yes, and I think I pointed Α. 11 to --12 Q. Just yes or no, please. 13 Α. I'm sorry. 14 That was -- I'm just trying to 0. get the list. That's another question you 15 16 could have answered if you had had the 17 Excel spreadsheets? 18 I think so. Α. 19 Okay. And then --0. 20 Α. I haven't seen them yet so I'm 21 not 100 percent sure, but I did mention the IP address question, I did have a reference 22 23 to it in the report, so I think if you look 24 around there, it may actually have the 25 actual appendix that we need. Page 357

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 359 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Okay. And we will get to that.
2	You're not representing that, apart from
3	those two questions you had promised to
4	look into, and we'll look at the Excel
5	sheets, there aren't any other questions
6	today that you said I don't know or I can't
7	answer that where you're now representing
8	if you had the Excel sheets you could now
9	answer them?
10	A. I'm not sure I should be closed
11	off there, because there might be something
12	else we're just not thinking of right now.
13	But essentially if later on you were to
14	come in some motion and assert Mr. Hochman
15	didn't know the answer to this question and
16	then we answer and say well, the answer is
17	right here in this document that wasn't
18	shown to him during the deposition, you
19	should accept that.
2 0	Q. See, there is a problem with
21	that, which is at any point today you could
2 2	have said the answer is in this part of my
2 3	report and if I checked it I could give you
2 4	the answer. So now I have to comb the
2 5	record to find every time you said I don't
	Page 358

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 360 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 know and determine whether or not that was 2 because you didn't have Excel sheets in 3 front of you or if that was because you don't know and you are closing yourself off 4 5 as to your knowledge, which I think you did 6 legitimately as to a number of things. 7 So I just -- I'm kind of stuck 8 in a difficult position here where you are 9 ending this seven-hour deposition by saying well, if you had just given me some stuff 10 11 that I authored that you didn't give me 12 then I could answer all your questions. 13 So I have got a list of two 14 questions. Can you think of any other 15 questions that you weren't able to answer 16 today but if I were to hand you the other 17 appendices of your report which are Excel 18 spreadsheets that you would now be able to 19 answer them? 20 It is difficult for me to 21 answer that question without having those sheets in front of me to remind me what's 22 23 in them, because I'm not looking at them 24 and I don't recall off the top of my head 25 what's in, is it B and C and --Page 359

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 361 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Okay. So you want me to just
2	mark all of them and then you're going to
3	look at them to see if there is any
4	other because, look, you and your
5	lawyer, right, you talked about what you
6	were going to do for this redirect. This
7	doesn't need to be overly complicated. I
8	just need to understand if you came in here
9	to talk about these two questions in
10	Appendix H2 or if you are reopening the
11	entire deposition.
12	MR. MAO: And just to be clear,
13	this, like what's in the appendices, is
14	not something that we knew until he
15	started looking through everything and
16	trying to take an inventory.
17	MR. SANTACANA: I'm not saying
18	you are trying to trick me. I'm saying
19	it is his expert report, not mine.
20	MR. MAO: Well, yeah, come on.
21	It is part of the preliminary
22	instructions that this is not a memory
2 3	test. So let's try to find a way to
24	fix it. How do you propose that we fix
25	it?
	Page 360
	rage 300

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 362 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. I think you can look at the
2	record and there are times where I said I
3	don't know or I don't have a way to do
4	that. There are some that are pretty clear
5	that it is just I don't know.
6	Q. I agree.
7	A. There are some that I think it
8	is in there somewhere, it may be in the
9	report, and I can't find it. So if I said
10	it may be in the report and I can't find it
11	that is indicating that it might be in one
12	of these pieces that hasn't been introduced
13	yet, and I think I was pretty clear when I
14	answered to distinguish between I don't
15	know versus I think it may be somewhere in
16	there, and if it's in the report, it is,
17	and I don't know exactly where it is. So I
18	gave two different kinds of answers, so I
19	think you can look at that and it should be
20	pretty clear.
21	Q. Okay. I will I will think
22	on that. Thank you for that answer. I
23	appreciate it.
2 4	MR. MAO: I'm totally fine with
25	taking a break so that if you want to
	Page 361

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 363 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
get that in order.
1
                MR. SANTACANA: Yeah, let's go
2
3
         off for a second.
4
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
5
         8:20 p.m. We are off the record.
6
                 (Recess taken.)
7
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
         8:23 p.m. We are back on the record.
8
9
    BY MR. SANTACANA:
10
             Mr. Hochman, I have uploaded
11
    all of these Excel files that were part of
12
    your report, I think. I have done my best
13
    anyway. None of them are currently marked.
1 4
    I just threw them all in that marked
15
    exhibits folder. We will deal with that
16
    later. But you can see their titles. Some
17
    of them are quite voluminous. What I want
    you to do is just take a look at their
18
19
    titles for a moment to refamiliarize
20
    yourself with what each one is.
21
         Α.
                Yes.
22
                Let me know when you're done.
         O .
23
         Α.
                Okay.
24
         Q.
                Okay. Sitting here right now,
25
    can you recall any questions from the day
                                          Page 362
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 364 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	that you were unable to answer that you
2	would now be able to answer given your
3	access to these Excel files other than the
4	two questions you already listed for me?
5	A. Nothing immediately comes to
6	mind.
7	Q. Okay. So we will get to H2 in
8	a minute. You had a colloquy with your
9	attorney about reidentification as
10	distinguished from joinability.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. I understand the difference, I
13	think, of what you defined to be
14	reidentification is what you defined to be
15	joinability.
16	My question is, that definition
17	of reidentification doesn't appear, I don't
18	think, in your report, or really any
19	mention of it in your own words, though you
20	do quote one witness as using the word. So
21	I'm trying to just process like in your
22	mind what relevance does that distinction
2 3	have to your expert opinions in the case.
2 4	A. Well, throughout the day today
25	I haven't been using that word because it
	Page 363

1 is a little bit jargony. Well, and because you didn't 2 0. 3 use it in your report. Well, but I talked about -- I 4 Α. talked about it. I talked about the idea 5 that the data that includes identifiers 6 someone can extract an identifier from a 7 8 phone and they can now match it to the 9 data. So joinability is like taking two pieces of data and putting them together, 10 11 whereas reidentification is you have some external source of knowledge and you 12 13 combine it with a piece of data and now you 1 4 have reidentified the data. 15 Got it. Are you opining in the Ο. 16 case that Google has engaged in 17 reidentification of sWAA data? 18 No, I'm not saying that Google Α. is doing this. I'm assuming that Google 19 has the best intentions here, but that the 20 21 problem is from threat actors or external 22 pressure applied to Google. Some foreign 23 government goes and puts pressure on Google 24 to release some data or something else 25 happens that causes that data to go out of Page 364

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 366 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    Google's control and somebody else is
2
    exploiting it, and of course, I mean, there
    is always insider risk, and maybe Google
3
4
    changed its policy, maybe Google is nice
5
    today but they become evil in the future.
6
                 Okay. So on that subject, you
7
    said something about Google having
8
    separated them, "them" being I guess GAIA
9
    logs and non-GAIA logs, they can put them
    back together. Do you recall that?
10
11
         Α.
                 Yes.
12
                 And I think you said, for
         Q.
    example, under some type of legal pressure.
13
    Do you recall that?
14
15
                 That's a possibility, sort of a
16
    risk as a security technologist that one
17
    would analyze and recognize.
18
                 Just to be clear, you do not in
         Q.
19
    this case render the opinion that Google
    has for any particular member of the class
20
21
    or named plaintiff put them back together
22
    under legal pressure or for any other
23
    reason?
24
         Α.
                No, I haven't given that
25
    opinion.
                                          Page 365
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 367 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Q. Okay. Then you guys talked 2 about mobile app ads and display ads. I just want to clarify something. So mobile 3 app ads is a buy-side concept, right, it is 4 5 a type of ad campaign that an ad buyer 6 would run? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And then when you were talking Ο. 9 about display ads, was that whole back and forth about from the sell side perspective, 10 11 if you are selling space in your app? MR. MAO: Objection to the form 12 13 of the question. Go ahead. 1 4 Yeah. So I think that's a very Α. 15 nice way of putting it, that as an app 16 publisher, you could be a buyer of 17 advertising and want to track conversions 18 for your campaigns to drive people into 19 your app and use it, but you also could be 20 hosting ads within your app and that 21 conversion needs to be tracked for those 22 ads so that the advertiser is willing to 23 continue paying for that space. 24 Q. Right. And I think if you 25 could look at paragraph 281 of your main Page 366

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 368 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    report, you outline two attribution
2
    scenarios. Does that ring a bell?
3
                 Yes, so that I think roughly
         Α.
    corresponds to these two scenarios.
4
                 Okay. So I think, then, what
5
         Ο.
6
    you're saying is from the sell-side display
7
    ad perspective, like AdMob as an example of
8
    display network on the sell side, from that
9
    perspective, the measurement that is
10
    occurring is the measurement of the ad
11
    render or click or impression, right, even
12
    if -- even if that ad buyer uses something
13
    other than analytics to measure
14
    conversions?
15
                 MR. MAO: Objection, incomplete
16
         hypothetical, misstates his testimony,
17
         incomplete. But go ahead.
18
                 If I'm confused, please
         Q.
19
    straighten me out.
20
         Α.
                 You might be. I'm not sure I
21
    fully understand it.
22
                Okay.
         O .
23
         Α.
                 So maybe you want to reask
24
    that.
25
                Well, scenario 1, "When an ad
         Q.
                                          Page 367
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 369 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

is served through the GMA SDK in a 1 2 third-party publisher app, Google's ability to connect this ad with a later conversion 3 event relies on Google's collection of app 4 5 ads data (ad impressions, clicks and similar data) via the GMA SDK and the 6 7 saving of this data in its logs for later 8 attribution." 9 Do you see that? 10 Sorry, I just stumbled across 11 the answer to another unanswered question, so I was distracted. I'm very sorry. 12 13 That's all right. Enlighten Q. 1 4 me. 15 Α. Page 368

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 370 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	these are some of the ones I was thinking
2	of.
3	Q. Those are all GAIA logs, right?
4	MR. MAO:
8	Q. Right. The ones that are
9	listed as
10	MR. MAO:
15	MR. SANTACANA: Oh,
16	
17	MR. MAO:
	•
19	MR. SANTACANA: Got it, okay.
20	Let's stick with that for a second. We
21	will come back to 281.
22	Q.
	Page 369

1 ? I haven't found it yet, but 3 yeah, I take your word for it. Yeah, I see 4 5 them, sorry, at the bottom -- at the top of 6 the next page. 7 Okay. So in terms of Q. 8 identifying class members with respect to the GMA SDK, collecting and saving sWAA-off 9 10 data, your proposal would be to Page 370

1	
2	
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Just a heads
10	up, Counsel, ten minutes remaining.
11	MR. SANTACANA: Thank you.
12	Q. So let's look at 281.
13	A. Okay. Sorry about my
14	distraction there.
15	Q. That's all right. Paragraph
16	281, we were talking about ad impressions,
17	clicks and similar data in scenario 1.
18	A. Yes.
19	MR. MAO: I thought that was
20	scenario 2. That's okay, whatever you
21	want to ask.
22	Q. And then scenario 2 appears to
23	be focused on analytics data and the
2 4	recording of a conversion event as opposed
25	to an ad interaction; is that fair to say?
	Page 371

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 373 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
         Α.
                 Hold on.
                           Let me just read it,
2
    because it has been a long day.
3
                 (Witness perusing document.)
                 So this is -- scenario 2 is a
4
         Α.
5
    conversion coming in to app world and
6
    scenario 1 is an ad served in the Google
7
    Mobile Ad SDK, or via the Google Mobile Ad
8
    SDK, which then converts somewhere else,
9
    like on a publisher's web or app. So
    someone sees an ad, for example, for Amazon
10
11
    and they go to the Amazon website or the
12
    Amazon app and make a purchase.
13
         Q.
23
         Q.
                 Sure.
24
                 (Witness perusing document.)
25
                 It is a little bit hard to see
         Α.
                                          Page 372
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 374 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    on this tiny screen. Let me see if I can
    open -- I might need to download this and
2
3
    open it in Excel. Let me do that. Because
    in Exhibit Share it's not clear enough.
4
5
    Okay, I'm getting nagged by Microsoft.
6
    Yes, this is it.
7
         Q.
                There is something like, I
    don't know, a dozen joins in here I think,
8
9
    a dozen pairs that you lined up of data.
    Do you see what I'm talking about?
10
11
                I mean, I'm not sure that the
12
    count is exactly right, because, okay, so
13
    there's three lines per pair and it starts
1 4
    at line 2 and it goes down through line 69,
15
    so maybe there's more than that.
16
         Q.
                Okay.
17
                MR. MAO: Sorry, time check
18
         real fast?
19
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Total time,
         five minutes and nine seconds
20
21
         remaining.
22
         O. So you received data from
23
    Google that was quite voluminous relating
    to your test devices, I think that's what
24
25
    you call it in your report. Was this all
                                          Page 373
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 375 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	of the joins you were able to perform or
2	did you stop trying at some point once you
3	hit a certain threshold?
4	A. I mean, these are the these
5	are the joins that we did here and I'm just
6	taking a quick look at the data to refresh
7	my memory. I mean, I think these are the
8	ones that we were able to do.
9	Q. I noticed that in column F you
10	have under WAA/sWAA, it is listed as on for
11	all of these joins. Do you see what I'm
12	talking about?
13	A. I see that.
14	Q. Were you able to perform any
15	joins of GAIA and non-GAIA data for
16	sWAA-off data?
17	A. I think I would have to go back
18	and review everything again to clarify
19	that. I'm sorry, I just I need to dig
20	through this again to refresh my memory.
21	Q. Okay. But this does not
22	document any sWAA-off joins that you were
23	able to perform, right?
2 4	MR. MAO: Objection, misstates
25	the document. Go ahead.
	Page 374

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 376 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 Α. Just let me have another minute 2 with this, okay? 3 Well, let me ask you this a 0. different way for a second. If you're 4 5 trying to perform a join at the event level as you've done here, matching up two events 6 in two different logs to each other, right, 8 one in a GAIA log, one in a non-GAIA log, 9 isn't it by definition only possible if the 10 event was a sWAA-on event, because if it 11 was a sWAA-off event it wouldn't be in a 12 GAIA log? 13 Yes, of course. If sWAA is off Α. it's not going to be in a GAIA log. 14 Now, if someone has WAA and sWAA on and they do 18 19 some activity and this is logged in both 20 places, now it can be joined. 21 Q. Right. 22 Now, if they turn WAA and sWAA Α. 23 off --24 Then subsequently they could be Q. reidentified? 25 Page 375

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 377 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A. Subsequently they could be
2	reidentified because there will be an
3	overlap between the different entries.
4	Q. So your project here was to
5	show that you could create a mapping
6	between GAIA and device ID?
7	A. Yes, and that later could be
8	used to reidentify other stuff after sWAA
9	had been turned off.
10	Q. Now this is from the
11	, right? Look at the title.
12	A. Yeah, hold on a second. Yes.
13	Q. Now, you're aware that Google
14	altered the
15	specifically for this litigation in order
16	to provide you with these pseudonymous
17	entries, device IDs otherwise encrypted in
18	that log, right?
19	A. Yes. Of course Google,
20	whatever they encrypt, they can also
21	decrypt.
22	Q. Well, actually, I don't know
23	that that's exactly correct. Google
2 4	altered the way this data was logged ex
25	ante and then you started your experiment
	Page 376

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 378 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	process, and only during that time, because
2	there was a special field that referred to
3	the Rodriguez litigation in the log, was
4	Google even able to give you those entries.
5	A. Yes, so this is getting deeper
6	and probably I need to go back and read
7	everything around this to make sure I
8	have to make sure I have it clear. I
9	don't know that in the next three minutes
10	I'm going to get clarity for you.
11	MR. MAO: Do you want to save a
12	minute or two? Because I'm going to
13	have questions.
14	MR. SANTACANA: What's that?
15	MR. MAO: Do you want to save a
16	minute or two? Because I'm going to
17	have questions on these two areas you
18	are asking about. I'm just letting you
19	know. Your choice.
20	MR. SANTACANA: I don't
21	understand what you're saying. You are
22	saying you want me to ask more
23	questions after you ask questions?
2 4	MR. MAO: It's up to you. I
25	have got two questions on your
	Page 377

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 379 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	questions.
2	MR. SANTACANA: Sure, go for
3	it.
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. MAO:
5	Q. All right, sorry, so back on
6	the record, this is Mr. Mao.
7	On this issue of
8	reidentification, I just want to make sure
9	I understand. You agree there is a
10	difference between trying to map two
11	tables, i.e. join, versus reidentifying a
12	user, right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. When a user has a persistent
15	identifier, regardless of whether we call
16	it a pseudonymous identifier or not, that
17	user can be readily reidentified, right?
18	MR. SANTACANA: Vague,
19	incomplete hypothetical.
20	A. It is often the case that the
21	user can be reidentified. It is not
22	necessary to do a join to reidentify them.
23	Q. Okay. And that is a point in
2 4	which you made in the report and that you
25	believe you made clear today in this
	Page 378

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 380 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
deposition; is that correct?
1
2
         Α.
                 Yes.
                 Second thing, Mr. Santacana
3
         0.
    asked you a number of questions regarding
4
5
    the buy/sell side of app promo and display
6
    ads, assuming that they are -- let's just
7
    assume that they are different, okay? You
    agree in both of those transactions there
8
9
    is both a buy and a sell side on both
    sides?
10
11
         Α.
                 Yes.
12
                 Okay. So when we're talking
         Q.
13
    about display ad side, yes, the app
1 4
    developer is tracking attribution for ads
15
    that are sold but there is also an
16
    advertiser that's tracking -- or being
17
    given tracking, right, for the app, sorry,
18
    for the ad that was bought; isn't that
19
    correct?
20
         Α.
                Yes.
21
                 Okay. And Google is tracking
         Q.
    both of those transactions when we are
22
23
    talking about things like AdMob, for
24
    example; is that correct?
         Α.
25
                 Yes.
                                          Page 379
```

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 381 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. So when we were asking
2	questions regarding the ability to do
3	attributions and we were asking about
4	whether or not Google would allow a
5	third-party competitor to come in and do
6	the attribution, you understood that that
7	applied equally to the buy and sell side
8	for display ads; is that correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. So here is the reason
11	why I'm asking this, okay? For the
12	purposes of these conversion measurements,
13	whether it be on the buy side or the sell
14	side, okay, what is your typical conversion
15	window for a display ad as to when, for
16	example, you can have a conversion by
17	action, a cost per action, CPA?
18	A. I mean, I think it may be
19	redefinable, but the typical window is
2 0	something like seven to 30 days. But I
21	think you maybe could change that too.
22	Q. Okay. So within that seven to
23	30 days, is there any doubt as to whether
2 4	or not Google reidentifies that user if
25	there is a conversion event?
	Page 380

1	MR. SANTACANA: Objection,
2	vague, misstates prior testimony.
3	Q. Okay. Well, I'm just going on
4	definitions right now, but go ahead.
5	A. Look, so if a user comes in and
6	clicks on an ad and then 15 days later they
7	convert, Google has to reidentify that user
8	in order to say oh, it's the same person
9	who clicked on this ad is the person who
10	has made the conversion.
11	Q. Okay. Last question and then I
12	will hand it back to Mr. Santacana for
13	whatever the remaining balance of his time,
14	give him a chance.
15	You were asked a lot of
16	questions today about ad personalization
17	and then we also talked about attribution,
18	okay? Is ad personalization for display
19	ads the same thing as ad attribution for
20	display ads?
21	A. No.
2 2	Q. How are they different?
23	A. Personalization is selecting an
2 4	ad for someone based on their, according to
25	Google's definition, based on some data
	Page 381

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 383 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 that is saved in their GAIA logs, in the Google account, what Google calls the 2 3 Google account. 4 Ad attribution is tracking 5 conversions, and conversion can be 6 allocated to a single ad, but sometimes conversions are allocated to a different 8 conversion attribution model to multiple 9 ads, because the person might click on three or four ads and then convert and some 10 11 credit is given to each one of those ads. 12 Okay. Just so that we Ο. 13 understand the significance of your word "credit," and then I'm handing it off, 14 15 okay, handing you off, the difference in 16 credit to Google, their bottom line, right, 17 between an impression that's unattributed, i.e. CPM, okay, just an impression that is 18 19 served, versus an attribution in which an action is given, it could be millions of 20 folds in terms of the profit, right? 21 22 is like a sliver of a cent versus dollars, 23 right? So the proportion between the two 24 in terms of attribution is millions of 25 times more; is that correct?

Page 382

1	MR. SANTACANA: Calls for
2	speculation, incomplete hypothetical.
3	A. I have experience buying ads
4	and I will tell you that financial
5	institutions will pay \$300 CPA for a
6	qualified mortgage application, 350 maybe,
7	whereas CPMs, you know, people are paying
8	fractions of a penny to have an ad display.
9	So the ad that is displayed and nothing
10	happens is fractions of a penny. The ad
11	that is displayed, someone clicks and fills
12	out a mortgage application is worth \$350.
13	Q. And that is why ad attribution
14	is important for Google for the purposes of
15	conversions; isn't that correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. MAO: Back to you.
18	EXAMINATION BY MR. SANTACANA:
19	Q. You disclaimed issuing any
20	opinion on what is important to Google.
21	Are you opining now on what is important to
2 2	Google?
2 3	A. I think that Google has said
2 4	that conversion tracking is very important.
25	That's part of the training that they have
	Page 383

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 385 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 given us over the years which I have taken 2 many times. Google says conversion 3 tracking is very important. It is one of the unique defining features of the Google 4 5 ads program. 6 But you are not opining as to O . 7 Google's intent? 8 I'm not opining, I'm only Α. 9 opining about what they have said, what they have told and taught, which is that 10 11 attribution and conversion tracking is very important. It is a defining feature of 12 13 this kind of advertising. 1 4 And you have done nothing in 0. 15 this case to study the difference between 16 Google Analytics for Firebase and other analytics SDKs, right? 17 18 MR. MAO: Objection, misstates 19 the documents. Go ahead. 20 Α. My report doesn't address other 21 analytics packages. Have you, just while we're on 22 0. 23 it, have you done anything to address other 24 ads packages, like from Meta? 25 My report does not talk about Α. Page 384

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 386 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Meta or other ads platforms.						
2	Q. Looking back at Appendix H2 for						
3	a second						
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're at						
5	seven hours.						
6	MR. MAO: Why don't you finish						
7	your question.						
8	MR. SANTACANA: Oh, you are not						
9	going to give me your time?						
10	MR. MAO: What do you mean?						
11	MR. SANTACANA: The time you						
12	used?						
13	MR. MAO: No, no, did you count						
14	my time?						
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No, it is						
16	separate.						
17	MR. SANTACANA: Oh, it is						
18	separate.						
19	MR. MAO: Don't question me on						
2 0	goodwill. I could stop it here. I						
21	want you to finish your question.						
2 2	MR. SANTACANA: Well, I didn't						
23	know he had separated your time.						
2 4	MR. MAO: Go ahead, finish your						
25	question.						
	Page 385						

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 387 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q. Were you able to perform any
2	joins like the ones in the tab we were
3	talking about in H2 using the named
4	plaintiffs' data, not test device data?
5	A. I have to go back to the record
6	and look and see, because, again, the
7	report speaks for itself.
8	MR. MAO: Your time is up.
9	Your time is up.
10	MR. SANTACANA: Well, he is
11	asking me for clarification.
12	Q. It says
13	MR. MAO: Let me just get my
14	objection then. The report speaks for
15	itself. But go ahead and ask your
16	question.
17	Q. In H2 it says Android 1 and
18	Android 2 are the JH phones that you found
19	matches on GAIA and pseudonymous. Neither
20	of those were nomenclature you used for a
21	named plaintiffs' phone, right?
22	A. Correct.
23	MR. SANTACANA: Okay, that's
2 4	all I have.
25	MR. MAO: Thank you.
	Page 386

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 388 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by.
2	The time is
3	MR. SANTACANA: I will just do
4	it on the record. I will designate
5	this attorneys' eyes only. Did you
6	want to cross-designate as to I think
7	very briefly we talked about one of the
8	named plaintiffs' e-mail addresss?
9	MR. MAO: Yeah, but you
10	already yeah, I mean, I'll agree to
11	that.
12	Sorry, just for the record, do
13	we have now all the appendices in
14	there? Can we just call that Exhibit
15	4?
16	MR. SANTACANA: 5 I think.
17	MR. MAO: 5, let's call it
18	Exhibit 5. Jointly?
19	MR. SANTACANA: All of them
20	are Exhibit 5.
21	MR. MAO: I'm fine with like
22	5A, B, C, D, that way you have them
23	all. Is that all right?
24	MR. SANTACANA: Sounds good.
25	MR. MAO: Thank you.
	D 205
	Page 387

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 389 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	(Hochman Exhibits 5A through 5J						
2	marked for identification.)						
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by.						
4	The time is 8:53 p.m. and this						
5	concludes today's testimony given by						
6	Jonathan Hochman.						
7							
8	[TIME NOTED: 8:53 p.m.]						
9							
10	JONATHAN HOCHMAN						
11							
12	Subscribed and sworn to						
	before me this						
13	day of, 2023.						
14							
	Notary Public						
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
2 2							
2 3							
24							
25							
	Page 388						

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 390 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 2		I	N D E X	
3	WITNESS		EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
	HOCHMAN			54, 383
4 5			MAO 344,	3 7 8
6		E	X H I B I T S	
	HOCHMAN		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7	Exhibit	1	Expert Report of Hochman	11
	Exhibit	2	Appendix X6 to Black	6 7
8			Rebuttal Report	
	Exhibit	3	Document entitled	71
9			Activity Controls	
	Exhibit	4	Privacy policy from	212
10			May 2018	
	Exhibit	5 A	Appendix A to Hochman	3 8 8
11			report	
	Exhibit	5 B	Appendix B.1 to Hochman	3 8 8
12			report	
	Exhibit	5 C	Appendix B.2 to Hochman	388
13			report	
	Exhibit.	5 D	Appendix B.3 to Hochman	3 8 8
1 4		_	report	
	Exhibit	5 E	Appendix C to Hochman	3 8 8
15			report	
	Exhibit	5 F	Appendix DA to Hochman	388
16		_	report	
	Exhibit	5 G	Appendix F to Hochman	3 8 8
17		5 0	report	
_ ,	Exhihit	5 H	Appendix H.1 to Hochman	388
18	HAHIDIC	J 11	report	
10	Fvhihi+	БТ	Appendix H.2 to Hochman	388
19	EXHIBIC	<i>J</i> ±	report	300
1 J	Evhihi+	Б.Т	Appendix J to Hochman	388
2 0	EXHIDIC	50	report	300
21	/ E h i h i +	1	was retained by counsel.)	
	(EXIIIDIC	4	was recarned by counser.	
2 2				
2 3				
24				
2 5				
				Page 389

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 391 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    DIRECTIONS NOT TO ANSWER
    Page Line
2
          (NONE)
3
4
5
    REQUESTS
6
    Page Line
          (NONE)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                              Page 390
```

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for
4	and within the State of New York, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That the witness whose testimony as
7	herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and
8	that the within transcript is a true record
9	of the testimony given by said witness.
10	I further certify that I am not related
11	to any of the parties to this action by
12	blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
13	interested in the outcome of this matter.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
15	my hand this 26th day of June, 2023.
16	
17	Jodd Desimone
18	TODD DESIMONE
19	
2 0	* * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 391

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 393 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

AGE/LINE	(S)/	CHANGE		REASON
/	,		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/ /	/		/	
/	/		/_	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
/	/		/	
•		THAN HOCH	 MAN	_
BSCRIBE: FORE ME				
		, 2023.		

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 394 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	EDUARDO SANTACANA, ESQ.
2	esantacana@willkie.com
3	June 29, 2023
4	RE: RODRIGUEZ vs. GOOGLE LLC
5	June 26, 2023, JONATHAN HOCHMAN, JOB NO. 5971096
6	The above-referenced transcript has been
7	completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and
8	review of the transcript is being handled as follows:
9	Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext
10	to schedule a time to review the original transcript at
11	a Veritext office.
12	Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked .PDF
13	Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and
14	make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included
15	below, notating the page and line number of the corrections.
16	The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty
17	of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all
18	appearing counsel within the period of time determined at
19	the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure.
20	Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of
21	Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature
22	as determined at the deposition.
23	Signature Waived - Reading & Signature was waived at the
24	time of the deposition.
25	
	Page 393

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 395 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Federal R&S Requested (FRCP 30(e)(1)(B)) - Locked .PDF
2	Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and
3	make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included
4	below, notating the page and line number of the corrections.
5	The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty
6	of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all
7	appearing counsel within the period of time determined at
8	the deposition or provided by the Federal Rules.
9	_x_ Federal R&S Not Requested - Reading & Signature was not
10	requested before the completion of the deposition.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 394

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 344 Filed 10/18/23 Page 396 of 494 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	RODRIGUEZ v	s. GOOGLE	E LLC	
2	JONATHAN HO	CHMAN (#5	5971096)	
3		E F	RRATA SHI	EET
4	PAGE I	INE	CHANGE	
5				
6	REASON			
7	PAGEI	INE	CHANGE	
8				
9	REASON			
10	PAGEI	INE	CHANGE	
11				
12				
13	PAGEI	INE	CHANGE	
14				
15				
16	PAGE I	INE	CHANGE	
17				
18 19				
20	PAGEI	1 T IV Fr	CHANGE	
20				
22	KEASON			
23				
24	WITNESS			Date
25				_ 2.00
				Page 395

# [& - 25th]

O	93:14	<b>162</b> 280:19	212.0 17
&	<b>107</b> 368:23	281:7	212:9,17 332:19 333:10
<b>&amp;</b> 1:21 2:7,13			
3:16 393:23	369:22 370:12	<b>163</b> 276:12	389:10
394:9	<b>108</b> 368:24	281:9,13	<b>2019</b> 154:7
0	370:12	<b>164</b> 280:19	292:25 293:12
<b>04688</b> 1:6	<b>10:52</b> 47:11	281:9 283:8	299:12,17
	<b>10:53</b> 47:14	<b>165</b> 280:20	300:2,5,6
1	11 389:7	281:10 283:9	332:19 333:10
<b>1</b> 11:20,24	<b>111</b> 36:4,9	<b>168</b> 33:10	<b>202</b> 241:15,15
107:16 137:14	<b>115</b> 170:14	34:16 105:2	<b>2020</b> 332:20
258:7 320:15	<b>116</b> 257:17	107:17	<b>2022</b> 68:13
337:10 345:5	<b>11:12</b> 66:18	<b>182</b> 310:18	293:4,15
347:1 367:25	<b>11:30</b> 160:10	<b>183</b> 148:17	<b>2023</b> 1:15 3:4
371:17 372:6	<b>11:34</b> 66:21	<b>1960s</b> 206:1	388:13 391:15
386:17 389:7	<b>11:36</b> 67:14	<b>1984</b> 92:21	392:22 393:3,5
394:1	<b>11:42</b> 67:19	<b>1:34</b> 129:2,5	2023-01-31
<b>1,000</b> 232:19	<b>12</b> 11:11,23	2	372:14
<b>10</b> 107:4	<b>12:48</b> 128:5,6	<b>2</b> 67:2,16,22	<b>2025.520</b> 393:9
<b>100</b> 154:13	<b>136</b> 36:11 81:2	72:22,25 85:17	393:12
155:3,24	81:9	86:6,25 87:10	<b>206</b> 275:14
192:18 293:19	<b>137</b> 236:25	319:12,14,20	<b>212</b> 389:9
319:14 357:21	<b>14</b> 285:21	371:20,22	<b>23</b> 160:10
<b>101</b> 276:14,16	287:2 314:11	372:4 373:14	<b>232</b> 368:20
276:18,20	<b>144</b> 210:21,21	386:18 389:7	<b>235</b> 368:18
<b>104</b> 36:14	<b>147</b> 324:5	<b>2.1.2</b> 132:25	<b>248</b> 246:7
38:22 39:23	328:23,24	147:4	247:6,11,18,20
42:3,13 43:21	<b>15</b> 107:4	<b>20</b> 56:5 58:7	248:2,13
47:23 64:9	153:19 156:1	<b>2005</b> 341:24	<b>249</b> 170:22
69:16 73:15	199:12 201:13	<b>201</b> 2:7	<b>25</b> 66:24
74:23 75:13	381:6	<b>2016</b> 51:18	212:17 275:16
77:8 78:3 79:6	<b>150</b> 319:20	<b>2018</b> 66:24	276:5
81:12,19 84:2	<b>16</b> 330:9	68:12,20,21	<b>250,000</b> 296:13
87:20 88:22,22	<b>16,009</b> 255:22	73:12 76:1,9	<b>251</b> 170:18
89:21 90:14	<b>16,163</b> 255:21	77:1,24 78:10	<b>25th</b> 76:1,9
91:16,24 93:2		79:10 112:16	

# [26 - 71]

		Т	1
<b>26</b> 1:15 292:22	<b>300</b> 383:5	4	<b>5:00</b> 251:22
299:10 393:5	<b>303</b> 237:25	<b>4</b> 126:11 135:6	<b>5:36</b> 280:25
<b>269</b> 318:3	238:6 240:19	212:11,12	<b>5:41</b> 281:3
<b>26th</b> 3:4 391:15	240:24	258:7 292:16	<b>5a</b> 387:22
<b>275</b> 191:19	<b>309</b> 249:4	387:15 389:3,9	388:1 389:10
<b>276</b> 190:7,9	<b>310</b> 248:15,16	389:21	<b>5b</b> 389:11
191:3,4,18,21	248:20 249:10	<b>409</b> 35:21	<b>5c</b> 389:12
<b>277</b> 189:13,18	249:15	177:7,9	<b>5d</b> 389:13
190:4,24 191:3	<b>31st</b> 2:3	<b>410</b> 35:22	<b>5e</b> 389:14
191:8,22 196:6	<b>33602</b> 2:8	<b>411</b> 108:11	<b>5f</b> 389:15
<b>278</b> 189:12	<b>344</b> 389:4	109:15,16	<b>5g</b> 389:16
190:4,10,12	<b>34th</b> 2:14	<b>412</b> 108:11	<b>5h</b> 389:17
191:6	<b>350</b> 383:6,12	109:16,16	<b>5i</b> 389:18
<b>28</b> 151:13	<b>354</b> 338:13	<b>416</b> 130:24	<b>5j</b> 388:1 389:19
<b>281</b> 366:25	389:3	132:4,7,13	6
369:21 371:12	<b>357</b> 329:12,15	144:9,10	<b>6</b> 132:20,24
371:16	<b>358</b> 329:12	<b>417</b> 133:2	293:13 299:12
<b>29</b> 393:3	330:8 331:16	<b>418</b> 107:21	<b>6/26/23</b> 392:3
<b>2:22</b> 173:1	332:4	148:8	<b>60</b> 152:22
<b>2:30</b> 160:11	<b>359</b> 329:12	<b>45</b> 293:25	334:1
<b>2:41</b> 173:4	331:1 332:23	<b>46</b> 306:5	<b>60/40</b> 5:23
<b>2:44</b> 176:20	<b>378</b> 389:4	<b>47</b> 95:7,9	<b>600</b> 326:17
<b>2:46</b> 176:23	<b>383</b> 389:3	<b>4:20</b> 233:5	<b>62</b> 151:7,10
3	<b>3879</b> 391:17	<b>4:45</b> 251:19	<b>63</b> 151:15
<b>3</b> 71:20,21 72:1	<b>388</b> 389:10,11	4th 258:5	<b>67</b> 389:7
72:13,23 73:1	389:12,13,14	5	<b>69</b> 373:14
75:10,19,23	389:15,16,17		<b>6:25</b> 317:22
76:3 77:3 78:1	389:18,19	5 295:20	<b>6:45</b> 317:25
80:2 82:9	<b>3:01</b> 189:23	320:13 387:16	<b>6:58</b> 329:2
87:12 258:7	<b>3:08</b> 190:1	387:17,18,20	7
292:4,5 389:8	<b>3:20</b> 1:6	<b>50</b> 4:13	-
<b>30</b> 296:8	<b>3:58</b> 233:2	<b>55</b> 293:4,15	7 11:10
380:20,23		<b>56</b> 332:8,13,14	<b>70/30</b> 5:23
394:1		333:12 <b>507100</b> 6 303:5	71 152:9 288:8
		<b>5971096</b> 393:5	389:8
		395:2	

## [725 - accurate]

<b>725</b> 2:2 <b>a a 73</b> 152:16 153:12 <b>74</b> 153:4 154:3	ble 83:19 118:4,5 134:19 141:20,24 142:11,13	accepting 355:4 access 69:2,7,9	73:13 74:2,4,5 75:8,10 76:18 77:1,4 79:1
153:12	141:20,24 142:11,13		, and the second
	142:11,13	access 69:2,7,9	77.1 / 70.1
<b>74</b> 153:4 154:3	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1 45 6 150 04	69:23 70:18	80:3,10,13,14
<b>75</b> 154:3,3	145:6 159:24	71:10,12 79:24	80:18 81:20
<b>787</b> 1:21 3:17	159:25 167:19	98:11 101:1	83:1,12 85:18
<b>7:00</b> 329:5	175:12 209:18	121:2 126:13	86:17 87:2,11
<b>7:16</b> 344:10	210:2 217:7	166:6 202:25	87:24,25 88:4
<b>7th</b> 2:8	242:23 243:6	203:2 236:20	88:8,14 89:3,7
8	248:7 265:7	363:3	89:9,17,22
<b>80</b> 109:24	297:11 313:5	accident	90:13,24,25
<b>85</b> 153:23	334:10 335:2	151:23 152:13	91:15,17 93:13
308:17,18	346:21 349:1	accidentally	94:19 98:20
<b>8:03</b> 344:13	349:14 356:4,6	162:21 236:1	117:17,18
<b>8:20</b> 362:5	356:12,21	accord 11:17	121:21 131:7
<b>8:23</b> 362:8	359:15,18	account 36:2	165:23 171:5
<b>8:53</b> 388:4,8	363:2 374:1,8	36:20 37:2,3,6	178:22 194:12
·	374:14,23	37:18,24,25	209:1,14 311:7
9	377:4 386:1	38:3,3,12,18	311:16 313:15
	<b>bove</b> 393:6	40:11,17 41:6	382:2,3
	bsence 92:6	41:9,22 42:13	accounting
	<b>bsent</b> 83:2	42:20 43:10,17	40:11
900 5:2,19	89:1,4 300:11	43:23 44:3,5	accounts 37:20
	bsolutely	44:18,23 45:20	51:4 52:1
<b>94111</b> 2:14	19:10 351:10	45:21,23 46:14	81:12 82:4,24
a a	<b>bsorbed</b> 27:19	47:18,21 48:4	83:8,11 84:1
<b>a.m.</b> 1:16 3:3	<b>bsurd</b> 256:1,6	48:19,22 49:12	117:17,21
	cademic 19:17	49:21 51:18,20	119:20 208:25
66:21 67:14,19	26:3 95:25	64:9,15,22	209:6 266:1
ability 77:17	245:24	67:4 68:7,10	311:24 312:16
	ccept 142:17	68:17 69:3,6,8	340:2
112:5 141:22	203:15 234:9	69:10,11,20	accuracy 15:16
260:5 286:9	358:19	70:18,21,23	45:10 305:1
	ccepted 357:6	71:8,11,13,14	accurate 13:15
380:2		73:1,2,4,7,11	14:9 45:11

## [accurate - address]

152:12 154:19	318:15	140:5,7 151:4	290:7 291:25
178:22,23	activity 25:8,9	165:6 169:10	293:2,5 294:12
215:21 288:21	25:10,14 40:12	177:15 179:14	295:8 298:18
309:5 339:24	40:12 65:21,23	186:8 190:10	298:20 299:12
accurately	71:23,24 72:9	191:18 196:24	300:13,22
13:13 15:1	72:12 75:5	201:12 205:17	350:5,8,14,15
accused 250:24	87:12 103:18	205:21 212:23	350:23 351:15
ace 296:15	120:17 187:13	212:25 215:3	351:16,17,19
achieve 154:12	199:6,9 201:7	216:11 225:8	351:21,22
155:3,24	203:9,14 207:2	225:12,14	352:20 354:13
acknowledge	217:12,14,20	230:19 237:20	355:11 366:5,5
241:3	217:22,23,24	241:9 244:20	367:7,10,12,25
acquire 274:22	325:14 337:4	245:11,16	368:3,5,16
acquiring	337:16,25	247:8 248:11	371:16,25
230:4	348:14 375:19	258:12 276:13	372:6,7,7,10
acquisition	389:9	276:14 282:4	379:13,18
355:1	actor 105:16	296:3 297:22	380:15 381:6,9
acquisitions	242:16	304:6,7 308:16	381:16,18,19
354:24	<b>actors</b> 364:21	311:3 312:2	381:24 382:4,6
acronym	acts 118:21	315:16,19	383:8,9,10,13
315:25	119:2	319:11 327:7	<b>add</b> 51:24
acting 122:20	actual 21:22	334:25 345:5	addition
action 4:20	46:9 138:17	353:16 357:24	303:17
239:25 240:1	175:18 199:18	368:18 376:22	additional
252:14 260:19	313:8 321:13	<b>ad</b> 190:12	14:22 124:12
260:20 272:17	357:25	191:6 196:25	145:5,9 190:14
327:17 380:17	actually 22:2,4	197:4,20 204:8	217:6 262:23
380:17 382:20	22:11 42:18	204:12,18,22	327:20 348:11
391:11	44:15 49:3	205:14,20	370:19
activating	63:24 66:15	206:24 207:8	additionally
200:25	78:21 79:12	207:12 217:22	138:23 338:2
actively 101:9	88:11 95:19	220:21 221:8	address 69:5
339:25	96:15 108:12	223:3 231:24	75:1 101:14
activities 93:25	118:5 136:18	264:18 287:9	159:13 161:25
218:1 260:3	137:10,22	288:15 289:23	163:1,4,5,10

## [address - advised]

	I	ı	I
164:5,17 207:6	admitted 249:9	226:13 262:9	351:14 352:12
234:5 238:6	275:16	288:13 289:22	352:17,23
243:4 247:21	<b>admob</b> 150:4,5	290:4,11	354:16 366:22
255:23 323:3	150:14 152:8,9	292:11 294:14	379:16
329:8 357:22	152:17,18	294:16 295:9	advertiser's
384:20,23	153:5,6,14,18	301:22 302:10	56:22
addressed	153:24 154:4	324:20 332:5	advertisers
70:16 75:4	154:14,21	350:6,12,13,19	127:13 208:8
91:13	155:25 157:4	351:8,21,25	208:19 262:11
addresses	288:14 323:22	352:18 366:2,2	262:25 294:9
160:1,16,17,24	330:9 367:7	366:4,9,20,22	297:6 298:4
162:6 163:21	379:23	368:5 369:23	300:6 355:13
165:10 167:7	adopted 113:1	369:24 379:6	advertising
174:17 213:25	153:24	379:14 380:8	120:6 124:11
214:3,7,16	adopters	381:19,20	150:7,9,10
233:9 238:20	153:14	382:9,10,11	192:1 203:10
246:19,21,23	adopting	383:3 384:5,24	206:3,5 217:24
246:24,24	191:16	385:1	218:20 219:13
256:5 344:22	adoption	advantage	219:14 220:9
357:9	153:20 155:3	232:7 355:7	226:12 287:7
addressing	156:2 292:9	advertise 298:5	289:17 298:7,8
70:11 90:9,11	<b>ads</b> 18:12	300:7,21 301:2	298:23 299:3
234:10	147:13,14,24	301:3	301:5,13
addresss 387:8	148:2 151:19	advertised	302:12 309:16
adid 55:22 57:6	152:11 154:9	23:13 301:14	314:24 317:8
249:6	190:17,18	advertisement	352:14 366:17
<b>adjust</b> 14:1,15	194:20 195:13	193:25	384:13
191:11	195:15,22	advertiser	<b>advice</b> 119:11
adjustments	197:1 202:3	204:12 206:21	121:18 309:15
15:5	203:15 205:4,8	206:24 207:3	<b>advise</b> 229:21
admission	205:17,19	219:11,12,16	304:1 317:8
275:23 276:4	206:8 209:23	220:6,17	advised 223:2
<b>admit</b> 15:25	210:2 218:6	262:13 264:2	301:16,21
16:6 202:12,14	220:15 222:19	296:23 297:11	303:8,14
203:22	222:20 226:4	297:12 351:1	

## [advocate - analogous]

advocate	agreed 41:15	aims 118:22	altering 192:8
207:24	61:3 313:23	<b>air</b> 245:15	alternate
affect 76:22	agreement 10:1	<b>al</b> 1:7 3:12	112:20
80:21,22	10:9	alert 39:6	alternative
251:11,13	<b>aha</b> 88:1 92:9	algorithm	133:3
264:13 305:1	348:19	111:24 222:3	altogether
affects 74:12	ahead 33:6	algorithms	299:4
286:8 287:14	43:13 50:23	107:23 108:5	<b>amazon</b> 319:21
affiliated	60:5 73:20	111:21 148:11	372:10,11,12
111:18	77:10 79:14	148:15,25	ambiguities
afraid 139:1	82:17 85:4	222:1 262:21	39:25
<b>age</b> 216:13	87:17 93:5,18	263:8	ambiguity
287:22	94:7 95:16	allegation	42:10
agency 250:14	98:22 117:24	52:23 53:1	ameliorate
agent 122:20	119:7 126:2	allegations	104:18
247:22	144:6 164:11	51:23 52:24	amenable
agents 116:4	169:8 172:3	57:17 59:5	216:3
aggregate	183:21 197:18	alleged 52:18	american
62:14 96:10	213:17 221:10	alleging 61:12	111:18
aggregated	224:24 227:21	allocate 320:20	<b>amount</b> 112:17
95:10,11	228:24 231:9	allocated 382:6	130:7 131:13
<b>ago</b> 11:1 24:1	236:19 263:19	382:7	187:13 272:22
29:14 97:4,11	267:17 268:23	<b>allow</b> 178:6	275:1 297:14
183:18 291:19	270:19 285:17	220:22 380:4	318:19,22
295:15 300:25	288:9 298:13	allowable	319:8 343:20
301:1,15	329:10 333:4	122:22	analogies
<b>agree</b> 15:19	337:20 340:4	allowances	140:20
41:17 80:6	356:17 366:13	125:25	analogized
98:14 115:23	367:17 374:25	<b>allowed</b> 339:17	200:6
120:25 165:9	381:4 384:19	allowing	analogizing
248:14 322:10	385:24 386:15	352:21 354:14	146:13 199:21
332:1 344:25	<b>ai</b> 225:10,11	<b>allows</b> 24:24	201:17
361:6 378:9	228:9	201:6	analogous
379:8 387:10	<b>aimed</b> 154:12	altered 376:14	180:9,11
	155:2	376:24	199:24

## [analogy - answered]

		I	1
analogy 139:4	127:3,7,15,22	301:16,17	anonymous
139:7 180:18	149:22 150:6	302:9 303:9,16	60:22 350:2
200:14 267:3	150:11,17	303:18,22	anonymously
analysis 21:7	151:23 153:6	304:5 306:20	109:12
21:17 109:10	154:9,13	307:13 308:8	<b>answer</b> 18:23
109:17 113:23	155:24 157:10	308:13 309:5	19:2 21:15
113:25 118:10	158:14 160:23	309:13,25	23:5 25:18
119:9 122:10	161:16 162:5	310:1 316:13	33:8 70:10
141:9 147:20	165:23 166:20	316:18 323:21	76:24 77:20
186:24 188:25	168:25 169:16	324:19 325:20	78:8 83:19
191:16 216:4,7	171:8,13,14,24	332:3 337:17	104:15 120:14
216:9 229:4	178:18,19	337:22 339:6	125:16 139:8
234:9 239:9	179:7 182:3,5	339:25 340:25	149:12,14,19
251:11 273:23	182:8,20,25	341:6,23 342:2	150:13 191:10
280:5 341:21	183:8 184:1,3	342:7 343:15	215:1 220:13
344:21	184:11,15	343:24 352:9	220:13,14
analytics 50:25	185:18,20	353:2 354:22	236:23 263:20
56:18 58:6,11	186:17 188:21	355:2,7,14,17	264:14 265:7
58:12 60:1,15	194:22 206:9	367:13 371:23	273:2,3 284:18
61:22 62:1,8	214:7,10,12,14	384:16,17,21	290:23 291:1
62:13,14 63:10	216:15 218:8	analyze 286:7	295:16 325:7
63:18,23 64:6	222:17 224:17	365:17	331:25 346:21
64:14,21 65:15	226:4 233:22	analyzed	354:19 356:5,6
96:3,7,8,15,18	241:17 244:12	215:12 253:11	356:13,14,17
108:24 109:8,9	257:12 258:21	analyzes	356:21 358:7,9
109:19 111:10	259:16 261:1	121:24	358:15,16,16
113:4 117:11	277:5,20 278:5	analyzing	358:22,24
117:13,17	278:7,9 279:2	207:5 230:17	359:12,15,19
119:3,18,20	287:15 289:12	254:9	359:21 361:22
120:5,11,13,25	289:24 290:8	android 201:21	363:1,2 368:11
121:3,10,20	290:10 291:3	202:2 278:1	390:1
123:6,11,25	291:24 292:9	386:17,18	answered
124:3,9,13	292:15,16,17	angeles 2:3	18:21,22 33:16
125:5 126:11	292:19 294:2	<b>anibal</b> 1:7 3:11	78:6 94:6
126:11,25	298:2,6,16	311:6	247:7 308:4

# [answered - applied]

333:4,6 357:16	151:15 152:10	298:4 299:12	243:11 253:18
361:14	152:14 154:22	299:17 300:13	256:23 326:18
answering	157:11 158:3	307:14 310:2	345:8,10,12,19
77:23 334:22	158:20,23	314:13,23	345:21 347:2
answers 43:19	159:14,25	317:8 322:5,11	352:6 354:5
147:23 220:12	160:16 165:7	324:19,24	355:23 356:4
247:25 259:12	165:12,23	325:14,24	356:25 359:17
361:18	166:19 167:5	337:25 340:6	360:13 387:13
ante 376:25	169:13 171:10	343:5 348:14	appendix 67:24
anticipate	174:9,11 182:2	348:14,16	132:20,23
251:6	182:7,9,21	350:12,12,14	133:20,21
anybody 10:10	183:1,8 184:14	350:14,22,24	135:5 139:12
48:17	184:16 185:6	351:4,6 354:25	144:22 145:13
anymore 301:3	185:19 187:4	366:2,4,11,15	146:23 216:12
309:6 324:9	187:20 193:18	366:19,20	292:3,23
328:16	195:21 201:7	368:2,4 372:5	299:10 311:23
anyone's	202:7 203:9,14	372:9,12 379:5	312:1,5 313:24
343:19	214:13 216:20	379:13,17	338:5 345:1
anyway 113:12	217:12,14,19	apparent	346:2,2,3,3,3
163:23 212:5	217:22,23,25	307:24	357:3,25
241:22 362:13	218:3,24	apparently	360:10 372:13
apart 18:5	224:16 226:2,4	216:2	385:2 389:7,10
25:20 137:4	226:8,11 228:9	appear 45:21	389:11,12,13
167:25 219:15	233:8 247:10	142:3 152:13	389:14,15,16
220:4 262:14	247:11,19	237:12 247:20	389:17,18,19
312:10 358:2	248:7 260:18	303:25 350:19	<b>apple</b> 285:23
apologize 212:2	263:11 264:11	363:17	287:6,7 316:13
<b>app</b> 7:5 25:7	286:2 287:14	appearances	<b>apple's</b> 315:23
97:7 101:13	288:13 289:11	3:22 4:8	application
102:11 109:13	290:3,9 291:2	appearing	383:6,12
116:17 117:19	292:11 293:1,5	393:18 394:7	applications
117:19 119:23	294:14,16	appears 39:12	318:18
120:2,12	295:5,7,8,9	39:14 371:22	<b>applied</b> 364:22
123:10,24	296:4,5,6,8,11	appendices	380:7
149:20,21,23	296:11,13	98:3 134:23	

## [apply - assessment]

254.7 292:18 appreciate 84:18 149:7 361:23 archaic 114:10 307:1 225:20 archaic 1335:3 268:14.20 approache 307:1 195:12 335:25 approached 111:16 approximately 293:4 90:25 169:5 32:25 33:2 380:11 386:11 328:18 236:16 346:24 46:25 47:6 approximatio 288:18 236:16 346:24 377:17 219:1 233:12 227:22 335:10 227:14 252:17 219:1 233:12 234:3 253:24 arguing 108:18 argument 14:1 266:17 28:9 279:18,14 29:85 315:15 227:21 228:19 315:17,18 32:8 324:18 335:3 16:15 32:8 324:18 32:8 324:18 32:13 3124:4,6 184:14 185:18 185:25,25 arr 245:21,21 187:6,17,19 188:17 arcicles 317:1 331:14 archieve 317:1 35:24 34:17 332:4 31:15 23:17 assessment 224:12 188:17 arcicles 317:1 35:24 224:12 121:16 130:14 archieve 317:1 332:24 337:21 339:8 347:4 32:25,20.25 33:2 380:11 386:11 382:4 184:3 377:17 124:17 131:14 argue 165:5 227:14 252:17 214:18 asserted 152:17 308:3 313:23 162:1 277:8 380:13	<b>apply</b> 127:4,19	arbitrarily	articulating	140:10 145:23
84:18 149:7         225:20         275:11 334:11         229:18 246:18           361:23         archaic         114:10         335:3         268:14,20           approach         architect         ascertainable         269:12,18           307:1         195:12         ascertainable         269:12,18           approached         195:12         aside 72:19         273:6 326:22           111:16         196:17         area 19:18         asked 6:14         322:24 337:21           approve         9:16         82:14 88:24         11:15 26:25         377:18 380:1,3           approximately         293:4         90:25 169:5         32:25 33:2         380:11 386:11           approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,78,9         asks 104:3           apps 18:14         236:16 346:24         46:25 47:6         assassinate           152:11 165:16         areas 118:1         94:5 118:24         assert 82:23           18:4 201:24 217:17         argue 165:5         173:6 222:2         assert 82:23           29:1 23:312         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18         asserting 83:12           265:19 290:7         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14         151:21 161:23           315:		_		
84:18 149:7         225:20         275:11 334:11         229:18 246:18           361:23         archaic         114:10         335:3         268:14,20           approach         architect         335:25         270:12,15           approached         195:12         335:25         270:12,15           approximatel         196:17         arca 19:18         asked 6:14         32:24 337:21           approximately         82:14 88:24         11:15 26:25         377:18 380:1,3           approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,7,8,9         asks 104:3           approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,7,8,9         asks 104:3           apps 18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           apps 18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           assassinate         124:17 131:14         assert 82:23           182:4 184:3         377:17         173:6 222:2         asserted 152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18         asserted 152:17           234:3 253:24         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         asserting 83:12           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         162:1 277:8 <t< th=""><th>appreciate</th><th>arbitrary 86:18</th><th>ascertain</th><th>193:15 217:20</th></t<>	appreciate	arbitrary 86:18	ascertain	193:15 217:20
approach 307:1architect 195:12ascertainable 335:25269:12,18 270:12,15approached 111:16196:17 area 19:18aside 72:19 161:12 171:4273:6 326:22 326:25 327:1approve 29:16 approximately 293:482:14 88:24 90:25 169:511:15 26:25 32:25 33:2377:18 380:1,3 32:25 33:2approximatio 288:18 apps 18:14 152:11 165:16 182:4 184:3 201:24 217:17 219:1 233:12 235:25:29 260:16 298:5 315:15236:16 346:24 246:25 47:6 62:11 78:6,13 27:17 219:1 233:12 227:22 335:10 227:22 335:10 227:22 335:10 227:22 335:10 227:14 252:17 227:14 252:17 227:14 252:17 228:932:28 324:18 330:3 34:11 330:3 35:22 227:12 228:19 330:3 35:23 330:3 354:11 330:3 354:15 330:3 354:11 330:3 354:15 330:3 354:15 330:3 3		_	275:11 334:11	229:18 246:18
307:1         195:12         335:25         270:12,15           approached         196:17         aside 72:19         273:6 326:22           approve         9:16         area 19:18         asked 6:14         332:24 337:21           approximately         82:14 88:24         11:15 26:25         377:18 380:1,3           293:4         90:25 169:5         32:25 33:2         380:11 386:11           approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,7,8,9         asks 104:3           apps 18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           182:4 184:3         377:17         124:17 131:14         18:6 358:14           201:24 217:17         argue 165:5         173:6 222:2         assert 82:23           234:3 253:24         arguing 108:18         252:20 264:11         asserted 152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         45:12:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         46:21 277:8           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7	361:23	archaic 114:10	335:3	268:14,20
approached         architecture         aside         72:19         273:6 326:22           approve         9:16         area         19:18         asked         6:14         332:24 337:21           approximately         82:14 88:24         11:15 26:25         377:18 380:1,3           293:4         90:25 169:5         32:25 33:2         380:11 386:11           approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,7,8,9         asks 104:3           apps 18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           152:11 165:16         areas 118:1         94:5 118:24         assert 82:23           182:4 184:3         377:17         124:17 131:14         118:6 358:14           201:24 217:17         argue 165:5         173:6 222:2         asserted 152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18           234:3 253:24         arguing 108:18         252:20 264:11         asserting 83:12           265:19 290:7         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16	approach	architect	ascertainable	269:12,18
111:16         196:17         161:12 171:4         326:25 327:1           approve         9:16         area         19:18         asked         6:14         332:24 337:21           approximately         82:14 88:24         11:15 26:25         377:18 380:1,3         32:25 33:2         380:11 386:11           approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,7,8,9         asks 104:3           apps 18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           152:11 165:16         areas         118:1         94:5 118:24         assert         82:23           182:4 184:3         377:17         124:17 131:14         118:6 358:14         asserted         152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18         asserted         152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18         asserting         83:12           255:9 260:16         argument         14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25	307:1	195:12	335:25	270:12,15
approve9:16area19:18asked6:14332:24 337:21approximately82:14 88:2411:15 26:25377:18 380:1,3293:490:25 169:532:25 33:2380:11 386:11approximatio170:3 194:1334:6,7,8,9asks 104:3288:18236:16 346:2446:25 47:6assassinateapps 18:14353:362:11 78:6,13assert 82:23152:11 165:16areas 118:194:5 118:24assert 82:23182:4 184:3377:17124:17 131:14118:6 358:14201:24 217:17argue 165:5227:12 227:22 235:10227:14 252:17219:1 233:12227:22 335:10227:14 252:17asserted 152:17234:3 253:24argument 14:1268:17 286:21asserting 83:12255:9 260:16argumentative308:3 313:2346:21 277:8265:19 290:7argumentative308:3 313:23162:1 277:8298:5 315:15227:21 228:19325:4 333:3assertion 11:16315:17,18255:1 263:15339:8 347:411:17 79:7322:8 324:18285:3,16350:3 354:1182:2,20,25343:1,2 350:20298:12 356:17355:22 356:283:7 318:6,10appsflyerarises 34:25368:16 379:4asserts 277:9123:13 124:4,6209:18,19asking 21:15assessed 15:1185:25,25art 245:21,2135:2 40:16assessing186:9,12,19article 204:1558:25 65:11assessing187:6,17,19228:973:18 78:9,16assessment18	approached	architecture	<b>aside</b> 72:19	273:6 326:22
approximately 293:482:14 88:24 90:25 169:511:15 26:25 32:25 33:2377:18 380:1,3 380:11 386:11approximatio 288:18236:16 346:24 236:16 346:2446:25 47:6 46:25 47:6asks 104:3 assasinateapps 18:14 152:11 165:16 182:4 184:3 201:24 217:17 219:1 233:12 234:3 253:24 255:9 260:16 260:19 261:2 298:5 315:15 315:17,18 298:5 315:15 322:8 324:18 298:13 124:4,6 184:14 185:18 185:25,25 186:9,12,19 188:1782:14 88:24 188:14 290:17,19 228:9 245:18 28:9 31:18 78:9,16 31:18 78:9,16 31:18 78:9,16 31:18 78:9,16approximation 298:25 315:17 298:26:10 298:12 31:1211:15 26:25 23:25 33:2 23:25 33:2 23:25 33:2 343:1,2 350:20343:1,2 350:20 298:12 356:17 228:911:15 26:25 247:16 346:24 246:25 47:6 123:13 7:18 35:25 35:24 35:25 25:12 35:17 35:24 33:3377:18 380:1,3 380:11 386:11 34:6,7,8,9 34:13:14 34:14 353:3 353:3 35:22 356:2 36:16 379:4 36:25 25:12 35:27 40:16 35:27 40:16 35:27 40:16 35:27 35:17 35:27 35:1735:17 35:17 35:18 78:9,16 36:17 35:27 31:2435:27:17 35:27 35:17 35:27:17	111:16	196:17	161:12 171:4	326:25 327:1
293:4         90:25 169:5         32:25 33:2         380:11 386:11           approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,7,8,9         asks 104:3           apps 18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           182:4 184:3         377:17         94:5 118:24         assert 82:23           182:4 184:3         377:17         124:17 131:14         18:6 358:14           201:24 217:17         argue 165:5         173:6 222:2         asserted 152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18           234:3 253:24         arguing 108:18         252:20 264:11         asserting 83:12           255:9 260:16         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10           appsflyer         arises 34:25         368:16 379:4         asserts 277:9	approve 9:16	<b>area</b> 19:18	asked 6:14	332:24 337:21
approximatio         170:3 194:13         34:6,7,8,9         asks 104:3           apps 18:14         236:16 346:24         46:25 47:6         assassinate           apps 18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           152:11 165:16         areas 118:1         94:5 118:24         assert 82:23           182:4 184:3         377:17         124:17 131:14         assert 82:23           201:24 217:17         argue 165:5         173:6 222:2         asserted 152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18           234:3 253:24         arguing 108:18         252:20 264:11         asserting 83:12           255:9 260:16         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10           appsflyer         arises 34:25         368:16 379:4         asserts 277:9	approximately	82:14 88:24	11:15 26:25	377:18 380:1,3
288:18         236:16 346:24         46:25 47:6         assassinate           apps         18:14         353:3         62:11 78:6,13         100:2           152:11 165:16         areas         118:1         94:5 118:24         assert         82:23           182:4 184:3         377:17         124:17 131:14         118:6 358:14         asserted         152:17           201:24 217:17         argue         165:5         173:6 222:2         asserted         152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18         asserting         83:12           234:3 253:24         arguing         108:18         252:20 264:11         asserting         83:12           235:9 260:16         argument         14:1         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         162:1 277:8           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion         11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10	293:4	90:25 169:5	32:25 33:2	380:11 386:11
apps       18:14       353:3       62:11 78:6,13       100:2         152:11 165:16       areas       118:1       94:5 118:24       assert       82:23         182:4 184:3       377:17       124:17 131:14       118:6 358:14         201:24 217:17       argue       165:5       173:6 222:2       asserted       152:17         219:1 233:12       227:22 335:10       227:14 252:17       214:18       asserting       83:12         234:3 253:24       arguing       108:18       252:20 264:11       asserting       83:12         255:9 260:16       argument       14:1       268:17 286:21       84:12,14         260:19 261:2       14:14       290:17,19       151:21 161:23         265:19 290:7       argumentative       308:3 313:23       162:1 277:8         298:5 315:15       227:21 228:19       325:4 333:3       assertion       11:16         315:17,18       255:1 263:15       339:8 347:4       11:17 79:7       82:2,20,25         343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       arises       34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts       277:9         185:25,25       art       245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing<	approximatio	170:3 194:13	34:6,7,8,9	asks 104:3
152:11 165:16         areas 118:1         94:5 118:24         assert 82:23           182:4 184:3         377:17         124:17 131:14         118:6 358:14           201:24 217:17         argue 165:5         173:6 222:2         asserted 152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18           234:3 253:24         arguing 108:18         252:20 264:11         asserting 83:12           255:9 260:16         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         162:1 277:8           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10           appsflyer         arises 34:25         368:16 379:4         asserts 277:9           184:14 185:18         209:18,19         35:2 40:16         assessed 15:1           186:9,12,19         art 245:21,21         35:2 40:16         assessing <th>288:18</th> <th>236:16 346:24</th> <th>46:25 47:6</th> <th>assassinate</th>	288:18	236:16 346:24	46:25 47:6	assassinate
182:4 184:3       377:17       124:17 131:14       118:6 358:14         201:24 217:17       argue 165:5       173:6 222:2       asserted 152:17         219:1 233:12       227:22 335:10       227:14 252:17       214:18         234:3 253:24       arguing 108:18       252:20 264:11       asserting 83:12         255:9 260:16       argument 14:1       268:17 286:21       84:12,14         260:19 261:2       14:14       290:17,19       151:21 161:23         265:19 290:7       argumentative       308:3 313:23       162:1 277:8         298:5 315:15       227:21 228:19       325:4 333:3       assertion 11:16         315:17,18       255:1 263:15       339:8 347:4       11:17 79:7         322:8 324:18       285:3,16       350:3 354:11       82:2,20,25         343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       381:15       asserts 277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assessed 15:1         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12 <th><b>apps</b> 18:14</th> <th>353:3</th> <th>62:11 78:6,13</th> <th>100:2</th>	<b>apps</b> 18:14	353:3	62:11 78:6,13	100:2
201:24 217:17         argue 165:5         173:6 222:2         asserted 152:17           219:1 233:12         227:22 335:10         227:14 252:17         214:18           234:3 253:24         arguing 108:18         252:20 264:11         asserting 83:12           255:9 260:16         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         162:1 277:8           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10           appsflyer         arises 34:25         368:16 379:4         asserts 277:9           184:14 185:18         209:18,19         asking 21:15         assessed 15:1           185:25,25         art 245:21,21         35:2 40:16         assessing           186:9,12,19         article 204:15         58:25 65:11         assessment           188:17         articles 317:1         79:15 91:24         224:12	152:11 165:16	areas 118:1	94:5 118:24	assert 82:23
219:1 233:12       227:22 335:10       227:14 252:17       214:18         234:3 253:24       arguing 108:18       252:20 264:11       asserting 83:12         255:9 260:16       argument 14:1       268:17 286:21       84:12,14         260:19 261:2       14:14       290:17,19       151:21 161:23         265:19 290:7       argumentative       308:3 313:23       162:1 277:8         298:5 315:15       227:21 228:19       325:4 333:3       assertion 11:16         315:17,18       255:1 263:15       339:8 347:4       11:17 79:7         322:8 324:18       285:3,16       350:3 354:11       82:2,20,25         343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       arises 34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts 277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess 224:11         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	182:4 184:3	377:17	124:17 131:14	118:6 358:14
234:3 253:24         arguing 108:18         252:20 264:11         asserting 83:12           255:9 260:16         argument 14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         162:1 277:8           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10           appsflyer         arises 34:25         368:16 379:4         asserts 277:9           123:13 124:4,6         arrangement         381:15         assess 224:11           184:14 185:18         209:18,19         asking 21:15         assessed 15:1           185:25,25         art 245:21,21         35:2 40:16         assessing           186:9,12,19         article 204:15         58:25 65:11         235:17           187:6,17,19         228:9         73:18 78:9,16         assessment           188:17         articles 317:1         79:15 91:24         224:12	201:24 217:17	<b>argue</b> 165:5	173:6 222:2	asserted 152:17
255:9 260:16         argument         14:1         268:17 286:21         84:12,14           260:19 261:2         14:14         290:17,19         151:21 161:23           265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         162:1 277:8           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10           appsflyer         arises 34:25         368:16 379:4         asserts 277:9           123:13 124:4,6         209:18,19         asking 21:15         assessed 15:1           185:25,25         art 245:21,21         35:2 40:16         assessed 15:1           186:9,12,19         article 204:15         58:25 65:11         235:17           187:6,17,19         228:9         73:18 78:9,16         assessment           188:17         articles 317:1         79:15 91:24         224:12	219:1 233:12	227:22 335:10	227:14 252:17	214:18
260:19 261:2       14:14       290:17,19       151:21 161:23         265:19 290:7       argumentative       308:3 313:23       162:1 277:8         298:5 315:15       227:21 228:19       325:4 333:3       assertion 11:16         315:17,18       255:1 263:15       339:8 347:4       11:17 79:7         322:8 324:18       285:3,16       350:3 354:11       82:2,20,25         343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       arises 34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts 277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess 224:11         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessed 15:1         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	234:3 253:24	arguing 108:18	252:20 264:11	asserting 83:12
265:19 290:7         argumentative         308:3 313:23         162:1 277:8           298:5 315:15         227:21 228:19         325:4 333:3         assertion 11:16           315:17,18         255:1 263:15         339:8 347:4         11:17 79:7           322:8 324:18         285:3,16         350:3 354:11         82:2,20,25           343:1,2 350:20         298:12 356:17         355:22 356:2         83:7 318:6,10           appsflyer         arises 34:25         368:16 379:4         asserts 277:9           123:13 124:4,6         arrangement         381:15         assess 224:11           184:14 185:18         209:18,19         asking 21:15         assessed 15:1           185:25,25         art 245:21,21         35:2 40:16         assessing           186:9,12,19         article 204:15         58:25 65:11         235:17           187:6,17,19         228:9         73:18 78:9,16         assessment           188:17         articles 317:1         79:15 91:24         224:12	255:9 260:16	argument 14:1	268:17 286:21	84:12,14
298:5 315:15       227:21 228:19       325:4 333:3       assertion 11:16         315:17,18       255:1 263:15       339:8 347:4       11:17 79:7         322:8 324:18       285:3,16       350:3 354:11       82:2,20,25         343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       arises 34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts 277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess 224:11         184:14 185:18       209:18,19       asking 21:15       assessed 15:1         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	260:19 261:2	14:14	290:17,19	151:21 161:23
315:17,18       255:1 263:15       339:8 347:4       11:17 79:7         322:8 324:18       285:3,16       350:3 354:11       82:2,20,25         343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       arises 34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts 277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess 224:11         184:14 185:18       209:18,19       asking 21:15       assessed 15:1         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	265:19 290:7	argumentative	308:3 313:23	162:1 277:8
322:8 324:18       285:3,16       350:3 354:11       82:2,20,25         343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       arises 34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts 277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess 224:11         184:14 185:18       209:18,19       asking 21:15       assessed 15:1         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	298:5 315:15	227:21 228:19	325:4 333:3	assertion 11:16
343:1,2 350:20       298:12 356:17       355:22 356:2       83:7 318:6,10         appsflyer       arises 34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts 277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess 224:11         184:14 185:18       209:18,19       asking 21:15       assessed 15:1         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	315:17,18	255:1 263:15	339:8 347:4	11:17 79:7
appsflyer       arises       34:25       368:16 379:4       asserts       277:9         123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess       224:11         184:14 185:18       209:18,19       asking       21:15       assessed       15:1         185:25,25       art       245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article       204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles       317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	322:8 324:18	285:3,16	350:3 354:11	82:2,20,25
123:13 124:4,6       arrangement       381:15       assess 224:11         184:14 185:18       209:18,19       asking 21:15       assessed 15:1         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	343:1,2 350:20	298:12 356:17	355:22 356:2	83:7 318:6,10
184:14 185:18       209:18,19       asking 21:15       assessed 15:1         185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	appsflyer	arises 34:25	368:16 379:4	asserts 277:9
185:25,25       art 245:21,21       35:2 40:16       assessing         186:9,12,19       article 204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles 317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	123:13 124:4,6	arrangement	381:15	<b>assess</b> 224:11
186:9,12,19       article       204:15       58:25 65:11       235:17         187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles       317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	184:14 185:18	209:18,19	asking 21:15	assessed 15:1
187:6,17,19       228:9       73:18 78:9,16       assessment         188:17       articles       317:1       79:15 91:24       224:12	185:25,25	<b>art</b> 245:21,21	35:2 40:16	assessing
188:17 <b>articles</b> 317:1 79:15 91:24 224:12	186:9,12,19	<b>article</b> 204:15	58:25 65:11	235:17
	187:6,17,19	228:9	73:18 78:9,16	assessment
341:17 121:16 130:14	188:17	articles 317:1	79:15 91:24	224:12
		341:17	121:16 130:14	

## [assessor - back]

1.40.10	•	267 1 260 0	272.7
assessor 148:18	assuming	367:1 368:8	average 272:7
<b>asset</b> 307:19	140:19,23	379:14 380:6	<b>avoid</b> 171:9
assigned 239:1	165:2,4 249:25	381:17,19	avoided 141:5
assignment	250:1 348:25	382:4,8,19,24	aware 35:1
11:10,14 12:1	364:19 379:6	383:13 384:11	39:3 40:5
13:1,2 252:5	assumption	attributions	42:12,16 55:21
assistants	40:18,19	380:3	105:24 106:15
141:9	165:11 191:12	auction 220:23	106:17 123:5
assisted 7:11	242:11,14	authenticate	125:9 142:1
assisting 6:11	302:16	69:6 71:10	157:20 160:2,5
associate 2:19	attacker	authenticates	160:25 182:6
associated	279:16	209:4	204:7 212:19
70:14 103:1	<b>attempt</b> 135:13	authentication	215:9,13
133:7,17 138:1	135:15 137:19	209:3	224:20 252:12
144:13 146:20	141:18 144:18	authored	274:18 277:19
159:2 178:21	174:23 224:15	238:15 359:11	287:4 311:13
179:24 192:3,9	attempted	automated	318:14 319:21
192:25 193:6	215:19	352:4,7 353:1	332:10 355:3
194:4,13,14,16	attempting	354:20 355:6,7	376:13
219:16 220:5	143:4 167:11	automatic	<b>awful</b> 91:11
222:21,23	228:12	161:24 207:1	229:6
260:4 262:8	attempts 106:6	225:1 230:6	b
associates	139:17	automatically	<b>b</b> 278:21,22,25
248:21	attention 6:24	154:10 155:4	280:11,12
assume 7:18	50:2 67:4	158:7 160:22	359:25 387:22
24:4 28:14	attorney 363:9	161:17,19,24	389:5 394:1
37:3 49:18	attorneys 1:2	162:7,25	<b>b.1</b> 389:11
65:24 77:5	2:4,9,15 13:24	availability	<b>b.2</b> 389:12
98:5 153:22	387:5	136:20	<b>b.3</b> 389:13
186:2 208:7	attributable	available 44:6	<b>baby</b> 120:24
233:20 244:7	288:13,15	154:10 155:4	back 12:25
379:7	293:6	220:25 346:16	22:5 25:17
assumes 95:14	attribute 351:8	346:20 352:8	42:11 45:6
140:18 240:22	attribution	avenue 1:21	47:14 54:4
263:15	352:22 354:14	3:17	64:8,17 66:21

# [back - best]

67:19 83:21	backlash 115:8	basically 19:2	<b>beliefs</b> 341:22
129:5 134:15	backup 6:6	187:3	believe 4:21
139:6 157:9	backups 16:13	<b>basis</b> 25:23	14:17 29:3,11
164:12 165:20	backwards	26:16 28:6	29:25 42:21
173:4 176:23	190:11	91:25 92:3	48:3 51:25
176:25 181:11	<b>bad</b> 114:11	126:23 191:17	55:8,13 66:2
182:2 185:7	<b>badger</b> 304:24	214:5 225:13	85:9 96:20
189:7,17 190:1	305:14	239:2 247:15	97:21 107:15
194:21 198:8	<b>baked</b> 191:21	268:15 273:3	129:22 130:2
201:15 203:17	<b>baker</b> 2:21 3:18	282:18 318:10	132:10 141:25
207:20 209:19	<b>balance</b> 381:13	<b>bates</b> 72:4	142:6,10
210:6 218:4,6	<b>ball</b> 37:12	156:23 238:17	144:22 192:16
222:23 233:5	bandwidth	<b>bath</b> 120:23	192:16 201:25
237:24 240:16	138:21 183:5	<b>battery</b> 138:21	202:19 203:12
251:22 260:12	bargain 104:4	183:5	224:7 232:1,17
264:21 275:2	<b>based</b> 16:23	beacon 344:1	273:8 286:4
277:22 278:19	24:10,12,13,17	<b>beacons</b> 304:25	296:14 297:9
278:23 281:3	48:8 90:15,17	343:24 344:6	297:10 324:21
281:22 282:2	90:19 114:20	<b>bear</b> 57:19,19	338:3 340:9
284:3 297:5	191:2 192:2,9	83:10 335:21	346:19 378:25
299:23 300:1,4	204:9,23	beginning	believed 17:7
315:20 317:25	213:21 217:1,3	49:14 307:11	<b>bell</b> 367:2
329:5 332:14	226:23 227:2	<b>behalf</b> 1:8 9:23	belonged
333:13,14	250:25 258:4	<b>behave</b> 219:3	141:17
340:14 344:13	259:12 268:10	behaved 49:4	<b>belongs</b> 348:19
347:13 349:4	270:23 271:10	behavior 17:20	<b>belts</b> 305:18
353:4,24 362:8	335:15 381:24	18:4,6 74:16	beneficial
365:10,21	381:25	74:16 96:11,11	292:1
366:9 368:19	bases 92:4	160:20 308:23	benefit 308:1
369:21 374:17	baseview	308:24,25	<b>best</b> 13:2 82:8
377:6 378:5	129:16,20,23	323:13	114:20 126:13
381:12 383:17	131:17,18,19	belabor 242:5	126:14 195:17
385:2 386:5	132:1 311:25	belated 156:24	208:4 297:21
background	<b>basic</b> 153:22	<b>belief</b> 48:7,13	301:8 307:1,5
151:11	251:13	57:10	362:12 364:20

## [better - brown]

<b>better</b> 14:15	billing 8:2	<b>blocked</b> 167:20	<b>breach</b> 105:14
36:8 60:5	biscotti 369:16	blocks 201:23	breaches 101:4
114:22 145:7	<b>bit</b> 20:5 63:11	<b>blood</b> 106:23	103:24 104:1
185:2 197:11	70:9 80:11	391:12	114:13 236:21
198:17 209:17	118:13 129:24	<b>blown</b> 101:18	<b>break</b> 5:18
209:19	130:25 131:24	102:1	55:17 106:22
beyond 66:5	142:2 147:17	<b>blue</b> 310:23	117:1 123:18
73:19 196:1	154:16 204:22	311:4,22	135:10 142:22
221:3 262:24	207:8 244:18	<b>body</b> 239:15	142:24 143:19
bias 305:7	251:25 277:17	<b>boffa</b> 112:23	147:7 160:10
<b>bid</b> 222:24	284:5 310:9	bogged 149:5	165:20 173:6
223:2,12 293:2	319:2 330:16	boggles 60:17	232:24,25
293:6,20,21	331:5,5 364:1	<b>boies</b> 2:2 10:16	243:15 246:9
297:11,12	372:25	10:19	249:13 250:2
299:13 352:4	<b>bits</b> 131:8,8,15	<b>book</b> 305:11,13	287:24 306:2
353:1 354:21	132:5,6,11	bookkeeping	310:21 317:15
bidding 352:1	275:18 330:18	206:17	317:18 344:8
352:7 355:6,8	330:25	<b>boost</b> 220:22	361:25
355:11	<b>black</b> 2:20	<b>bot</b> 65:21,23	breakdown
<b>bids</b> 220:23	16:13 45:15	<b>bots</b> 65:19	288:20
<b>big</b> 59:10	67:22,23	<b>bottle</b> 299:24	<b>briefly</b> 279:13
104:13 114:16	124:21,24	<b>bottom</b> 45:11	387:7
126:16 147:19	125:18 189:8	100:20 147:10	<b>bring</b> 70:24
187:15 219:22	215:10 233:23	351:11 370:5	200:18
255:18 301:12	234:2 238:20	382:16	brings 22:5
323:22	240:13 247:11	<b>bought</b> 102:21	broad 71:7
<b>bigger</b> 178:8	255:20 297:25	188:22 379:18	203:5
273:12 341:13	311:13 353:20	<b>bound</b> 242:17	broader 70:1
350:18	389:7	boundary	brokering
<b>bigquery</b> 96:19	black's 6:4	156:13	274:3
96:21,23,25	162:10 248:6	<b>box</b> 166:6,11	brokers 287:6
97:13,20 98:6	311:19 353:17	200:8	287:9
<b>bill</b> 5:3	<b>blended</b> 5:13	brackets 93:22	<b>brown</b> 10:17
<b>billed</b> 7:14,16	<b>blind</b> 289:8	<b>brain</b> 238:4	10:18 48:16
		326:19	49:25 54:16

## [brown - case]

140:11 141:3,4	<b>button</b> 21:22	california 1:4	gampaigng
141:6,11	51:7 58:9	2:3,14 3:14	<b>campaigns</b> 292:11 294:13
'		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
145:21,21	122:3,5,12	28:21	294:14 296:4,5
146:8,10 176:7	171:25 172:13	call 35:11 44:4	296:6 355:12
200:18	184:20 186:1,4	86:21 112:22	366:18
<b>browser</b> 201:17	<b>buttons</b> 199:21	160:13,13	cancer 111:21
browsers	<b>buy</b> 272:6	177:4 202:3	111:23 112:4
199:23	290:11 305:12	203:18 254:20	113:3 114:5,18
browsing	305:23 319:12	282:6 336:3,6	canned 163:3
199:24 200:21	366:4 379:5,9	373:25 378:15	capabilities
200:25 201:1	380:7,13	387:14,17	145:9 154:6
343:20,23	<b>buyer</b> 274:23	<b>called</b> 4:3 20:1	287:10
<b>bruce</b> 27:8	366:5,16	55:22,23 63:23	capability
305:11	367:12	90:25 107:9	145:6 260:10
<b>bsfllp.com</b> 2:5	<b>buyers</b> 290:7	112:11,25	349:7 375:15
bucket 7:13	<b>buying</b> 383:3	116:6 133:24	capacity 170:2
<b>bucks</b> 319:20	<b>byte</b> 318:13	158:7 194:8	capital 37:2
<b>bugged</b> 225:6	c	202:7,9 241:3	capitalized
<b>build</b> 304:16	c 1:1 2:1,4 4:2	279:10 316:11	38:19
308:2 320:19	129:3 359:25	349:11 372:14	capitalizing
<b>building</b> 37:8	387:22 389:14	calling 63:24	164:3,15
<b>built</b> 107:24	ca 393:9,12,20	<b>calls</b> 77:9	car 180:8,20,22
148:11,25	cake 148:9	112:23 131:7	180:25 181:3
<b>bunch</b> 23:6	calculate 245:2	134:20 140:12	<b>cara</b> 2:19
47:5 156:19	245:5 254:21	194:12 199:23	care 112:1
187:22 197:1	267:20 268:3,4	212:21 274:14	225:10,11
207:8 235:11	268:10 270:23	282:21 382:2	314:2
<b>bundle</b> 257:24	calculated	383:1	careful 53:12
257:25	130:6 255:20	<b>camera</b> 199:9	103:25 113:25
<b>bundled</b> 289:25	calculation	campaign	carefully 65:8
burns 5:1		204:13 218:25	104:15 130:17
16:17	253:9 255:24	293:2,5 294:17	145:15 151:5
business 56:4	279:25 321:4	295:5,8,9	case 1:6 5:8,15
208:3 307:17	calculations	296:17 352:15	8:15 10:17,23
307:18	234:3,6,7 254:11	366:5	10:24 11:3,10

### [case - characterization]

11:14 12:1,12	166:16,23	<b>catch</b> 211:5	certify 206:7
12:15,21 13:6	167:3 168:1	categorical	391:5,10
13:16,21 14:25	171:2 177:24	19:10 28:9	<b>chairs</b> 111:19
17:5,7 18:20	182:4,24	categories	challenges
19:22,24 21:24	185:23 194:10	338:16	110:2
23:6 29:1,10	194:19 196:16	category 193:5	chance 72:1
30:23 31:3,20	198:22 199:1	cause 211:4	121:8 125:19
34:4 35:13	206:15 211:11	258:8	190:3 242:25
39:2,20 48:10	213:7,13	causes 298:20	281:5 302:24
48:15,16 49:2	214:21 221:12	364:25	302:25 338:21
49:18,25 50:1	224:14 228:21	caveat 150:13	381:14
50:3,6,7,8,11	231:3,8 236:18	<b>ccp</b> 393:9,12	<b>change</b> 32:1,19
51:14 52:10	252:6 255:3	cell 3:8 75:25	34:19,22 64:4
53:22 54:6,12	262:5 264:16	cent 382:22	74:21 107:10
54:16,22 55:21	286:16 287:1	centers 279:22	130:22 143:14
57:16 59:9,10	287:13 288:12	320:19	151:9 177:11
60:13,16,25	294:13 307:3	ceo's 21:21	177:14,22
78:23 83:9,25	311:4 315:22	certain 31:9	178:12 181:16
86:24 89:21	327:19 328:3	32:20,21 46:23	181:19 317:13
105:19,25	332:12,19	101:21 108:2,3	380:21 392:4
106:9 114:2	333:9,25	108:4 110:8	395:4,7,10,13
123:9 124:15	334:16 335:5	158:23 191:21	395:16,19
125:13,25	335:16,24	208:11,22	changed 63:22
127:5,20	336:24 353:7	222:8 229:17	285:21 297:18
129:17 130:8	363:23 364:16	250:15 315:17	300:14 365:4
133:13 134:18	365:19 378:20	355:10 356:18	changes 107:9
135:13,15	384:15 392:2	374:3	107:10 129:9
139:13 140:20	cases 45:3 49:6	certainly 49:1	177:2 285:24
141:6,8,12	49:6 54:20	74:10 193:4	changing
143:7 145:10	55:3,5,7 207:9	314:19 321:20	291:16
146:18 147:14	340:12	certification	characteristics
148:14 149:6	cat 287:5	391:1	114:21
151:22 154:21	cataldo 312:13	certified	characterizati
158:2 159:15	catalog 230:5	205:11,23	153:1
162:3 163:19		206:10	

## [characterize - click]

characterize	choices 94:25	<b>claims</b> 35:14	336:17 353:6
8:14	342:5	clarification	365:20 368:17
characterizes	choose 73:6	145:19 146:15	370:8
36:22 64:12	116:21 159:7	194:18 386:11	classifies
81:24	261:3 264:18	clarified 74:8	229:23
<b>charge</b> 205:16	298:6	clarifies 173:22	<b>clean</b> 150:16
219:12 262:10	choosing 88:25	<b>clarify</b> 38:10	206:23 291:1
charging	158:15,18	42:9 155:10	clear 6:25
209:23 219:16	<b>chosen</b> 116:5	167:24 276:25	18:17 38:14
220:6	<b>chrome</b> 199:23	282:3 294:11	39:7,9 42:2,21
<b>cheap</b> 319:23	circulating	366:3 374:18	43:7 51:19
320:1	106:23	clarifying	74:9 83:2
<b>check</b> 6:14	circumstance	45:16 192:11	84:15 85:10
100:14,15	282:16 305:16	265:10 283:23	87:5 92:7
108:20 135:4	309:8	337:15	94:20 104:23
157:18 166:4,6	circumstances	clarity 84:19	105:20 120:14
257:24 277:18	141:13 286:18	89:5 377:10	126:15,21
277:20 278:6	citations 27:21	<b>class</b> 4:21	136:2 137:15
282:18 284:14	cite 28:5 43:4	81:11 133:9	163:17 174:22
285:1,14,21	91:23 147:4	170:23 252:7	176:12 211:23
286:9 294:19	288:15 311:10	252:11,13,13	239:19 242:6
306:1 313:6	312:11,13	252:14,15,23	243:19 249:19
322:19,19,20	<b>cited</b> 24:15	252:23 267:15	277:3,10 306:9
338:21 373:17	26:17 27:17	268:13 270:25	312:18 328:11
checked 65:8	145:13 156:9	271:7 272:2,3	336:10,20
358:23	156:11,19,21	272:4,16,21	356:9 360:12
checking 6:12	157:2,7 221:17	275:8,8 311:17	361:4,13,20
16:18	258:5 313:17	324:1,10,13	365:18 373:4
<b>checks</b> 283:11	318:8	326:5,6 327:9	377:8 378:25
283:21	<b>cites</b> 153:4,17	327:14 328:8	clearest 44:22
<b>chew</b> 183:4	<b>citing</b> 28:11	328:15 329:17	<b>clearly</b> 130:21
<b>chewing</b> 138:20	147:3	331:18 332:18	148:5
<b>child's</b> 230:9	<b>civil</b> 393:19,20	333:10 334:2	<b>click</b> 350:23
<b>choice</b> 159:12	claiming	334:11 335:3	352:2 367:11
235:18 377:19	328:13	335:24 336:15	382:9

## [clicked - coming]

clicked 381:9	393:9,12,19,20	170:25 177:3,5	combined 71:1
clicks 368:5	coding 7:5	277:4,6 308:20	141:23 324:11
369:25 371:17	coexist 207:19	370:9	combining
381:6 383:11	<b>cold</b> 319:22	collection	76:11
<b>client</b> 14:20	collapses	48:23 74:14	come 12:16,20
197:9 306:21	137:12	108:3 109:22	23:15 32:24
308:10 327:24	collect 58:24	120:19 138:17	42:10 54:4
328:2	208:22 209:21	154:13 155:25	61:1 62:19
clients 34:6	262:12 335:19	170:11 171:9	74:11 83:21
62:11 298:9	collected 61:14	172:14 210:14	85:19 126:1
301:17,21	92:11 93:7	241:16 368:4	130:12 134:15
303:20	112:6 115:25	collectively	139:6 160:7
<b>cling</b> 147:21	120:18 130:1	40:3	165:20 210:6
<b>clock</b> 226:1	138:14,16	collects 36:21	218:5 222:5
305:25	139:1 158:7	40:4 46:10	224:12 226:21
<b>close</b> 66:12	160:22 161:17	58:11 64:10	228:11 229:17
169:12	161:19 162:7	81:23 93:20,21	239:17 240:16
<b>closed</b> 358:10	173:13 179:3	121:19 139:2	282:10 303:7
closing 170:5	190:15 194:11	187:14 276:21	309:14 317:2
359:4	207:11 221:20	353:14	341:17 343:21
<b>cloud</b> 319:18	232:16 251:14	college 111:18	358:14 360:20
319:25 321:11	267:6 271:13	colloquy 363:8	369:21 380:5
322:1,3,24	271:14 277:10	colorful 211:15	<b>comes</b> 14:25
323:16,19,22	277:11 312:9	211:17,23	50:5 88:22
cloudflare 97:6	313:7 329:20	<b>column</b> 85:17	105:16 118:18
97:10	336:5,14	85:19 370:25	250:14 363:5
<b>club</b> 37:7,9	337:12 344:22	371:1 374:9	381:5
45:3	353:9	<b>columns</b> 369:5	comfortable
clue 99:25	collecting 40:8	369:5,6 370:13	66:9
clustering	44:2,9 60:19	<b>comb</b> 358:24	<b>coming</b> 12:25
245:11	70:5,13 79:21	combination	14:3 64:8
coarsened	80:5 88:8,17	114:8	92:10 305:12
232:15,18	108:10 110:6	combine	320:2 351:5
<b>code</b> 8:7 150:7	111:8 122:16	231:19 364:13	372:5
150:21 225:2	122:17 153:5		

### [comment - concerns]

comment 17:23	companion	completed	computational
20:17 145:1	369:11,14	393:7,17 394:6	244:25
163:7 164:20	company 97:2	completely	computationa
311:21	291:11 304:17	15:1 44:25	245:9
commented	compare	65:2 109:4	computer 68:1
121:4 131:10	189:11	175:6 196:19	72:22 125:14
commenting	compared	236:9 267:2	168:13 197:2,2
21:3 239:24	323:20	298:13 310:18	225:2 239:6,10
comments 21:5	compares	314:8	315:9
21:9,18 22:12	74:24	completion	computers
24:16 238:7	comparing	394:10	239:11
241:9	20:11 127:6,21	complex	computing
commerce	176:11	164:23	118:2 225:17
274:20	comparison	complexity	282:11 321:11
commercial	127:25	244:25	conceivable
112:24	compartment	compliance	65:18 250:16
commission	254:15	162:16 163:18	concept 54:25
392:24	competitor	166:24 168:15	118:15 199:19
commitments	380:5	206:18	366:4
115:5 178:5	competitors	compliant	conception
committed	125:4 126:25	164:25 165:9	200:23
28:19	127:3,8,23	complicated	concepts 347:8
committee	compiling	351:24 360:7	concern 38:10
111:20,25	226:10	compliments	101:23,25
commodity	complain	7:1	242:19
271:23	143:18 306:10	<b>comply</b> 114:7	concerned
<b>common</b> 14:24	complaint 23:4	197:24 205:9	102:19
136:8,9,11	49:9 50:11	compound	concerning
245:13 350:18	51:22,23 52:14	26:24 197:18	34:15 107:9
commonly	57:16 58:8	comprises	188:14
168:12 272:15	59:5 60:16	213:13	concerns
352:13	61:9	compromise	105:13 114:9
companies	complete 13:2	115:1	115:18 161:20
125:10 291:8	29:17 105:8	compromised	198:6 214:17
		101:6	234:20 236:11

## [concerns - contain]

298:14 341:12	confirm 98:2	<b>consent</b> 257:24	considers 48:3
341:13	100:14 288:19		
		277:18,20,22	91:7,8
conclude	289:8 324:18	278:6,9 281:21	<b>consistent</b> 41:1
171:25 298:21	confirmed	282:18 283:11	75:12 79:8,16
299:25	102:15 249:5	283:21 284:14	122:12 173:24
concluded	conflating	285:20	241:1 278:2,10
167:17	290:3	consented	<b>console</b> 63:11
concludes	conflict 116:18	239:1 241:23	96:15
388:5	conflicting	257:22,22	constellation
conclusion	208:1	322:3	133:23
13:18 23:16	conflicts 57:23	consents 285:1	constituency
24:2 25:23	confused 20:22	285:14 286:9	208:5
74:11 78:17	20:24 75:22	consequence	constitute
88:3 154:24	367:18	342:12	94:18 98:18
167:13 213:9	confusing 43:1	consequences	constitutes
213:20,21	43:11,24	267:24	110:15
258:4 298:25	confusion	conservative	constitution
300:20	20:20 39:4,10	223:10	28:21
conclusions	congress 21:1,9	consider 18:19	constructs
12:15,20 14:3	21:21 206:1,5	19:14 95:6	145:3
216:8 229:17	connect 63:5	102:4 181:14	consultants
<b>concur</b> 7:19	200:15 250:18	191:25	7:11
conduct 143:4	316:8 368:3	considerable	consultations
206:25 240:20	connected 8:1	112:17	302:2
240:21	375:17	consideration	consumer
conducted	connecting	22:19,23 53:13	17:15,19 18:4
215:18	106:7	54:13	18:6,20 19:14
<b>confess</b> 354:18	connection	considered	19:18,19 26:16
confident 146:7	21:20 136:6	46:16 60:24	71:6 90:9
configuration	172:20	61:1 66:8	consumers 17:7
193:10	connects 93:10	181:22 235:16	17:19 18:12
configured	<b>cons</b> 303:12	323:2	contact 393:9
158:22	consensus	considering	contain 97:23
configuring	258:13	34:21	144:3 147:16
165:22			232:11 257:13

## [contain - convert]

330:24 331:4	continuing	179:13,17	295:10 296:12
335:7	289:17	196:7,9,14	296:20,24
contains	contraband	197:25 201:8	298:16 316:14
275:17 330:15	336:4	203:5,6 217:13	351:2,9,9
content 193:18	<b>contract</b> 301:4	286:17 365:1	352:4,9 355:3
204:10 230:21	contradict	controlled 38:6	355:4 366:21
230:23 241:20	87:19	132:10	368:3 371:24
contents 12:5	contradiction	controller	372:5 380:12
135:1,3 326:24	59:15,16,18	118:16 119:4	380:14,16,25
context 41:11	60:2	controls 20:23	381:10 382:5,8
41:13 42:4	contradictions	44:8 49:3	383:24 384:2
43:25 46:5,19	225:15,15	71:23 72:10,13	384:11
95:20 112:21	contrary	75:5 87:13	conversions
141:1 193:23	251:15	144:11 147:5	218:23 219:22
193:24 200:25	contribute	178:11,14,15	220:2 223:20
204:17,19	304:1	190:14 322:7	248:23 249:7
206:15 249:3	control 20:2,16	389:9	260:25 261:9
271:20	20:25 21:10	controls.pdf.	261:11 262:6
contexts 40:22	23:13,14,16,22	71:24	262:11,19
40:24	24:2,3,24	conversation	263:4 264:2,3
contextual	25:20,24 26:11	29:6 30:12,17	264:17,18
203:19,24	26:13,20 39:13	30:19	289:13,14
204:1,5,7	39:15 44:1,7	conversations	291:4,5,12
205:2 210:8	49:20 50:5,19	3:7 29:8,13	292:11 293:3,8
continue	51:15 52:18	30:2,7,8	293:20 299:13
110:24 111:9	53:4,8 59:24	conversion	299:20 300:9
111:10 117:20	60:11,12 61:18	219:9,19,21	302:14 350:4,5
187:5 290:11	74:12,14 76:21	220:8 221:4,8	350:9,11,15,21
301:5 323:5	77:17 88:14	221:9,13 226:3	351:4,5,13
340:15 342:14	89:9 91:1	256:17,19	352:13,16
366:23	92:23 122:14	260:12,13,19	354:24 366:17
continued	132:14 144:1	260:20 261:25	367:14 369:25
129:6	144:19 146:19	262:1 263:6	382:5,7 383:15
continues	170:11,25	264:9 293:6,21	<b>convert</b> 220:19
335:19	171:5 178:6	294:8,17	220:24 221:15

## [convert - creates]

222:16 381:7	394:3,4	346:13 347:14	250:20 253:22
382:10	corrective	348:22 371:10	317:6 321:12
converter	35:12 224:9	389:21 393:18	365:2 375:13
223:1	correctly	393:21 394:7	376:19
converting	256:10	<b>count</b> 52:6	<b>court</b> 1:3 3:14
221:5 223:18	correlate	218:23 256:9	3:19,24 77:21
302:11 352:15	141:24	304:6 373:12	154:25 196:11
converts 372:8	correlates	385:13	196:12 302:19
<b>cookie</b> 63:18	179:4	<b>counted</b> 215:23	331:22 336:11
<b>copied</b> 25:10,12	correlation	counterexam	340:17,20,21
<b>copies</b> 63:16	305:21	305:10	341:20
230:4	correspond	counterfactual	courtesy 33:21
<b>copy</b> 11:6	85:18	302:16	<b>cover</b> 39:24
241:23 345:6	corresponden	counterintuiti	70:2 101:18
<b>core</b> 346:9	156:15,18	44:13 74:15,18	102:1 217:13
correct 15:18	175:2,7,10	92:15	covered 10:9
16:25 76:10	corresponding	counterpart	27:9 150:3
160:19 194:24	370:25	369:16,18	172:7 212:1
217:18 225:7	corresponds	countries	262:17 284:5
243:24 244:17	67:22 336:22	115:17	289:21
290:6 304:16	367:4	<b>counts</b> 85:23	<b>covers</b> 292:20
345:2,9,19	corroborates	couple 41:14	<b>cpa</b> 355:1
346:17,22	313:8	45:15 66:13	380:17 383:5
349:12,21	<b>cost</b> 279:18	91:3 113:6	<b>cpm</b> 382:18
350:6 351:11	280:2,3,9	141:2 170:17	<b>cpms</b> 383:7
353:10,18	281:17 282:1,3	175:12 206:10	<b>crafting</b> 91:16
376:23 379:1	282:11 307:24	211:7 213:3,25	crawling 230:3
379:19,24	318:6 320:4,9	220:10,11	<b>crawls</b> 229:21
380:8 382:25	341:9 380:17	239:5 368:19	<b>create</b> 134:19
383:15 386:22	<b>costs</b> 223:14	course 37:8	188:11,12
correcting	319:11,25	84:7 130:10	376:5
15:15	<b>council</b> 205:11	162:25 167:23	created 153:4
correction 16:1	206:18	202:16 204:24	158:4 215:20
corrections	counsel 9:1	215:14 239:25	creates 116:18
6:21 393:14,15	72:3 339:19	240:1,20,21	116:19 208:2

## [creating - data]

creating 103:6	customer 62:8	58:24 60:19,23	115:14,24
103:8	308:2 309:14	61:14 62:8,15	116:7,9,10
creation 88:13	309:16 352:21	64:9,11,13,20	117:12,13,22
<b>credit</b> 52:24,25	354:14	64:21 65:16,16	118:4,6,15,15
382:11,14,16	customers	65:20 66:2,2	118:19,21,22
<b>cricket</b> 327:23	126:9 307:18	70:2,4,6,7,12	119:2,3,4,5,19
<b>crime</b> 274:21	307:20,23	70:14 73:3,7	120:5,13,18
criminals	customizable	74:3,4,6,15	121:19,21
274:20	157:23 158:9	75:1 79:8,8,20	122:1,17
critical 77:15	customization	79:20,22 80:3	129:17,21,23
criticizing	158:13	80:5,10,14,18	130:4,7,9,15
124:24	customize	80:19,22,23	131:5,6,9,15
<b>cross</b> 387:6	159:25	81:11,13,23,24	132:22 133:5
<b>crossed</b> 38:8,24	customized	82:4,15,24	134:12,13,18
39:1	157:11 159:6	83:8,13 84:1	136:7,10
<b>crypto</b> 250:3	160:15	86:9,18,19	137:20,25
cryptographic	<b>cutting</b> 175:25	87:2,4,14,20,25	138:1,5,6,9,24
145:3	<b>cv</b> 1:6	88:2,16 89:8	139:1,12
cryptography	d	92:10,11 95:22	140:13 141:10
118:1	<b>d</b> 1:1 85:18	96:3,6,10,19,22	141:11,24
curiosity 196:2	387:22 389:1	96:23 97:20,22	143:7,8 144:13
curious 21:19	<b>d38</b> 75:25 86:5	98:8 99:2,5,6,9	146:20 147:13
196:4 223:7	86:6,24 87:3	99:11,13,17	147:19 148:12
<b>current</b> 126:12	87:10	101:4,21 102:2	149:1 153:6
193:22,24	da 389:15	102:2,14,19	154:13 155:24
196:17	damages 28:25	103:4,20,22,24	160:4 163:1,8
currently 4:19	29:2,3,8 31:19	104:7,9 105:5	166:7,8 167:9
362:13	data 36:20,22	105:13,14,17	170:12,20
custodians	38:2,3 40:3,6,8	106:5 107:13	171:1 172:14
112:3	44:3,10,11	107:25 108:4	173:15,17
<b>custom</b> 157:21	46:9 47:5 48:5	108:23 109:22	174:4,14
158:3,19,23	48:23 53:9,15	110:6,12 111:7	175:13,17,17
159:14 224:17	53:17,19,20	112:5,9 114:12	175:18,18
224:22 227:6	54:1,2,7,23,24	114:13,22,23	178:19 179:3,7
227:18 260:21	57:5 58:11,15	114:24,25	179:19,21

## [data - decided]

180:2,3 181:11	253:10,13,19	328:14 329:16	<b>date</b> 55:11,13
184:7 185:7	253:23,24	329:19,21	55:17 76:4,5
186:18 187:18	254:9,18,23	330:6,16	155:15 236:13
187:22 188:5	256:3,19	331:19,20,21	333:8 392:3
190:15,18	258:21 259:16	332:13 333:23	393:16 394:5
194:11,14,15	263:2,11 264:6	334:1,7,10,11	395:24
194:23 196:15	264:6,12 267:6	335:2,19,23	<b>dates</b> 312:3
196:15,18	267:7,8,9,11	336:1,2,3,4,4	<b>day</b> 42:11
197:13,15,20	268:11,11	336:15,17,21	326:15,25
198:2,20 199:2	270:23,24	336:22,23,25	332:12 333:12
203:8 205:8,18	271:13,14,17	337:8 338:1,5	333:25 334:16
207:11 208:22	271:23,25	339:9,15	336:11 343:1
209:22 211:3	272:1,6,10,11	342:13,16	346:5 355:25
212:4 213:6,13	272:12,20,23	343:16 347:18	362:25 363:24
216:1,14,22	273:8,11,12	347:22 348:7	372:2 388:13
217:14,16	274:1,3,9,13,19	350:24,24	391:15 392:21
218:3 221:19	274:22 275:7,9	353:9,14,21	daylight 3:3
221:20,21	277:20 278:7	355:4 364:6,9	days 29:21
222:10 223:22	278:10 279:2	364:10,13,14	296:8 332:8,13
224:4 227:2	279:14,17,22	364:17,24,25	332:14 334:1
232:3,11,14	282:21,22,24	368:5,6,7	380:20,23
235:7,7,11,24	282:25 283:1	370:10 371:17	381:6
236:4,6,7,14,20	287:6,9 303:25	371:23 372:20	<b>de</b> 320:12
236:21 237:6,7	304:14 305:8	373:9,22 374:6	<b>deal</b> 303:6
237:13,15,19	306:23 308:20	374:15,16	362:15
239:13 241:4	308:21 309:12	375:16 376:24	<b>dealt</b> 323:11
241:16,18,20	309:25 311:25	381:25 386:4,4	decade 58:6
241:23,25	312:8 313:18	database 112:4	60:15
242:1,12,14,15	318:18,23	112:7 113:3	december
242:17 244:12	320:19 321:2	114:6 180:13	68:13
244:25 245:2,3	321:22 323:17	dataset 274:25	decide 109:2
245:12 248:22	324:2 325:1,4	datasets 100:23	111:5,5 340:21
250:8,11,12,15	325:6,9,12,14	101:2,5,8	decided 206:6
250:19,25	325:19,22,25	244:3 255:22	325:8
251:9,14	327:23 328:9,9		

# [decides - deposition]

decides 111:3,3	defending 9:3	85:1,8,9,16,23	delivered
deciding 22:20	<b>defer</b> 340:20	86:5,7,13,14	226:12
decipher	<b>defies</b> 60:17	87:2,3,5,11	<b>delivery</b> 205:19
224:16 228:13	define 84:2	88:21,22 89:1	206:20 262:8
deciphering	347:15 348:3	89:6,14,17,22	<b>delve</b> 122:7
226:22	<b>defined</b> 83:1	91:16,25 92:8	132:20
<b>decision</b> 266:21	194:21 363:13	93:1,12,13	demographic
266:24 267:1	363:14	94:2,3 119:15	216:19
271:7 307:17	defines 36:3	145:22 146:3	demonstrating
341:20 342:14	68:16 192:7	179:8 194:2	375:15
decisions	defining 45:1	252:14 363:16	dense 256:24
226:23	384:4,12	375:9 381:25	<b>depend</b> 46:5
declined	definitely 60:4	definitions	302:22
314:12	320:7	40:21,23 42:24	dependent
declines 264:12	definition 37:4	68:4,7,11	46:19 291:17
decode 228:1	38:21 39:23,24	72:25 86:8	depending 40:6
<b>decrypt</b> 376:21	40:14,20 42:2	119:12 212:20	112:21 260:15
decryption	42:13,14,20,21	381:4	261:2 265:15
249:21 250:18	43:3,18,20	<b>degree</b> 158:10	275:7 291:17
decrypts	44:23 45:7,24	169:14 200:5	<b>depends</b> 102:19
278:17,22	47:23 64:8,13	232:14 245:25	273:21 309:19
deduction	64:19 65:13,13	254:8 260:24	310:16 334:14
259:12	67:3 68:18,19	320:8	deployed 150:8
<b>deep</b> 54:15	69:1,15,15,25	degrees 200:5	<b>deploys</b> 214:13
208:14 296:12	70:15 71:1,2	<b>delete</b> 32:21	deposed 4:10
<b>deeper</b> 225:13	73:11,23 74:19	69:9 71:13	deposition 1:18
377:5	74:23,24 75:7	107:22 108:4	3:10,15 8:23
default 17:24	75:11,12,24	130:9,11	9:4 10:12
40:1,18,19	76:15 77:1,4,5	148:10 177:4	52:12 85:14
151:17 160:19	77:8,24 78:1,3	222:3,4 329:21	311:11 312:11
defeat 178:7	78:10,15,16,18	deleted 60:20	344:19 358:18
<b>defend</b> 10:12	79:1,6,9 81:18	61:15,17	359:9 360:11
defendant 1:14	81:22 82:10	130:11 199:12	379:1 392:3
1:19 2:15	83:3,10 84:8,9	318:24 326:5	393:19,22,24
	84:13,14,20	327:14 328:14	394:8,10

# [deprived - device]

deprived	designation	207:14 208:9	developers
314:11	268:22,25	deteriorating	109:18 120:1
<b>depth</b> 31:8	269:3,10 270:5	138:22	149:21,21
122:8	designed 27:14	determinative	151:16,22
describe 13:10	112:15,19	198:22,24,25	152:10,19
32:22 158:12	116:2 229:18	determine	154:22 155:12
177:23 180:1	279:6 282:20	23:20 169:14	156:18 157:4
181:19 280:15	desimone 1:22	215:20 225:21	157:12 160:4
280:17 330:5	3:20 391:3,18	228:15 249:22	162:12 163:20
described 41:8	desirable	254:8 307:25	164:4,16 166:6
107:11 110:14	183:13	331:23 359:1	166:20 167:5
177:12	<b>desire</b> 305:21	determined	168:24 169:14
description	<b>destroy</b> 329:21	130:5 393:18	182:2,7,19
11:9 39:13,15	destroyed	393:22 394:7	289:11 291:2
49:19 52:20	336:18	determines	296:11 298:4
53:4 57:1,3	destruction	111:20	299:17 307:14
70:22 122:3	37:10	deterministic	310:3
178:13,16	detail 6:13	244:13	developing
201:11 211:20	140:5 180:17	develop 34:14	97:4
211:21 340:1	240:11 251:8	49:10 144:18	development
389:6	286:12 317:3	developed 18:3	219:2
descriptions	detailed 31:8	113:2,9 114:5	deviate 210:9
50:13	209:9 256:24	developer	255:24
<b>deserve</b> 266:25	267:10 273:15	109:13 123:11	deviating
design 109:5	273:16 275:9	123:24 150:20	339:25
162:4 195:24	280:13 282:15	152:14 153:25	deviation
197:4 279:8	details 145:12	157:24 165:7	261:20
designate 387:4	273:23 286:13	171:7 183:7	<b>device</b> 63:12
387:6	309:19 310:5	184:14 186:16	66:3 97:23
designated	<b>detect</b> 65:21	204:6 216:20	98:6,23 99:6,7
73:19 169:7	109:1 207:2	217:1,3,4	99:20,24 100:9
266:8 269:7	detecting	263:11 295:7	100:22 101:2
designates	207:12	379:14	101:16 102:10
269:16,22	detection	developer's	102:20,22,25
	205:12,13,14	56:22 224:16	102:25 106:7

## [device - disclaimed]

			I
108:21 109:11	difference 79:7	198:16 200:4	359:20
110:13 134:21	123:10 124:1,8	201:13 202:8	<b>dig</b> 374:19
135:17 138:20	195:3 197:13	203:3 215:11	<b>dinner</b> 287:24
138:23 141:18	197:19 219:5	220:11,11	direct 60:8
161:9,10,12	320:12 351:10	223:24 239:8	249:16 295:15
173:16,20	363:12 378:10	247:15 248:10	directed 8:3
174:2,7,8,10,13	382:15 384:15	250:13 260:18	directions
180:5,7,8	different 9:24	261:14 262:1	390:1
183:24,25	15:9 23:24	274:1 283:6	directly 7:16
184:17 185:1	27:1 39:17	288:5 293:3	236:2
186:11 187:2	40:6,9,21,21,23	296:4 297:20	directory 301:6
193:18 195:11	40:23 42:17	297:20 298:18	disable 304:24
201:22,23	43:8 44:16	298:19 309:20	322:24
202:20,25	45:25 47:22	310:19 311:16	disabling
203:4 207:5,10	51:12 52:6	311:16 312:2	202:20
218:10 232:16	54:18 62:5,21	325:22 334:19	disabuse 76:16
236:5 244:1,2	63:20,20 65:6	334:25 347:11	disadvantage
260:15 264:19	70:8 71:3	348:2,4,24	327:25
273:20 313:15	73:15 75:13	350:13 351:17	disagree 53:23
313:16 314:12	77:7 78:2	361:18 375:4,7	68:24 85:3
316:15 322:4	79:16 84:9,21	376:3 379:7	99:15 232:13
376:6,17 386:4	84:21,23 85:19	381:22 382:7	269:1 342:19
<b>device's</b> 264:17	86:12 100:23	differentially	disagreement
devices 99:21	103:4 116:9	266:17	68:24 184:5
99:23 174:3,4	123:15,19,22	differently 46:3	295:4
201:21,22	125:2,2 136:3	62:4 118:13	disappear
202:21 244:5,8	139:8 141:12	177:13,23	298:3 302:17
244:9 247:13	141:12 145:21	237:14,20	disappearing
253:25 278:1	165:8 175:12	314:25	303:1
312:17 373:24	179:21,23	differs 42:14	disaster 308:13
<b>devolve</b> 203:25	180:3 183:15	86:6	discarded
dichotomy 54:2	188:2,4,5,11,12	difficult 116:20	197:14
131:24 197:8	188:19 192:15	121:6 207:22	disclaim 82:11
<b>differ</b> 69:15	195:2,24	245:10 279:15	disclaimed
86:10	196:20 198:6	306:19 359:8	383:19

### [disclose - documented]

disclose 168:25	discussing	disruptive	67:9,11 73:17
disclosed 27:22	81:19 104:10	307:8	77:16 78:11
80:13,15 170:3	177:2	dissident 100:2	80:8 81:5
171:23	discussion	dissidents	91:19,20
discloses 171:7	29:17 34:5	115:12	108:14 109:23
disclosure	67:15 189:24	distinction	137:18 142:18
70:24 71:17	234:1 371:5	136:17 190:25	153:8,9,17,18
75:5 80:25	<b>display</b> 195:16	191:8,14	157:1 175:20
87:12 247:21	195:23 204:11	192:22 202:18	189:19 192:19
270:2	289:18 290:11	202:23 363:22	194:6 210:23
disclosures	291:12 350:19	distinguish	237:2 238:11
91:6 169:6	350:23 351:7	268:7 361:14	238:14,17,20
177:13 255:9	351:20,25	distinguished	238:22 241:7
255:12	352:20 354:13	363:10	241:10 242:23
discontinue	366:2,9 367:6	distracted	249:2,11
340:24	367:8 379:5,13	368:12	259:10 269:16
discover 15:22	380:8,15	distraction	269:22,25
173:12	381:18,20	371:14	281:14 283:16
discovered	383:8	distributed	288:16,22,25
16:3	displayed	258:13	289:3,6,7,9
discovery	383:9,11	distribution	321:13,15,17
149:6 217:10	displays 41:7	206:7 253:20	321:20 337:19
228:23 234:16	46:8	253:21 254:1,4	358:17 372:3
236:17,17	dispute 22:21	261:8	372:24 374:22
249:5 312:25	23:2,7,10,21	district 1:3,4	374:25 389:8
327:22 328:7	58:1 130:8	3:13,14	documentation
335:11	199:4 214:6	<b>divide</b> 282:24	156:8,11,15
discuss 45:9	234:12,14	division 1:5	157:3 294:25
97:14 110:17	239:2 247:16	<b>dmv's</b> 180:13	295:16 297:1
147:5 328:6	249:9 251:2	<b>docket</b> 327:17	documented
discussed 67:5	282:19	<b>docs</b> 320:24	12:24 98:2
139:14 240:19	disputing	<b>doctor</b> 111:23	130:16 133:18
325:16 347:13	194:19 203:16	111:24	134:23 135:21
350:9	215:22 234:21	document 33:5	137:17 139:21
		33:12 36:16	142:15 143:11

## [documented - effect]

143:13 146:25	232:3,5 242:7	191:8 213:20	256:5 288:18
147:22 148:4	245:1 246:2	216:7,8 298:24	292:3 299:10
151:4 165:14	271:3 274:21	300:20	346:2 387:8
166:2 214:20	291:23 301:23	<b>drawn</b> 192:23	389:1,5 393:9
214:22 215:6	307:16,22	<b>drive</b> 309:15	393:12 394:1
218:16 219:6	320:5,7 341:7	366:18	395:3,3,3
243:8 253:17	364:19	<b>driven</b> 342:2	earlier 10:5
263:22 265:3	<b>dollars</b> 382:22	<b>drop</b> 207:13	23:25 68:16
284:19,22	dominant	208:7,8	82:10 194:8
308:16 312:20	303:19	dropbox	212:9 218:8
313:2 342:25	<b>door</b> 141:7	319:19	233:8 234:15
352:5	200:6	<b>dry</b> 211:19	277:17
documenting	dossier 88:9	302:23	early 49:19,24
226:15	194:8,11 195:4	<b>dsid</b> 248:23	153:14 196:24
documents	223:19	249:6,17,20,22	292:25
23:6 26:17	<b>double</b> 77:12	251:3 277:1,6	easier 77:21
27:16 46:13	294:19 322:19	277:21	121:15 127:16
68:23 75:17	<b>doubt</b> 256:13	<b>due</b> 102:2	212:24
88:13 90:17	380:23	126:19	eastern 3:3
91:11,12,14,23	download	<b>duly</b> 4:4 391:7	easy 163:2
154:11 156:20	195:21 373:2	dumping 58:15	232:8
156:23 164:21	downstream	89:8	economic
196:23 221:18	118:10 120:21	duplicate	300:16
240:11 241:1	<b>dozen</b> 373:8,9	114:23	<b>edit</b> 69:9 71:13
241:12 288:11	<b>dr</b> 112:23	e	eduardo 2:15
288:19 321:8	162:10 215:10	e 1:1 2:1,1 69:5	67:6 106:22
335:17 372:19	234:2 238:20	101:14 106:8	123:14 169:19
384:19	247:11 248:6	129:1,1 159:13	246:8 248:1
<b>doing</b> 25:8 47:4	255:20 297:25	160:1,16,17,23	284:4 313:20
64:2 66:3	311:13,19	161:25 162:6	317:15 393:1
101:9 114:25	<b>draft</b> 248:18	163:1,4,5,10,21	education
120:10 142:4	dramatic 102:8	164:5,17	27:18
163:14 175:22	drastic 267:23	165:10 167:7	<b>effect</b> 105:25
181:21 216:9	<b>draw</b> 78:17	174:17 233:9	108:17 130:3
225:4 231:4	154:23 167:12	234:4 255:22	211:21 221:3
		251,1255,22	

## [effect - errors]

224:20 248:21	enables 262:12	206.6 16	ontinols: 122.12
		296:6,16 297:10 350:14	<b>entirely</b> 122:12 309:25
321:9	<b>encoded</b> 246:21		
effective 66:24	246:23	engagements	entirety 244:11
effectuate	encodes 132:10	4:17 10:19	entities 302:5,8
205:2 207:5	encourage	11:3	<b>entitled</b> 169:23
efficiency	126:10	<b>engineer</b> 110:3	389:8
245:9	encrypt 277:21	110:4,21,22	entity 112:24
efficient 319:2	376:20	118:8 238:10	188:23
effort 112:17	encrypted	239:23	entries 129:25
<b>efforts</b> 167:3	247:14 248:9	engineer's	139:18 141:15
168:2 372:19	248:12,13	238:7	141:15 142:7
<b>eight</b> 327:11	249:18,18,20	engineerable	144:20 216:14
330:10,10	277:6,11,13	110:20	244:16 247:12
either 13:8	376:17	engineering	338:22 376:3
55:21 109:2	encrypting	183:12 282:15	376:17 377:4
174:3 179:11	247:19	enhance 222:24	equal 266:25
225:12 266:3	encryption	223:2 226:12	equally 86:20
294:22 304:16	118:3 249:24	enhanced	380:7
321:18 327:15	250:9 251:3	222:22	equivalent
344:25 345:17	endeavor 15:18	enhancement	124:25 125:1
elements	244:22	222:25	277:12
192:21 243:23	endeavoring	enhances	<b>era</b> 206:9
eliminate 104:9	62:7	223:11	297:23,24
eliminating	<b>ended</b> 63:24	enlighten	<b>erode</b> 301:9
114:23	<b>ends</b> 208:14	368:13	errata 392:1
else's 43:15	<b>enforce</b> 167:4,4	enlightening	393:14,16
106:2	167:11 168:2,3	190:7	394:3,5
<b>embed</b> 152:10	enforcement	<b>ensure</b> 107:11	erroneously
employees	168:9 250:20	153:5	162:21
45:19,24 46:12	250:21	entangled	<b>error</b> 16:6,9,11
241:3 323:8	engaged 48:15	137:3	304:19,21
<b>enable</b> 165:24	48:16 364:16	entanglement	319:9
166:7,7 223:4	engagement	137:2	errors 6:16
enabled 109:22	4:23 10:1	entire 83:17	191:21
151:17 263:11	11:11 260:16	360:11	

### [esantacana - exfiltrates]

esantacana         184:9,10,18         263:16 284:13         158:19 184:9           2:16 393:2         185:1 218:8,11         263:16 284:13         158:19 184:9           especially         6:12         226:2,3 227:6         evil 365:5         218:19 227:5           204:19 239:11         275:19 351:9         evolution         243:2 267:4         302:19 304:23           319:22         368:4 371:24         evolving 63:9         305:10 348:13         350:22 365:13           essentially         9:22         events         157:21         ex 376:24         367:7 372:10           essentially         9:22         events         157:21         ex 376:24         367:7 372:10           essentially         9:22         events         157:21         exact 29:15         379:24 380:16         examples         35:17 148:24           150:6,8,21         16:17,19,24         228:18 329:15         379:24 380:16         exactly         57:15:8         193:20 238:11           179:11 180:6         162:7 218:14         exactly         57:7 15:8         193:20 238:11         192:5 193:16           209:21 20:22         218:18,22         19:4 30:5,6         370:16,17         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish         21:6         226:11 255:21		104.0 10 10	262.16.204.12	150.10 104.0
especially         6:12         226:2,3 227:6         evil 365:5         218:19 227:5           16:6 25:14         227:18 256:17         292:17         302:19 304:23           204:19 239:11         375:5;10,10,11         63:21         305:10 348:13           319:22         368:4 371:24         evolving 63:9         305:10 348:13           esq 2:4,9,10,15         375:5,10,10,11         63:21         350:22 365:13           essentially         9:22         events 157:21         ex 376:24         ex 376:24           essentially         9:22         events 157:21         exact 29:15         367:7 372:10           55:4 61:4 63:5         158:3,7,19,23         42:20 52:6         examples 35:17           112:9 134:1         159:1 160:22         55:11 304:6         350:22 38:16           159:1 160:22         55:11 304:6         328:18 329:15         192:5 193:16           179:11 180:6         162:7 218:14         exactly 5:7 15:8         193:20 238:11           304:13 338:14         220:8 224:17         58:23 104:22         356:14 357:17           339:17 358:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish 21:6         226:11 255:21         373:12 376:23         363:3 373:3           evaluate 117:3				
16:6 25:14         227:18 256:17         evolution         243:2 267:4           204:19 239:11         375:519 351:9         302:17         302:19 304:23           319:22         368:4 371:24         evolving 63:9         305:10 348:13           esq 2:4,9,10,15         375:5,10,10,111         63:21         350:22 365:13           essentially 9:22         events 157:21         ex 376:24         367:7 372:10           55:4 61:4 63:5         158:3,7,19,23         42:20 52:6         examples 35:17           112:9 134:1         159:1 160:22         55:11 304:6         35:17 148:24           159:1 180:6         162:7 218:14         exactly 5:7 15:8         193:20 238:11           209:21 220:22         218:18,22         19:4 30:5,6         370:16,17           223:20 245:1         219:19,21         33:23 34:3,8         36:14 357:17           339:17 358:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish 21:6         226:11 255:21         360:25 361:17         359:17 362:11           21:16 92:3         257:14,22,23         373:12 376:23         363:3 373:3           evaluate 117:3         229:19 305:23         examination         exclude 309:24           evaluate 117:3         229:19 305:23         24:25 232:10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
204:19 239:11         275:19 351:9         292:17         302:19 304:23           319:22         368:4 371:24         evolving 63:9         305:10 348:13           esq 2:4,9,10,15         375:5,10,10,11         375:5,10,10,11         63:21         350:22 365:13           essentially 9:22         events 157:21         ex 376:24         367:7 372:10           55:4 61:4 63:5         158:3,7,19,23         42:20 52:6         examples 35:17           112:9 134:1         159:1 160:22         55:11 304:6         35:17 148:24           150:6,8,21         161:17,19,24         328:18 329:15         192:5 193:16           209:21 220:22         218:18,22         19:4 30:5,6         370:16,17           233:20 245:1         229:19,21         33:23 34:3,8         356:14 357:17           39:17 358:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish 21:6         226:11 255:21         306:25 361:17         359:17 362:11           21:16 92:3         257:14,22,23         373:12 376:23         examination         exception 66:8         excluded           284:24         261:25 262:1         35:16 139:23         examined         excluded           25:18,20         evidence 95:15         383:18 389:2         excluded		·		
319:22         368:4 371:24         evolving         63:9         305:10 348:13           esq         2:4,9,10,15         375:5,10,10,11         380:25         ex         376:24         350:22 365:13           essentially         9:22         events         157:21         exact         29:15         379:24 380:16         examples         35:17 372:10           55:4 61:4 63:5         158:3,7,19,23         42:20 52:6         examples         35:17 148:24           150:6,8,21         161:17,19,24         328:18 329:15         192:5 193:16         exactly         5:7 15:8           209:21 220:22         218:18,22         19:4 30:5,6         370:16,17         exactly         5:7 15:8         193:20 238:11           304:13 338:14         220:8 224:17         33:23 34:3,8         excel         345:11           304:13 338:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish         21:6         226:11 255:21         306:25 361:17         359:17 362:11           21:16 92:3         257:14,22,23         373:12 376:23         363:3 373:3         exemination         exeption         66:8         exeption         66:8         exeluded         308:12 309:13         exeluded         308:12 309:13         exeluded         308:12 3				
esq         2:4,9,10,15         375:5,10,10,11         63:21         350:22 365:13           2:16 393:1         380:25         ex 376:24         367:7 372:10           essentially         9:22         events         157:21         exact         29:15         379:24 380:16         examples         35:17         148:24           150:6,8,21         161:17,19,24         328:18 329:15         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         192:5 193:16         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         193:20 238:11         370:16,17         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20         280:20				
2:16 393:1         380:25         ex 376:24         367:7 372:10           essentially         9:22         events         157:21         exact         29:15         379:24 380:16           55:4 61:4 63:5         158:3,7,19,23         42:20 52:6         examples         35:17           112:9 134:1         159:1 160:22         55:11 304:6         35:17 148:24           150:6,8,21         161:17,19,24         328:18 329:15         192:5 193:16           209:21 220:22         218:18,22         19:4 30:5,6         370:16,17           233:20 245:1         219:19,21         33:23 34:3,8         370:16,17           233:21 38:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish         21:6         226:11 255:21         306:25 361:17         359:17 362:11           21:16 92:3         257:14,22,23         373:12 376:23         363:3 373:3           establish         21:6         226:13,13         examination         exception 66:8           ex1:17 3:12         375:6         344:16 346:12         exclude           eu 118:18         everybody         35:12 32:10         excluded           155:18,20         140:19 144:6         example 9:7         108:19 133:4           evaluating				
essentially         9:22         events         157:21         exact         29:15         379:24 380:16           55:4 61:4 63:5         158:3,7,19,23         42:20 52:6         examples         35:17           112:9 134:1         159:1 160:22         55:11 304:6         35:17 148:24           150:6,8,21         161:17,19,24         328:18 329:15         192:5 193:16           179:11 180:6         162:7 218:14         exactly         5:7 15:8         193:20 238:11           209:21 220:22         218:18,22         19:4 30:5,6         370:16,17         370:16,17           233:20 245:1         219:19,21         33:23 34:3,8         35:14 357:17         339:17 358:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2         356:14 357:17         339:17 362:11         359:17 362:11         <	_	375:5,10,10,11		
55:4 61:4 63:5         158:3,7,19,23         42:20 52:6         examples 35:17           112:9 134:1         159:1 160:22         55:11 304:6         35:17 148:24           150:6,8,21         161:17,19,24         328:18 329:15         192:5 193:16           179:11 180:6         162:7 218:14         exactly 5:7 15:8         193:20 238:11           209:21 220:22         218:18,22         19:4 30:5,6         370:16,17           233:20 245:1         219:19,21         33:23 34:3,8         excel 345:11           304:13 338:14         220:8 224:17         58:23 104:22         356:14 357:17           339:17 358:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish 21:6         226:11 255:21         306:25 361:17         359:17 362:11           21:16 92:3         257:14,22,23         373:12 376:23         exception 66:8           284:24         261:25 262:1         4:6 129:6         exclude 309:24           et 1:7 3:12         375:6         344:16 346:12         308:12 309:13           evaluate 117:3         229:19 305:23         224:2 378:4         308:12 309:13           evaluating         191:2 206:20         17:21 20:1         280:20           227:1 255:11         218:17 219:18         21:20 27:7         ex	2:16 393:1	380:25	ex 376:24	367:7 372:10
112:9 134:1       159:1 160:22       55:11 304:6       35:17 148:24         150:6,8,21       161:17,19,24       328:18 329:15       192:5 193:16         179:11 180:6       162:7 218:14       exactly 5:7 15:8       193:20 238:11         209:21 220:22       218:18,22       19:4 30:5,6       370:16,17         233:20 245:1       219:19,21       33:23 34:3,8       excel 345:11         304:13 338:14       220:8 224:17       58:23 104:22       356:14 357:17         339:17 358:13       224:22 226:8       223:25 297:5       358:4,8 359:2         establish 21:6       226:11 255:21       306:25 361:17       359:17 362:11         21:16 92:3       257:14,22,23       373:12 376:23       exception 66:8         284:24       260:13,13       examination       exclude 309:24         et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       308:12 309:13         evaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       excluded         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       224:5 232:10       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         event 65:15       224:20 226:10	essentially 9:22	<b>events</b> 157:21	<b>exact</b> 29:15	379:24 380:16
150:6,8,21       161:17,19,24       328:18 329:15       192:5 193:16         179:11 180:6       162:7 218:14       exactly 5:7 15:8       193:20 238:11         209:21 220:22       218:18,22       19:4 30:5,6       370:16,17         233:20 245:1       219:19,21       33:23 34:3,8       excel 345:11         304:13 338:14       220:8 224:17       58:23 104:22       356:14 357:17         339:17 358:13       224:22 226:8       223:25 297:5       358:4,8 359:2         establish 21:6       226:11 255:21       306:25 361:17       359:17 362:11         21:16 92:3       257:14,22,23       373:12 376:23       363:3 373:3         122:9 261:24       260:13,13       examination       exception 66:8         284:24       261:25 262:1       4:6 129:6       308:12 309:24         et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       308:12 309:13         evaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       examined 4:4       308:12 309:13         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       example 9:7       16:22,23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9 <td< td=""><td>55:4 61:4 63:5</td><td>158:3,7,19,23</td><td>42:20 52:6</td><td>examples 35:17</td></td<>	55:4 61:4 63:5	158:3,7,19,23	42:20 52:6	examples 35:17
179:11 180:6       162:7 218:14       exactly       5:7 15:8       193:20 238:11         209:21 220:22       218:18,22       19:4 30:5,6       370:16,17         233:20 245:1       219:19,21       33:23 34:3,8       excel       345:11         304:13 338:14       220:8 224:17       58:23 104:22       356:14 357:17         339:17 358:13       224:22 226:8       223:25 297:5       358:4,8 359:2         establish       21:6       226:11 255:21       306:25 361:17       359:17 362:11         21:16 92:3       257:14,22,23       373:12 376:23       363:3 373:3         122:9 261:24       260:13,13       examination       exception       66:8         284:24       261:25 262:1       344:16 346:12       exclude       308:12 309:13         evaluate       117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       excluded       308:12 309:13         evaluate       117:3       229:19 305:23       224:5 232:10       excuse 67:23         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       excuse 67:23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13	112:9 134:1	159:1 160:22	55:11 304:6	35:17 148:24
209:21 220:22       218:18,22       19:4 30:5,6       370:16,17         233:20 245:1       219:19,21       33:23 34:3,8       excel 345:11         304:13 338:14       220:8 224:17       58:23 104:22       356:14 357:17         339:17 358:13       224:22 226:8       223:25 297:5       358:4,8 359:2         establish 21:6       226:11 255:21       306:25 361:17       359:17 362:11         21:16 92:3       257:14,22,23       373:12 376:23       363:3 373:3         122:9 261:24       260:13,13       examination       exception 66:8         284:24       261:25 262:1       4:6 129:6       exclude         308:12 309:24       et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       exclude         avaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       example 9:7         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,	150:6,8,21	161:17,19,24	328:18 329:15	192:5 193:16
233:20 245:1       219:19,21       33:23 34:3,8       excel 345:11         304:13 338:14       220:8 224:17       58:23 104:22       356:14 357:17         339:17 358:13       224:22 226:8       223:25 297:5       358:4,8 359:2         establish 21:6       226:11 255:21       306:25 361:17       359:17 362:11         21:16 92:3       257:14,22,23       373:12 376:23       363:3 373:3         122:9 261:24       260:13,13       examination       exception 66:8         284:24       261:25 262:1       4:6 129:6       exclude 309:24         et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       exclude 309:24         evaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       224:5 232:10       excuse 67:23         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       example 9:7         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2	179:11 180:6	162:7 218:14	<b>exactly</b> 5:7 15:8	193:20 238:11
304:13 338:14       220:8 224:17       58:23 104:22       356:14 357:17         339:17 358:13       224:22 226:8       223:25 297:5       358:4,8 359:2         establish 21:6       226:11 255:21       306:25 361:17       359:17 362:11         21:16 92:3       257:14,22,23       373:12 376:23       363:3 373:3         122:9 261:24       260:13,13       examination       exception 66:8         284:24       261:25 262:1       4:6 129:6       exclude 309:24         et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       exclude 309:24         ev 118:18       everybody       354:2 378:4       308:12 309:13         evaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       224:5 232:10       examined 4:4         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       <	209:21 220:22	218:18,22	19:4 30:5,6	370:16,17
339:17 358:13         224:22 226:8         223:25 297:5         358:4,8 359:2           establish 21:6         226:11 255:21         306:25 361:17         359:17 362:11           21:16 92:3         257:14,22,23         373:12 376:23         363:3 373:3           122:9 261:24         260:13,13         examination         exception 66:8           284:24         261:25 262:1         4:6 129:6         exclude 309:24           et 1:7 3:12         375:6         344:16 346:12         excluded           evaluate 117:3         229:19 305:23         383:18 389:2         excluded           155:18,20         evidence 95:15         examined 4:4         16:22,23           156:4,7 188:17         135:16 139:23         224:5 232:10         excuse 67:23           225:4 309:7,10         140:19 144:6         example 9:7         108:19 133:4           evaluating         191:2 206:20         17:21 20:1         280:20           227:1 255:11         218:17 219:18         21:20 27:7         exfiltrate           evaluation         220:7 221:12         41:24 62:4         274:13           77:15         221:17 224:13         77:12 93:9         exfiltrated           event 65:15         224:20 226:10         95:4,7,18 96:2         235:25 274:9 <t< td=""><td>233:20 245:1</td><td>219:19,21</td><td>33:23 34:3,8</td><td><b>excel</b> 345:11</td></t<>	233:20 245:1	219:19,21	33:23 34:3,8	<b>excel</b> 345:11
establish         21:6         226:11 255:21         306:25 361:17         359:17 362:11           21:16 92:3         257:14,22,23         373:12 376:23         363:3 373:3           122:9 261:24         260:13,13         examination         exception 66:8           284:24         261:25 262:1         4:6 129:6         exclude 309:24           et         1:7 3:12         375:6         344:16 346:12         exclude 309:24           eu         118:18         everybody         354:2 378:4         308:12 309:13           evaluate         117:3         229:19 305:23         examined 4:4         308:12 309:13           155:18,20         evidence         95:15         examined 4:4         116:22,23           156:4,7 188:17         135:16 139:23         224:5 232:10         excuse 67:23           225:4 309:7,10         140:19 144:6         example 9:7         108:19 133:4           evaluating         191:2 206:20         17:21 20:1         280:20           227:1 255:11         218:17 219:18         21:20 27:7         exfiltrate           evaluation         220:7 221:12         41:24 62:4         274:13           77:15         224:20 226:10         95:4,7,18 96:2         235:25 274:9           96:19,22 97:20	304:13 338:14	220:8 224:17	58:23 104:22	356:14 357:17
21:16 92:3       257:14,22,23       373:12 376:23       363:3 373:3         122:9 261:24       260:13,13       examination       exception 66:8         284:24       261:25 262:1       4:6 129:6       exclude 309:24         et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       excluded         eu 118:18       everybody       354:2 378:4       308:12 309:13         evaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       examined 4:4       116:22,23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	339:17 358:13	224:22 226:8	223:25 297:5	358:4,8 359:2
122:9 261:24       260:13,13       examination       exception 66:8         284:24       261:25 262:1       4:6 129:6       exclude 309:24         et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       excluded         eu 118:18       everybody       354:2 378:4       308:12 309:13         evaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       as3:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       examined 4:4       116:22,23         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       excuse 67:23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       21:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	establish 21:6	226:11 255:21	306:25 361:17	359:17 362:11
284:24       261:25 262:1       4:6 129:6       exclude 309:24         et 1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       excluded         eu 118:18       everybody       354:2 378:4       308:12 309:13         evaluate 117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence 95:15       examined 4:4       116:22,23         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       excuse 67:23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	21:16 92:3	257:14,22,23	373:12 376:23	363:3 373:3
et       1:7 3:12       375:6       344:16 346:12       excluded         eu       118:18       everybody       354:2 378:4       308:12 309:13         evaluate       117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence       95:15       examined       4:4       116:22,23         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       excuse       67:23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example       9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13       exfiltrated         event       65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9       exfiltrates         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	122:9 261:24	260:13,13	examination	exception 66:8
eu       118:18       everybody       354:2 378:4       308:12 309:13         evaluate       117:3       229:19 305:23       383:18 389:2       exclusively         155:18,20       evidence       95:15       examined       4:4       116:22,23         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       excuse       67:23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example       9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event       65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	284:24	261:25 262:1	4:6 129:6	<b>exclude</b> 309:24
evaluate         117:3         229:19 305:23         383:18 389:2         exclusively           155:18,20         evidence         95:15         examined         4:4         116:22,23           156:4,7 188:17         135:16 139:23         224:5 232:10         excuse         67:23           225:4 309:7,10         140:19 144:6         example         9:7         108:19 133:4           evaluating         191:2 206:20         17:21 20:1         280:20           227:1 255:11         218:17 219:18         21:20 27:7         exfiltrate           evaluation         220:7 221:12         41:24 62:4         274:13           77:15         221:17 224:13         77:12 93:9         exfiltrated           event         65:15         224:20 226:10         95:4,7,18 96:2         235:25 274:9           96:19,22 97:20         226:22 228:12         96:5 97:25         exfiltrates           97:22 98:8         236:12,21         99:2,19 102:6         105:17 250:8	et 1:7 3:12	375:6	344:16 346:12	excluded
155:18,20       evidence       95:15       examined       4:4       116:22,23         156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       excuse       67:23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example       9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event       65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	<b>eu</b> 118:18	everybody	354:2 378:4	308:12 309:13
156:4,7 188:17       135:16 139:23       224:5 232:10       excuse 67:23         225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	evaluate 117:3	229:19 305:23	383:18 389:2	exclusively
225:4 309:7,10       140:19 144:6       example 9:7       108:19 133:4         evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	155:18,20	evidence 95:15	examined 4:4	116:22,23
evaluating       191:2 206:20       17:21 20:1       280:20         227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	156:4,7 188:17	135:16 139:23	224:5 232:10	<b>excuse</b> 67:23
227:1 255:11       218:17 219:18       21:20 27:7       exfiltrate         evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	225:4 309:7,10	140:19 144:6	example 9:7	108:19 133:4
evaluation       220:7 221:12       41:24 62:4       274:13         77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	evaluating	191:2 206:20	17:21 20:1	280:20
77:15       221:17 224:13       77:12 93:9       exfiltrated         event 65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	227:1 255:11	218:17 219:18	21:20 27:7	exfiltrate
event       65:15       224:20 226:10       95:4,7,18 96:2       235:25 274:9         96:19,22 97:20       226:22 228:12       96:5 97:25       exfiltrates         97:22 98:8       236:12,21       99:2,19 102:6       105:17 250:8	evaluation	220:7 221:12	41:24 62:4	274:13
96:19,22 97:20	77:15	221:17 224:13	77:12 93:9	exfiltrated
97:22 98:8 236:12,21 99:2,19 102:6 105:17 250:8	<b>event</b> 65:15	224:20 226:10	95:4,7,18 96:2	235:25 274:9
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	96:19,22 97:20	226:22 228:12	96:5 97:25	exfiltrates
159:14 184:7,8   240:23 262:8   102:16 123:12	97:22 98:8	236:12,21	99:2,19 102:6	105:17 250:8
	159:14 184:7,8	240:23 262:8	102:16 123:12	

# [exfiltrating - extent]

exfiltrating	exists 88:11	18:19 19:15,20	explanation
106:5	285:6 337:2	28:3 29:8,10	8:18,21 89:12
exfiltrator	expect 199:7,8	73:18 88:24	171:17 219:8
250:10	261:10 263:7	90:16 125:12	338:13 357:7
exhaustive	337:4	126:21,22	explanations
35:10,15	expectation	120.21,22	132:22
<b>exhibit</b> 11:20	25:11 103:15	154:18 170:3	explanatory
11:24 67:1,2	198:13	213:12 229:3	77:20
67:16,21,23	expectations	269:8,12,14	exploiting
71:21 72:2,13	17:16 18:20	270:2 272:25	365:2
72:22,23,25	197:25	301:7 315:10	<b>export</b> 96:19,22
73:1 75:10,19	expected 17:8	326:2 335:4	96:23 97:20
75:23 76:3	92:16 104:4	336:21 360:19	99:11
77:3,25 80:2	153:13	363:23 389:7	<b>exports</b> 97:13
82:9 83:21	expecting	<b>expertise</b> 15:21	98:6
85:17 86:6,25	198:3,5	17:17 18:4	<b>expose</b> 342:14
87:10,12	experience	19:16 26:6	exposed 138:25
107:16 212:12	25:25 26:6	27:19 57:22	139:2 250:23
345:4 346:2	88:23 90:15	experts 18:9	<b>express</b> 31:18
347:1 373:4	92:2 127:10	26:18 27:7	213:5,12
387:14,18,20	192:9 211:4	29:3 105:24	224:14
389:7,7,8,9,10	212:5 245:16	expires 392:24	expressed
389:11,12,13	318:11 352:19	explain 13:13	110:23 182:13
389:14,15,16	383:3	15:5 20:15	310:8
389:17,18,19	experiences	92:9 99:16	expressing
389:21	73:5	131:3 266:17	182:18,23
exhibits 82:22	experiment	279:13 371:8	expressly
362:15 388:1	254:13,20	explained 31:5	322:10
<b>exist</b> 35:12	376:25	31:13 80:17	extended
324:9 328:16	experiments	345:25	292:18
331:12 370:17	215:19 254:10	explaining	extensively
existed 337:2	254:17	44:25	27:10 275:5
existing 154:8	expert 4:15	explains 175:6	<b>extent</b> 125:5
240:20	12:20 13:11	329:12	171:6 172:15
	15:12 17:15		172:17 228:3

## [extent - feeling]

329:20 337:1	95:7 167:13	158:12 177:21	204:3 241:13
external 349:9	184:18 226:25	203:18 214:24	259:21,24
364:12,21	235:23 239:16	216:10 223:16	263:3 280:9
externally	242:9 290:20	224:2 248:23	333:13
154:5	304:19 312:6	253:7 255:10	<b>farr</b> 1:20 2:13
<b>extract</b> 230:10	326:21 349:8	260:17 265:17	3:16
230:18,23	352:8	272:19,22	<b>fast</b> 299:22,22
236:7 304:9	factfinder	273:6 276:11	310:15 373:18
364:7	22:18,22 23:19	297:25 298:10	favorable 13:7
extrapolate	196:13	302:21 371:25	13:18 14:4,7
256:4 305:5	<b>factor</b> 267:5,9	<b>fairly</b> 14:24	14:10
308:22 309:1	267:10,13	370:22	favorite 227:6
extrapolation	<b>factors</b> 265:16	<b>faith</b> 162:20	227:19
310:13	267:13 272:19	<b>fake</b> 20:2 24:2	feasible 32:10
extreme 112:8	321:3	82:16 88:14	35:5,20 134:14
extremely	<b>facts</b> 13:13,17	122:14 178:13	245:14,22
279:24 321:6	14:16,17 18:6	178:15	<b>feature</b> 127:24
<b>eye</b> 106:24	18:8 22:17	<b>false</b> 54:2 79:12	151:1 222:15
eyes 1:2 211:2	95:14 140:18	82:24 258:1,1	222:15,17
211:14 387:5	240:23 263:15	258:9,9,22,23	352:8 384:12
f	321:15,16,24	259:2,2,17,18	features 124:12
<b>f</b> 1:1 129:1	<b>failure</b> 103:12	<b>falsely</b> 250:24	125:7 126:14
374:9 389:16	167:4 246:3	familiar 118:14	127:7,22,23
facebook	<b>fair</b> 11:12,13	166:5,14	151:2 157:10
184:15 185:18	11:25 12:2,10	200:20 201:24	296:1 384:4
186:4	14:5 19:13	202:10 204:4	<b>fed</b> 154:22
facilities	33:17 35:9	229:25 312:4	263:7
318:14	37:3 39:8 42:6	314:14 315:23	federal 112:6
<b>facing</b> 42:19	46:11 49:21	316:16,23	336:12 394:1,8
43:19 204:6	52:15,21 53:2	familiarized	394:9
342:11	54:10 65:10	59:4	feeding 154:16
<b>fact</b> 6:12 14:22	82:5 87:8	<b>fan</b> 301:12	<b>feel</b> 11:16 66:10
16:18 18:1	99:20 108:5	far 19:18 137:3	152:1 268:2
33:9 62:17	132:7 136:22	161:18,19	feeling 340:24
80:9 92:25	157:6,12	186:4 188:10	

# [feelings - first]

<b>C.</b> 1. 240.10	<b>@1 1 2 12</b>	<b>6</b> 1 100 7	157 10 11
<b>feelings</b> 340:19	<b>filed</b> 3:13	<b>finding</b> 100:7	157:10,11
340:23	332:13,19	175:2,10	158:15 160:23
<b>fewer</b> 6:16	333:9,25	<b>finds</b> 196:13,13	161:16 162:5
153:12	334:16	198:16	166:21 169:1
fiduciary 116:8	<b>files</b> 230:22	<b>fine</b> 180:10	171:14,24
116:9	345:11 346:1	199:17 260:1	182:5 186:17
<b>field</b> 18:1 90:15	356:15 362:11	265:8 286:15	188:21,22
131:12 132:10	363:3	295:6 304:3	194:22 203:2
132:14 144:2	<b>fill</b> 342:1	308:6 314:7,8	214:14 241:17
144:11,20	<b>fills</b> 383:11	361:24 387:21	261:1 289:12
146:19 175:15	<b>filter</b> 65:21,23	fingerprint	289:24,25
175:16 235:10	319:5	136:9 245:3,4	290:9 291:3
239:7 317:11	<b>filtered</b> 68:11	245:5	292:10,17
377:2	<b>final</b> 16:24	fingerprintable	298:2 307:13
<b>fields</b> 239:9	341:20	347:19	322:1,3,24,25
275:18 330:21	financial 383:4	fingerprinting	323:16,21
334:19 337:10	<b>find</b> 13:23	141:21 142:12	324:20 325:20
347:20	17:12 42:19	175:3,11,13,14	384:16
<b>fight</b> 85:22	43:9 44:23,24	244:21 245:10	firehose 88:16
86:2 152:2	83:4 92:16	fingerprints	<b>firm</b> 7:15 10:16
339:1	132:6 133:12	245:7	14:25
figueroa 2:2	134:6,12,12,14	fingers 298:3	<b>firms</b> 9:8
<b>figure</b> 39:25	135:16 139:18	345:7	341:15
55:17 59:12	141:22 142:23	<b>finish</b> 146:6	<b>first</b> 4:3 31:23
111:8 142:25	142:25 143:10	183:22 306:3	36:25 37:15
144:19,25	143:12 173:15	310:22 385:6	49:17 51:8
196:11 222:6	174:10,23	385:21,24	57:8 89:15
224:11,21	175:9 176:3	firebase 64:14	135:12 177:9
250:2 265:5	214:2 236:12	64:21 65:15	184:8,9,13,23
285:10,12	243:17 328:18	97:4,7 117:11	185:1,10
307:5,6 332:18	330:21 337:5	123:12 124:3,9	186:11 189:11
333:9 341:25	344:20 358:25	125:5 149:22	189:12 211:9
<b>figured</b> 265:2,4	360:23 361:9	150:6,12,17	212:23 218:7
<b>file</b> 357:5	361:10 371:4	151:1,16,23	218:11,14
		153:15,19	219:2 220:14

# [first - front]

	T	T	I
226:7 237:4	<b>focus</b> 86:24	<b>foreign</b> 364:22	167:16,18
240:25 249:4	90:10 177:5	<b>forever</b> 225:22	218:16 243:1,3
266:3,4 276:13	196:3 208:3	<b>forget</b> 267:3	247:13,22
280:6,8 290:17	<b>focused</b> 275:14	forgive 59:20	330:6 337:2
290:23 293:12	280:9 371:23	<b>forgot</b> 265:5	370:3,20
299:11,17	focusing 49:25	forgotten 27:25	386:18
300:6 307:5	105:12 226:7	<b>form</b> 33:5	founders 64:1
345:25 370:13	<b>folder</b> 362:15	43:12 49:15	<b>four</b> 189:12
370:24	<b>folds</b> 382:21	50:22 59:13	343:1 382:10
<b>fischer</b> 112:23	<b>folks</b> 7:19	68:25 75:16	fractions 383:8
113:2	<b>follow</b> 111:24	76:12,13 79:13	383:10
<b>fits</b> 114:17	180:20 211:7	85:2,11 93:4	fragmented
<b>five</b> 29:20,21	227:11 313:23	93:17 95:15	187:21 267:9
29:21,22,23	316:25	143:22 144:4	fragmenting
78:12 82:10	<b>following</b> 33:1	164:8,10	188:4
306:4 308:24	34:19 73:3	213:21 277:7	framing 85:4
310:20 373:20	follows 4:5	277:11,13	francisco 1:5
<b>fix</b> 360:24,24	191:18 393:8	340:3 366:12	2:14
<b>fixed</b> 115:6	<b>food</b> 227:6,19	<b>formal</b> 312:25	franklin 2:7
<b>flag</b> 6:22 257:5	footnote 36:13	<b>formed</b> 53:12	frankly 92:16
flexner 2:2	36:19 43:21	281:16	<b>fraud</b> 205:14
<b>flight</b> 288:2,6	47:23 69:16	forming 58:1	206:24 207:8
306:14	73:15 75:12	<b>forth</b> 189:7	207:12 208:9
<b>flip</b> 36:4 82:16	77:8 78:3 79:6	194:21 218:4	fraudulent
92:19,24	81:12,17,19	237:24 347:14	207:2
103:19 107:6	84:2 88:22	366:10 391:7	<b>frcp</b> 394:1
266:1,5,6	89:21 90:14	forthepeople	frequency
276:14 323:6	91:16,24 93:2	2:10,11	255:14
<b>flipped</b> 258:10	93:14 148:17	forward 29:2	<b>fresh</b> 317:12
<b>floor</b> 2:3,8,14	152:22 153:16	122:25 309:20	friendly 74:19
175:25	footprints	309:23	<b>friends</b> 321:16
florida 2:8	131:24 337:6	<b>found</b> 42:22,25	<b>front</b> 2:13 21:1
<b>flowing</b> 222:10	<b>force</b> 154:16,22	92:13 102:15	57:13,17 72:23
<b>flub</b> 37:11	forecast 320:21	122:11 136:1	325:5 326:18
		139:23 142:8	346:12 356:20

## [front - generates]

250 2 22		4515155	
359:3,22	g	174:24,25	ganem 238:22
fruitful 270:18	<b>g</b> 52:3 132:23	176:11 178:20	240:12
<b>full</b> 279:22	132:24 133:20	179:1,8 192:4	<b>gap</b> 190:14,19
<b>fully</b> 346:15	133:21 135:5	192:4,13,17	<b>gather</b> 245:12
367:21	139:12 144:22	193:6 194:15	gathered
function	145:13 146:23	194:16 235:12	103:21
107:11 129:10	216:12 346:2	237:5,14	gathering
177:12,24	ga4f 63:14	241:18,20,24	101:10
202:19 210:1,1	121:19 131:1	241:24 242:1,1	<b>gdpr</b> 119:12
245:4,5 267:19	131:19 144:10	243:25 247:22	gears 251:24
322:25	146:18 150:17	247:22 248:8,8	<b>gender</b> 216:13
functionality	150:25 151:16	248:22 249:17	general 15:17
110:25 150:7	151:18 157:9	249:18,20,22	23:7 33:2 34:9
150:12 154:9	190:16 241:18	276:21 277:4,7	48:20,24 62:5
154:21 155:4	293:7,20	277:9 278:8,25	167:10 203:21
289:25	299:13,19	282:22,25	203:25 204:24
functioned	300:8 324:25	283:1 285:7	217:5 245:20
209:25	325:2	330:10,11,16	319:24 370:15
functions 32:20	gaia 46:14 48:6	337:3,15,23	generalized
163:3,3 202:19	53:21,25 54:2	338:2,22,22	205:12
fundamental	54:3,4,7,9,23	340:5 365:8,9	generally 10:14
295:3	54:24 86:18	369:3,6,7,11,13	33:13 38:21
<b>further</b> 186:24	130:25 131:4	369:14 370:24	39:21 125:9
191:16 205:6	131:18,25,25	370:25 372:15	155:12,16
220:15 241:8	131:16,23,23	372:19 374:15	157:15 214:16
333:14 354:1	134:6,20	374:15 375:8,8	320:10 337:24
391:10	135:17 137:20	375:12,14,17	generate
<b>future</b> 148:20	137:20 139:14	376:6 382:1	230:13 231:21
148:21 208:9	139:15 141:15	386:19	235:7
307:2,25 365:5	141:16 144:2,3	gallagher 1:21	generated
<b>fuzzed</b> 142:2	144:10,20,21	2:13 3:16	103:4 121:21
<b>fuzzing</b> 142:3	146:18,19	<b>game</b> 83:15	253:24 275:20
	147:25 148:1,3	287:5	312:1
	173:13 174:15	<b>games</b> 83:18	generates
	173.13 174.13		251:3
	1/7.10,21,21		

# [generic - going]

			I
generic 96:5	377:4 381:14	58:2 61:25	328:17,25
<b>genie</b> 299:23	385:9	67:12 73:20	329:10 333:4
geolocation	<b>given</b> 54:13	77:10 79:2,14	333:14 337:20
232:11,14	65:7 67:9	79:23 85:4	339:18 340:4
getting 71:7	73:10 75:3	87:7,16 93:5	342:8 356:17
74:6 79:23	79:9 89:23	93:17 94:7	362:2 364:25
114:16 117:25	93:11 120:16	95:15 98:22,25	366:13 367:17
119:10 180:16	157:16 225:20	99:3 100:11,13	368:19 372:11
262:25 273:22	234:16 255:19	101:7 111:23	374:17,25
373:5 377:5	324:8 335:5	117:24 119:7	377:6 378:2
gigabytes	344:18 349:15	123:19 126:2	381:4 384:19
319:14	359:10 363:2	127:12 133:22	385:24 386:5
gigantic 244:3	365:24 379:17	134:22 135:3	386:15
<b>give</b> 8:18 16:20	382:11,20	137:3 138:8	godfrey 10:22
17:20 20:18	384:1 388:5	144:6,7 164:11	10:24
29:19 33:21	391:9	169:8 170:21	<b>goes</b> 31:8 74:3
36:6 45:13	<b>gives</b> 227:5	172:3,23	74:4 103:4
52:23 65:5	<b>giving</b> 19:6	176:16 183:20	200:8 267:5
66:11 71:8	20:6 28:22	188:10 189:20	269:4 278:21
73:4 78:3	95:17 316:15	197:18 199:7	351:1 364:23
81:19 83:9	<b>glad</b> 237:22	201:15 205:6	373:14
84:6 91:10	<b>glean</b> 56:15	213:17 224:24	<b>going</b> 3:2 20:15
95:21 96:2	<b>gma</b> 152:8	227:10,21	20:18 21:23
100:5,9 139:3	165:13,20	228:20,24	26:22 41:16
164:24 178:9	289:23 290:5	230:10,21	59:3,7,9,12
178:12 179:13	368:1,6 370:9	231:9 236:18	60:23 68:12
192:5 193:16	<b>gmail</b> 318:16	240:10 251:16	71:9,11 77:21
195:13 202:23	319:13	253:2 257:15	80:22 83:21,22
205:21 213:22	gmail.com	259:20,24	87:24 88:17,19
227:4 241:8	311:7	263:19 267:17	89:5 92:12
250:15 273:24	<b>go</b> 6:24 17:11	268:23 270:18	113:11 115:10
304:5 310:14	21:13 26:23	272:19 276:9	116:13 118:6
331:24 354:6	29:16 33:6	280:22 285:16	122:7,15 123:1
356:14 358:23	43:13 46:17	288:9 298:13	123:15,18
359:11 372:22	47:8 50:23	303:5 310:20	137:9 143:20

## [going - google]

149:17 165:5	28:13 37:16	46:10,12,14	86:14,16,17
168:20 170:6	38:15 45:7	47:17,18,21,25	87:2,11 88:16
172:21 176:13	56:7 134:25	48:2,3,4,17,18	89:1,2,17,22
178:17 179:13	162:20 163:15	48:22 49:7,12	90:13,23 91:7
183:4 184:17	199:6 205:18	49:21 50:14,25	91:17 92:8
186:18 189:10	206:20 223:11	51:3,11,17,20	93:9,13,20,21
196:11 207:23	223:13 242:18	52:1,19 53:18	94:19 96:4,7,7
208:7,15 209:9	245:9,23,25	53:20 54:7,8	96:18 98:19
218:4 221:11	256:21 262:8	54:23 56:18	102:10 104:6,8
227:17 234:12	275:1 305:3	57:5 58:6,10	105:4,14,15
234:14 244:17	307:16 308:1	58:11,12,15,22	106:5,6 107:10
247:20 257:3	309:2 317:20	60:1,14 61:16	107:12,22
261:24 264:24	327:8 387:24	61:22,25 62:1	108:2,10,18,20
265:7 266:22	goodwill	62:6,7,13,14,24	108:25 109:5
267:13 268:1	385:20	63:23 64:5,9	109:15,18,19
270:8 274:24	google 1:13	64:10,11,13,14	110:1,4,4,24
282:2 305:6,20	3:12 19:22	64:15,20,21,22	111:2,3 113:1
306:6,14	20:12,13,22	65:15,20 66:24	113:3,8,10,11
309:20,23	22:1,7,13,14	67:3 68:5,7,10	113:16,24
313:5 314:4	23:21 25:15	68:16,16,18	114:11,15
319:4 321:5	28:15,19,25	69:3,11,19,23	116:12,14,15
323:14 330:2	31:19,25 32:2	70:4,7,13,17,19	116:16 117:11
332:14 336:9	32:7,8,12,19,25	70:21,23 71:14	117:12,16
336:12 341:13	33:1 34:18,21	73:1,2,7,11,13	118:8 119:2,3
346:7,13,21	35:7,18,20,21	74:2,3,5 75:7	119:17 120:4
351:4 352:17	36:2,19,21,22	75:10 76:17,19	120:10 121:5
356:4 360:2,6	37:2,6,19,22	77:1,3 78:22	121:18,24,24
375:14 377:10	38:12,13,17	79:1,9,20,23,24	122:20 123:11
377:12,16	40:4,5,17 41:2	80:3,5 81:10	124:3,9 125:4
381:3 385:9	41:6,7,8,22	81:12,13,20,23	126:7,11,11,25
<b>golf</b> 37:7,8,9	42:13,14,16,20	81:24 82:3,4	127:3,7,15,22
45:2	43:10,17,22,23	82:23,24 83:1	129:13,20
<b>good</b> 3:1 4:7	44:1,3,5,9,10	83:3,7,8,11,11	130:6,9,11
6:12 7:2,3 8:21	44:17,18,23	83:12,23,25	131:7,7,10,14
15:12 18:23	45:4,18,21,23	84:1 85:1,8,18	132:6,13 133:5

## [google - google]

133:16 134:4	185:7,18,19	237:11,12,19	301:17 302:8
134:20 135:16	186:12,17	238:7 240:2	302:12 303:9
137:18 139:24	187:5,14,15	241:3,17,18,22	303:16,18,21
139:25 140:12	188:20,21	242:9,12,17	304:4,16
144:10 145:3,4	190:15 191:13	245:19 248:21	306:20 307:9
145:5,8,23	191:25 192:7	249:5 250:14	307:12 309:5
147:2 148:9,25	194:12,19,22	250:17 251:1	309:17,24
149:22,25	194:25 199:1	258:22 259:17	318:13 319:1
150:5,6,11,16	199:22 203:8	260:4,14,25	319:11,12,12
150:20 151:23	203:12 204:4	261:1 262:5,16	320:17,24
152:10,17	205:3,8,10	262:22 263:12	321:12 323:3,8
153:4,8,13	206:9,9,22	264:13,16	323:15,21
154:4,7,8,11,12	207:13,21	266:1 271:12	324:11,12,18
155:2 157:10	208:16,24	274:9,13	324:19 325:1,3
158:14,16	209:1,4,6,11,14	275:15,17	325:8,20
160:18,22	210:2 211:1	276:21 277:1,4	327:20,21
161:16 162:3,4	212:3,21 214:6	277:19 279:22	328:1,9 329:15
162:5 163:8,14	214:6,10,12,13	280:3 282:21	329:19,20
163:19,22,23	216:15,19,25	282:23 283:10	330:13,18
164:3,15	217:2,3 218:10	283:20 284:24	331:2,16,19,19
165:23,24	218:18 219:18	285:12 286:16	331:20 332:22
166:8,19,20	220:7,17,20,22	286:20 287:3	333:19 334:7,9
167:11,14,23	221:12,18,22	287:15 288:11	334:17 335:1
168:24,25	222:3,4,13,17	289:12,24	335:18,21,25
169:15 170:10	222:19,20	290:8 291:2,8	336:14 339:5
170:19,23,25	223:3,25 224:3	291:11,24,25	339:12,25
171:4,8,13,14	224:8,15,21	292:8,9,15,16	340:18,25
171:24 174:4	226:10,22	292:17 293:1,1	341:3,4,6,22
177:2,10,13,15	227:5,15,25	294:1,1,4,5	342:2,12
177:22 178:5	228:5,12	295:8 296:10	343:15,15,24
178:17,21	229:21,22,23	296:22 298:2,7	348:23 349:1,3
179:19,20	230:8 231:4,20	298:20,23	349:11,14,15
181:16,18	232:2 233:9,21	299:13,18	350:6 351:8
182:5 184:1,1	235:1,8,22	300:8,12 301:9	352:9,21,24
184:15,23,25	236:14 237:5	301:12,15,16	353:2,8,14

## [google - happens]

	I	I	I
354:13,16,21	256:19 257:25	<b>gritty</b> 130:19	<b>hairy</b> 65:18
355:2,3,6,12,14	258:5 259:12	<b>ground</b> 189:9	<b>half</b> 5:10
355:17 364:16	280:14 282:13	<b>group</b> 96:11	293:12 299:12
364:18,19,22	286:8 287:2	guess 8:24 20:5	299:17 300:6
364:23 365:3,4	288:13 289:18	51:21 87:8	<b>halting</b> 225:19
365:7,19	290:11 291:12	97:15 102:24	hampered
368:16 370:20	300:13,18,22	105:6 110:1	307:12
372:6,7 373:23	318:15 323:12	122:6 158:10	<b>hand</b> 11:12
376:13,19,23	324:2 339:18	167:22 174:20	77:16 250:18
377:4 379:21	341:2 352:20	223:21 226:7	275:2 342:13
380:4,24 381:7	353:21 354:13	234:13 236:22	359:16 381:12
382:2,2,3,16	365:1 368:2,4	266:10 272:18	391:15
383:14,20,22	381:25 384:7	309:23 320:3	<b>handed</b> 229:15
383:23 384:2,4	googlers 21:18	330:10 347:1	346:4 355:24
384:16 392:2	<b>gotten</b> 38:23	365:8	<b>handing</b> 382:14
393:4 395:1	99:12 116:14	<b>guide</b> 160:4	382:15
<b>google's</b> 20:19	281:11 314:16	310:7	handle 59:1
22:15 39:16	government	<b>gum</b> 183:3,14	handled 393:8
42:19,25 43:9	115:2,3 250:14	guns 115:11	<b>happen</b> 13:20
46:20 69:7	364:23	<b>guy</b> 100:10	13:21 14:20
73:6 84:9,13	<b>grab</b> 99:3	<b>guys</b> 366:1	57:5,11 98:25
84:20 85:16	100:13	h	101:15 102:17
86:4,15,23	<b>grass</b> 37:11	<b>h</b> 4:2,2,2 129:3	140:16 162:9
87:10 124:10	great 12:4 31:8	129:3,3 345:21	162:25 236:2
126:6 129:9	37:5 42:8	389:5 395:3	299:8
131:9 138:5,7	77:12 104:11	<b>h.1</b> 389:17	happened
153:14 155:23	108:17 113:6	<b>h.2</b> 389:18	100:3 103:25
167:3 168:2,8	121:10 123:17	<b>h1</b> 345:16	105:21 106:11
170:11 177:12	147:11 170:7	<b>h1s</b> 345:21	106:15 162:22
188:6 211:10	216:21	<b>h2</b> 345:14	236:22
211:16,21	greater 198:20	357:4 360:10	happening
219:7 229:13	240:7	363:7 372:14	13:22 88:12
236:21 237:5	<b>greatly</b> 299:19	385:2 386:3,17	101:20
237:13 241:15	<b>gripe</b> 210:13	hacked 343:4,7	happens
242:18 244:12	251:13	11ackeu 545.4,7	287:21,22

# [happens - hopefully]

364:25 383:10	316:24 348:22	highlighting	177:1 212:12
<b>happy</b> 61:5	350:5	310:24	331:6 344:17
70:24 77:19	hearing 111:4	<b>highly</b> 46:19	354:3 358:14
307:20 321:14	heavily 352:7	<b>hinder</b> 172:21	362:10 388:1,6
<b>hard</b> 16:8	heavy 171:12	<b>hints</b> 41:14	388:10 389:3,6
42:18 182:24	<b>held</b> 1:20 3:15	<b>hip</b> 65:9 104:16	389:7,10,11,12
183:6,10	130:6 257:5	123:1 125:22	389:13,14,15
225:14 307:25	<b>help</b> 6:16 21:24	126:4	389:16,17,18
310:14 323:12	113:24 169:10	<b>hipaa</b> 112:9	389:19 392:3
323:13 372:25	193:22 207:7	114:7,9	392:20 393:5
hartford 37:9	262:21,21,22	historical 68:4	395:2
harvest 101:7	332:18	85:20 115:13	<b>hold</b> 190:21
173:15	helpful 105:8	192:24 193:4,9	195:14 242:16
harvested 25:3	105:11 106:20	193:13 223:20	274:25 279:16
25:4	116:13 146:10	324:8	314:2,4 372:1
<b>harvey</b> 312:12	206:14 246:6	history 41:4	376:12
hawks 305:13	247:5 331:13	46:8 64:3	<b>holding</b> 326:24
<b>head</b> 95:18	<b>helps</b> 21:6,16	149:6 228:21	343:15
145:16 156:22	73:4	228:24 231:8	<b>holds</b> 148:6
165:18 202:23	hereunto	231:25 236:18	hole 58:24
256:23 263:23	391:14	309:21	208:15
269:5 286:13	<b>hey</b> 62:11	<b>hit</b> 374:3	<b>home</b> 341:3
326:8 359:24	121:7,10 163:9	hitbundle	homomorphic
<b>headed</b> 216:11	179:13 199:11	257:13	118:2
<b>heading</b> 107:19	205:20 207:23	hitbundles	honestly 13:3
107:22	208:20 219:13	257:11,21	227:13 328:4
headings 12:8	230:22	277:5	340:11
<b>heads</b> 371:9	<b>hidden</b> 333:23	<b>hits</b> 131:11	<b>honor</b> 286:17
healthcare	<b>high</b> 33:24	330:20 332:23	honored
114:13 115:16	239:12,12	hochman 1:19	286:23,24
<b>hear</b> 72:11	246:4 302:23	3:11 4:7 11:20	307:10 335:22
115:20	highlight 311:4	33:14 67:16	<b>hope</b> 111:4
<b>heard</b> 54:19	highlighted	71:21 72:21	173:21 302:21
136:17 225:19	58:21 233:24	77:19 83:16	hopefully 307:7
280:7 316:2,21		112:23 113:2	

## [hospitals - ids]

hospitals 114:9	hypothetical	327:10 348:8	235:8,20,21,22
host 325:21	77:10 78:6	388:2	241:25 273:20
hosting 366:20	79:13 93:17	identified 7:9	285:25 325:22
hotel 199:7,10	94:15 95:14	93:8 207:15	364:6
200:7	98:22 117:24	238:24 274:6	<b>identify</b> 133:3
hour 142:21	118:12 119:7	275:4 332:25	146:20 148:22
306:2 359:9	122:25 185:17	337:11	235:14 265:13
hours 4:22,25	238:24 239:7,9	identifier 53:20	266:12 276:2
5:2,19,21,25	239:22 285:16	53:21 93:9	287:3 323:17
7:13 8:11	290:14,15	98:23 99:4	324:1,10,12,17
29:21,23 306:4	298:12,15	100:9 101:17	325:23 326:5
343:1 356:11	306:21 309:9	102:9,10 109:7	327:12,14,16
385:5	309:12 310:7	136:8 138:7	328:15 329:23
hsiao 2:4	367:16 378:19	174:6 178:21	330:14 331:16
huge 16:5 98:4	383:2	180:20,21,25	331:17 334:2
101:19 243:11	i	203:1 230:20	336:15,17
243:12 244:24	_	235:25 238:25	368:17
320:25 333:22	<b>i.e.</b> 226:13	267:7 347:19	identifying
<b>huh</b> 72:20	378:11 382:18	347:23,25	86:20 132:15
296:16	idea 23:7 41:12	364:7 378:15	144:12 162:25
<b>human</b> 16:8,10	42:17 44:1	378:16	203:3 236:3
58:5 65:24	49:4 53:7 54:1	identifiers	328:8 335:8
66:1 229:9	88:11 137:24	25:15 44:10	348:6 349:20
<b>humans</b> 168:16	180:17 281:20	54:8,9,9,23,24	370:8
hundred	292:14 308:1 309:2 319:10	130:5 133:7,17	identity 140:13
244:15	364:5 370:15	134:1,7,21	245:18 348:23
hundreds 4:18	ideally 205:23	142:14 144:13	idfa 55:23 57:6
4:19 6:2,3 8:12	ideas 77:13	145:2 161:4,7	249:6
302:3,4,4	identifiable	161:13,14,21	<b>idfas</b> 285:7
<b>hunt</b> 2:19	62:3 161:2,15	161:22 173:12	idle 195:21
<b>hurt</b> 268:1	213:1,14	173:16 174:2	ids 63:12,19
<b>hurts</b> 138:17	335:14	180:5 181:2,4	180:5 183:24
138:19,23	identification	181:5,8,9	192:4 247:12
<b>hygiene</b> 114:22	11:21 67:17	183:19,25	285:7 348:15
	71:22 212:13	208:12 213:19	349:11 376:17

# [ignorance - indict]

ignorance	<b>implied</b> 293:24	217:21 263:1	incorporating
92:22	imply 324:25	325:19 338:3	191:7
ignorant 92:24	implying	included 87:4	incorporation
ignoring	152:21	98:6 234:4	286:2
171:16	important	393:14 394:3	incorrectly
illogical 225:15	39:12,20 60:12	includes 38:1	256:10
<b>images</b> 318:16	112:7 155:16	40:12 50:13	increase 292:9
320:23	218:23 219:10	86:17 87:21	increased
imagine 31:7	239:10 240:25	193:17 217:24	293:14
35:6 91:12	383:14,20,21	224:4 286:1	increases
171:18 195:11	383:24 384:3	325:21 364:6	343:19
223:23 260:9	384:12	including 26:5	incremental
immediate	imposed 287:2	36:22 49:20	280:2 320:4
208:8 221:4,7	impossible 35:7	64:11 81:24	indefinitely
221:8 307:24	110:21 118:7	117:21 221:20	334:21 336:24
immediately	196:16	249:7 291:7	independent
59:14 88:2	impression	incognito	116:4 148:18
262:24 340:25	20:17,18	199:22 200:13	index 230:5,10
363:5	120:16 277:24	200:16,17	231:21 318:17
<b>impact</b> 179:18	322:17 367:11	incomplete	326:19,23
263:12	382:17,18	77:10 78:5	indexing 230:3
impacted	impressions	79:12 93:16	indicated
190:13 302:20	16:21 21:5	94:14 95:13	138:15 210:16
impediment	90:9 352:3	98:21 117:23	indicates 15:23
246:2	368:5 371:16	119:6 285:15	42:4
implement	improve	298:11 345:6	indicating
37:10 109:15	179:14 197:22	367:15,17	129:25 361:11
296:11	221:19,22	378:19 383:2	indication
implementati	223:4 262:22	inconsistently	136:1
309:19 310:6	282:9	323:11	indications
implemented	<b>impugn</b> 83:23	incorporate	222:9
223:24,25	<b>inbox</b> 317:2	152:14 165:13	indicator
implementing	include 83:14	182:3,7,20	352:14
279:19	86:19 93:2,14	incorporated	<b>indict</b> 83:17
	185:14 217:19	165:15	

## [indirect - intending]

indirect 221:17	71:9 74:13	informing	installed
221:24	76:18,20 87:6	26:19	150:19 305:14
individual	89:4 90:23	infrastructure	315:15
62:16 63:6	91:8,9 93:3,3,6	222:14	installs 214:12
95:22 110:6	93:8,15,15,20	<b>initial</b> 114:2	296:13
161:5,8,9,11	93:22,23,24	injunction 35:3	instance 38:11
187:20 235:23	94:4,4,9,9,16	302:20	102:11 174:9
236:5,7	94:18,19,23	injunctive	174:11 236:12
individually	95:5,10,21	31:22 35:2,13	247:10,12,19
1:7	96:4,10 98:19	58:19	248:7 258:12
individuals	103:1 110:16	<b>inputs</b> 245:4	348:15,20
96:13 266:20	119:25 138:14	267:20	instances 38:10
industry 205:9	138:16 144:24	ins 37:23	47:20 235:15
208:20	158:16 161:2	insert 75:8,11	253:2
inevitable	161:15 192:3,9	77:2,25 78:10	institutions
168:17	192:25 193:2,5	82:9 87:10	383:5
inevitably	193:21 194:3,7	167:19	instructions
162:18	195:7,10,11	inserted 150:5	360:22
infer 293:25	203:4 205:5	inside 22:15	integrated
inference	210:15,17	200:8,8 217:17	151:19 184:16
218:21 232:6	213:1,2,15	350:19	185:19
319:7 321:10	215:11 216:13	insider 104:2	integrates
inferences	216:19 217:2,4	106:4 365:3	124:10 291:25
230:14	219:4,6 221:6	insiders 20:22	integration
inferring	229:11 230:13	insight 7:15	126:14 153:19
218:15	230:18,24	162:24	298:19
influence 112:5	231:20 260:24	<b>insist</b> 306:14	intelligence
<b>inform</b> 221:13	262:19 277:12	inspected	99:25 101:8
information	313:9 316:14	222:12	<b>intend</b> 89:25
25:1,3,12	329:18 331:3	<b>install</b> 149:22	127:6,21
33:22 38:4	334:18 335:7	149:25 155:12	intended 41:1
48:12 49:8,15	338:7,16 343:9	219:1 296:5,5	42:3
52:12 57:9	343:10 348:6	297:10 315:17	intending
62:23 63:4,15	348:11 368:23	350:14	151:24 211:9
69:4,6,21 71:6			

# [intensive - john]

intensive	intermixes	invasion 28:20	<b>issue</b> 15:7 16:1
279:24 318:18	237:6	inventory	19:16 34:16
<b>intent</b> 211:10	internal 148:10	360:16	39:4 45:3
211:16,22	241:1 288:18	investigate	60:13,24 61:1
384:7	internally	15:6 189:4	115:7 156:14
intention 213:4	224:1	221:25	157:8 171:2
intentionally	internet 17:18	investigation	196:9 210:19
40:22,24	17:18 37:17,24	92:14 222:8	213:6,13
intentions	58:7 196:24	317:12	215:25 233:25
242:18 364:20	204:25 245:17	investigations	239:4,6 252:13
interact 18:12	298:9 301:22	207:1	252:16 294:13
18:13,14	305:20	involved 7:22	323:9 378:7
interaction	interpret	57:22,24	<b>issued</b> 105:23
304:8 350:24	307:11	116:14 168:16	167:10
371:25	interpretation	involves 116:3	<b>issues</b> 22:21
interchangea	225:1	133:5	23:2 29:16
192:15	interpreted	ios 201:21	58:1 70:16
interchangea	196:10	202:6 283:5,5	119:10 161:20
46:15	interrogatories	285:20,21	239:5 304:22
interest 173:18	258:6	287:2 314:11	<b>issuing</b> 383:19
225:25 230:12	interrogatory	314:14	items 32:24
interested 74:1	46:21 47:2,16	<b>ip</b> 7:19 207:6	j
230:15 231:23	52:13 280:14	213:25 214:2,7	<b>j</b> 4:2 129:3
265:25 297:23	292:25	214:16 243:4	389:19
333:20,24	interrupt 160:8	246:19,21,22	<b>jam</b> 306:12
391:13	239:18	246:23,24	jargony 364:1
interesting	introduced	247:21 344:22	jarring 34:20
115:21,22	345:25 361:12	357:9,22	jfk 288:6
150:1,2 165:17	introduction	<b>iphone</b> 314:17	jh 386:18
167:9 186:22	187:12	ips 214:22	<b>job</b> 7:2 13:12
211:19 225:24	intuition 82:7	irrelevant 47:5	18:15 110:3
interests 229:7	87:19,22 88:7	isolation	208:4 393:5
interface 22:14	88:23 92:1	279:11,19	<b>john</b> 2:9,20 6:3
24:17,20,21,23	invading	282:5,7,8,10,19	45:14
	115:25 341:2		

# [join - kinds]

<b>join</b> 112:9	<b>joins</b> 137:20	k	kilometer
135:13 136:17	235:9 373:8		232:19
136:21 137:17	374:1,5,11,15	k 12:8 32:4	<b>kind</b> 16:9 17:25
137:18,23	374:22 386:2	104:23,25	34:20 43:6
138:5 140:12	jointly 387:18	105:4 107:5,16	58:3 60:12
145:22,24	jonathan 1:18	108:1 224:10	65:6 71:5,7
146:3 173:11	3:10 145:24	346:3	73:23 77:11,14
179:7,8 235:9	388:6,10 392:3	keep 150:15	78:19 79:17,25
242:10,12,14	392:20 393:5	183:13 185:3 210:17 220:17	80:25 92:16
242:24 243:20	395:2	298:6 319:6	101:5 104:13
244:13 338:22	jonathan's	329:25 330:2	108:3,3 115:9
357:2 370:23	146:3	341:7	116:6,21
371:4 372:19	<b>joseph</b> 2:10	<b>keeping</b> 155:15	120:21 121:5
375:5 378:11	<b>judge</b> 336:12	177:17 195:4	130:19 141:5
378:22	judgment	keeps 224:3	145:24 153:22
joinability	300:17	300:19	160:4 164:22
234:23,24,25	<b>juicy</b> 187:23	<b>kept</b> 60:21	167:9 172:9
235:5,14 238:8	<b>julie</b> 5:1,19	334:21 336:24	173:9 180:8
238:12,23	6:11 7:1,4,13	key 131:25	187:21 204:21
240:5,18	16:17,19	145:4 212:21	216:3 223:1
344:21 347:5	julie's 6:9	212:21 235:9,9	230:9 232:6
347:17 363:10	<b>jump</b> 176:25	249:21,24	256:23 260:6
363:15 364:9	jumping 88:2	250:4,9,18	275:9 291:16
<b>joined</b> 51:14	<b>jumps</b> 57:13	251:3,5,10	294:9,24 301:9
53:22 54:6,22	june 1:15 3:4	281:13 285:5	305:15,18,19
55:3,20 135:16	391:15 393:3,5	352:13 370:24	306:3,19
136:18,24	jury 77:22	371:4	315:16 317:11
139:24 145:20	125:13 127:6	<b>keyed</b> 282:22	317:12 319:4
243:6 347:22	127:21	keys 145:6,9	320:11 326:14
347:25 375:20	justification	235:10 247:15	342:2 359:7
<b>joining</b> 114:23	219:11,12	248:10	384:13
136:1,2,4,15	justified 221:9	keyword 62:25	kinds 104:2
144:1 145:23	jyanchunis	63:6	106:18 195:22
176:10,10	2:10	keywords	268:11 270:24
242:2,8,15,22		62:18,21	296:4 315:18

## [kinds - large]

351:21 354:25 358:6,15 359:1 359:4 361:3,5
•
359:4 361:3.5
361:15,17
362:22 370:23
372:15 373:8
376:22 377:9
377:19 383:7
385:23
<b>owing</b> 74:1
152:20 200:7
220:1 245:16
321:11
owledge
18:15,18
167:21,22
359:5 364:12
owledgeable
217:8
own 17:25
18:5,7,8,10
168:12 207:11
ows 229:22
1
1:1 346:3
<b>pel</b> 115:11
g 106:24
100.24 1guage 38:7
193:17 204:23
255:8
osed 296:7
ge 16:7
101:22 187:13
216:1 239:15
245:17

# [lasinsky - linking]

lasinsky 29:9	leave 86:22	108:22 201:22	174:24 351:11
<b>lat</b> 202:9	87:13 109:21	209:2 227:16	373:14,14
<b>lately</b> 339:22	182:17 200:17	229:13,14	382:16 390:2,6
340:11	228:23,25	257:25 275:19	392:4 393:15
launch 292:24	240:14 302:23	340:23 375:5	394:4 395:4,7
launched	<b>left</b> 67:2 129:8	leveraged	395:10,13,16
293:12	175:24	218:18	395:19
law 14:25	<b>legacy</b> 294:2	leveraging	<b>lined</b> 373:9
28:16 250:20	<b>legal</b> 9:1,21	192:24	lines 105:10
250:21 341:15	14:11 20:7	librarian 230:6	189:12 373:13
<b>lawsuit</b> 126:20	28:23 32:14	license 162:1	link 25:15
<b>lawyer</b> 9:6,19	119:11,12	180:6,7,12,19	44:10 100:17
28:17 34:2	122:23 164:24	187:6	100:17 102:13
360:5	213:8,21,23	<b>life</b> 121:12	109:7 112:12
lawyers 7:17	252:16,24	317:7 342:17	115:24 136:12
9:19 10:2 11:2	331:25 349:9	lifecycle 122:1	137:1,24 138:4
312:19 313:14	365:13,22	122:3	161:22 213:19
341:16	393:7	<b>lift</b> 171:12	249:16 250:12
layperson	legitimate	<b>light</b> 23:1,10	347:21
18:10	162:19 274:19	183:13	<b>linkage</b> 102:18
<b>lead</b> 13:17	legitimately	<b>liked</b> 62:23	173:18
350:20	359:6	<b>likely</b> 163:11	linkages 134:8
leads 81:18	<b>length</b> 29:15	220:24 223:1	<b>linked</b> 94:10,10
leak 101:12	<b>lengthy</b> 164:23	likewise 355:5	94:17,17,23,24
267:23 268:2	lesser 158:10	limitations	94:25 95:1,2,5
leakage 102:2	323:23,23	287:1	95:11 98:24
leaks 103:23,23	letter 10:1	limited 83:13	99:5,13,17
104:2 114:13	letters 12:8	131:12 143:9	102:20 136:25
235:25	letting 160:9	244:4,6 270:11	137:5,20 138:9
leap 65:5	377:18	limits 17:4	161:4,7,10
learn 185:5	level 20:13	25:19 32:6	180:4 181:11
learned 16:23	21:22 50:21	65:12 94:3	241:4
learning	78:4 86:10	119:16 202:25	<b>linking</b> 112:12
221:23 230:2	96:19,22 97:20	<b>line</b> 6:18	136:3,5,15,19
263:8	97:22 98:8	173:23 174:23	296:12

## [links - logs]

<b>links</b> 99:19	267:23 277:17	160:5 163:13	147:14,16,24
100:16 134:10	284:5 305:15	174:24,25	147:25 148:1,2
137:23 173:12	310:9 311:5	175:12,15,16	174:15,16,21
179:5 335:8	319:2 326:20	175:18 241:16	174:22 181:10
<b>list</b> 26:23 32:18	364:1 372:25	244:1,1 256:20	214:3,8,9,19,20
35:11,15 85:17	live 52:20	275:17,25	215:12 216:14
148:23,23	313:5 319:23	276:2 329:23	216:23 217:6
247:2 312:2	<b>llc</b> 1:13 3:12	330:15 332:3,4	218:10,12
321:2 357:15	392:1 393:4	332:8,15,17,25	232:10 234:15
359:13 368:20	395:1	333:8,11,16	237:5,14,16
<b>listed</b> 7:10	<b>llp</b> 1:21 2:2,7	337:24 338:22	241:18,21,24
46:25 363:4	2:13 3:16	369:12,24	242:1 243:7
369:9 374:10	<b>load</b> 197:1	370:24,25	246:19 247:23
listing 68:4	located 3:16	372:20 375:8,8	248:8,8 262:6
<b>lists</b> 7:23	100:23 343:25	375:12,14,16	277:1 325:13
327:18,19	location 74:12	375:17 376:11	325:15,18,18
368:24	135:4 204:24	376:14,18	326:3 327:9,13
literally 256:9	232:15 243:13	377:3	327:16,20,20
347:21	locations 40:6	<b>logged</b> 101:14	329:16,23
litigating 86:23	<b>locked</b> 393:12	125:14 170:20	330:9,11,13,18
litigation 4:15	394:1	173:13 375:19	330:21 331:4
86:16 154:12	locking 200:6	376:24	331:15 332:5
271:20,23	<b>lodge</b> 84:25	<b>logging</b> 120:20	332:16,21
325:6 376:15	228:16	122:18	333:14,19,22
377:3	<b>log</b> 37:19,20,21	<b>logic</b> 23:12	334:15,20,24
<b>little</b> 6:16,21	37:23 38:13,24	153:22	335:7,16 337:3
15:9 20:5	45:5 46:6	logical 136:6	337:7,15,17,23
61:23 63:11	48:21 69:19,20	258:4	338:3 344:24
70:7,8,9 72:10	69:22 70:18	logically 40:10	344:24 365:9,9
101:12 103:9	79:23 80:13	<b>logs</b> 101:17	368:7,16,21,24
118:12 142:2	87:7 135:17	131:1,4,13,19	368:25 369:3,6
154:16 187:23	141:15,16	131:25,25	369:7,13,23,24
204:22 207:7	144:2,2,20,21	132:5,7,14	370:2,11,12,14
239:8 244:18	146:18,19	134:6 139:15	370:17,19,21
251:25 261:15	158:19,23	139:15 144:11	375:7 382:1

## [long - made]

<b>long</b> 29:12,15	207:10 210:20	57:8 58:18	<b>lot</b> 6:20 38:19
29:20 135:9	219:25 227:10	83:3 143:6	48:25 49:25
185:14 199:1	230:22 236:24	166:17 186:7	50:1 51:10
251:8,9 256:23	237:23,24	190:5 222:8	63:22 64:4
300:25 305:6,7	238:18 240:11	247:11 281:7	69:18 91:11
319:4 327:12	240:24 241:14	283:17 317:3	95:20 127:12
331:17 372:2	242:25 243:15	322:21 339:22	147:20 175:19
<b>longer</b> 198:18	245:6 246:7,22	340:11	187:16 206:2
198:19 318:25	247:3 248:15	looking 5:14	209:22 229:6
331:21	249:3 253:2,12	11:23 12:4	229:22 230:24
longitudinally	253:19 254:13	24:17,19 43:16	251:7 261:15
110:13	256:25 260:5	59:8 73:22,23	273:22 315:15
look 19:3 25:6	275:13 276:9	91:18 92:7	342:4 344:25
33:8 35:16	276:12 278:18	137:23 144:8	381:15
38:9 41:11,13	279:9 280:16	153:11 159:17	<b>lots</b> 62:10 86:2
44:22 46:17	283:13 289:6	199:16 209:19	100:23 101:7
62:12,20 68:6	292:3,12,22	210:5 229:5	300:7 343:2,2
68:17 81:2	294:25 295:13	230:17 244:11	<b>love</b> 217:7
82:21 94:8	295:19 296:25	254:1,3 256:2	<b>lower</b> 188:6,10
96:9 97:13,17	297:22 307:17	299:10 311:3	lunch 128:3
97:25 98:1	317:10 318:2	320:21 329:14	257:10
104:22 127:13	327:3,3,5,11	340:13 354:5	luncheon 128:6
130:23 132:16	328:25 332:6	359:23 360:15	lying 206:2,4
134:3,5 135:1	338:13 339:19	368:15 372:21	m
135:7 140:3	356:24 357:23	385:2	<b>m</b> 4:2 129:3
144:7 147:4,6	358:4,4 360:3	looks 20:23	machine
147:6,8,9	360:4 361:1,19	22:15 24:24	221:23 230:2
148:18 151:3	362:18 366:25	69:18 72:7	258:16 263:8
152:23 153:3,9	371:12 374:6	80:15 278:22	made 6:20
156:21 165:16	376:11 381:5	326:16	11:16 15:14
165:19,20	386:6	<b>loose</b> 246:17	24:16 29:5
173:6,9 190:4	looked 22:7	los 2:3	43:7 44:5
192:19 193:4	24:21,23 42:18	lose 298:20	60:22 82:2,20
200:10 201:15	42:22 43:3	<b>lost</b> 172:20	82:25 85:10
201:16 207:7	48:9,11 49:14	287:20	91:6 101:13
			71.0 101.13

## [made - mao]

	I	T T	
124:6 155:22	<b>major</b> 12:7	226:23 241:5	213:16 214:25
158:2 159:11	32:2,18	256:7 277:14	215:8 224:23
159:14 167:6	majority 331:4	287:8	227:20 228:16
175:4 196:7	make 6:25 21:4	manager	231:7 236:15
200:12 210:10	21:17 28:9	288:15	240:22 246:8
215:3 224:15	29:18 39:7	mandatory	246:13 248:1
266:21,24	41:20 65:4	294:21 296:20	254:25 255:25
285:24 307:14	74:9 83:6 90:6	<b>manual</b> 297:4	257:2,9 263:14
325:9 341:21	115:4,8 129:10	mao 2:4 8:24	263:18 264:1,8
378:24,25	134:19 146:14	33:4 43:12	264:20 267:16
381:10	151:25 154:19	50:22 64:16	268:14,19
magically	168:17 173:25	67:6 68:22	269:1,6,11,17
356:13	176:12,13	72:3,18 73:16	269:24 270:3,8
magnitude 6:1	177:3,22	75:15 77:9	270:17 272:24
251:11	191:23 197:13	78:5 79:11	274:14 276:15
<b>mail</b> 69:5	212:24 214:1	84:24 85:7,24	276:19 284:2
101:14 106:8	214:25 215:2	86:3 87:15	285:2,15
159:13 160:1	242:1 250:22	93:4,16 94:5	287:21 288:3
160:16,17,23	262:21 267:18	94:14 95:13	290:13 295:2
161:25 162:6	274:24 279:15	98:21 100:6,7	298:11 305:25
163:1,4,5,10,21	288:2,17	100:8,12,22	306:6,9 312:21
164:5,17	297:11 300:16	106:21 107:3	313:19 314:6
165:10 167:7	301:22 306:13	107:14 117:1,5	314:10 317:15
174:17 233:9	308:4,4 318:5	117:8,23 119:6	327:1 328:21
234:4 255:22	344:18 346:7	123:14 125:23	333:3 337:18
256:5 320:23	372:12 377:7,8	140:18 143:16	338:23 340:3
387:8	378:8 393:14	143:21 144:4	344:16 346:6
mails 288:18	394:3	145:18 146:5	353:24 354:4,8
<b>main</b> 17:13	<b>makes</b> 51:23	146:12 149:3,9	355:22 356:16
18:25 19:7	79:6 99:10	152:25 156:24	356:23 360:12
341:15 366:25	188:5 297:12	160:8,12 164:6	360:20 361:24
maintain	321:2 351:2,10	164:9 169:4,19	366:12 367:15
112:13 206:23	<b>making</b> 151:20	169:25 172:2	369:4,10,17
maintained	191:14 200:14	176:5,18	371:19 373:17
283:11,21	211:15 223:11	189:20 197:17	374:24 377:11

### [mao - mean]

377:15,24 <b>marketed</b> 375:6 <b>material</b> 320:4	147:1,3,18 153:21 154:17
376.4,0 363.17 134.3   <b>Material</b> 320.4	
384:18 385:6 <b>marketing</b> 320:5,6,9,12	156:14 167:6
385:10,13,19 17:18 56:1,3,3 materially	172:6 175:8
385:24 386:8 56:8 58:7 343:19 344:2	177:19 180:16
386:13,25   126:7 205:1   materials 90:22	182:12,15
387:9,17,21,25 298:9 301:22 91:5	183:2 185:2,11
389:4 340:13 341:18 <b>math</b> 234:12,15	187:9 188:25
map 378:10 marketplace 234:21 255:3	189:2 192:13
mapping 307:8 matter 3:11	193:3 195:8,17
133:25 134:19 marks 81:13 103:22,25	195:23 197:3
136:20 180:14 marriage 124:2 391:13	197:21 201:15
8	208:17 213:18
	214:19 219:20
	221:4 223:8
	224:22 226:16
	227:25 228:1
	228:23 231:10
	235:3 239:18
	244:14 250:20
	252:12 253:16
	254:12 255:5
	259:11 261:10
	261:17,19
67:16 71:21 248:3,3,7 71:2,3,4 83:1	263:3 266:7
	269:6 271:9
	272:14 273:21
	278:13 279:21
	280:1,2 282:4
	282:14 284:16
	286:10 289:4
	292:13 297:13
	298:8 299:1
	302:15 303:17
	305:9,17
250.15 5 10.20   170.5 171.20	505.7,11

## [mean - methodology]

	I		I
309:11,18,22	meant 24:5	mediated 16:10	283:14 337:19
310:4,8,9	35:10 38:13	medical 95:20	360:22 374:7
313:4 315:4	44:15 48:19	115:14	374:20
316:25 320:24	49:12 152:18	medicine	mental 59:7
322:18,19	183:6 239:20	111:17 114:19	mention 38:17
323:7 326:14	239:22 254:22	<b>member</b> 205:10	169:15,15
326:23 327:15	282:5 308:8	268:12 271:1	318:12 327:17
327:21 330:18	337:22	272:2,3,4,21	330:21 357:21
332:22 333:25	measure 168:8	275:8,8 324:13	363:19 368:18
339:14,21,24	252:6 289:12	327:10 332:18	mentioned
340:19,22	289:14 291:3,5	333:10 334:2	27:24 38:18
341:3 342:15	291:11 292:10	365:20	94:20 122:8
349:1,20,23	299:20 300:9	member's	132:21 172:6
350:1 365:2	302:13 304:8,8	271:6,8	233:7 248:13
373:11 374:4,7	367:13	members 81:12	254:5 286:4,6
380:18 385:10	measurement	252:7,11,15	mentioning
387:10	293:22 294:17	324:2,10 326:5	227:9 280:8
meaning 78:2	304:19,21	327:14 328:8	mentions 45:22
224:16 227:22	330:15 332:3	328:16 334:12	330:9
meaningful	333:1,8 367:9	335:3,24	merchants
256:15	367:10 376:11	336:16,18	121:1
means 25:6	376:14	353:6 368:17	message 322:9
29:20 36:20	measurements	370:8	messages 322:8
39:17,18 41:19	221:13 380:12	membership	322:11,13
42:17 43:24	measures 35:12	329:17 331:18	messaging
52:19 77:6,6	168:9 224:9	memorize	322:1,3,7,24
82:8 90:2,5,12	measuring	294:24 295:22	323:5,17,19,23
90:13 92:19	248:22 355:11	memorized	meta 384:24
102:22,23	mechanics	241:12	385:1
131:3,16 136:4	170:1	<b>memory</b> 58:24	<b>method</b> 144:18
136:5 153:23	mechanism	130:18 142:10	207:11,14
175:15 223:9	117:13 208:9	173:10 197:14	methodology
227:17 279:13	media 3:9	241:10 243:24	13:4 127:5,20
316:1	205:10	246:25 254:15	235:18 253:9
		257:1 280:17	254:7 271:2

## [methods - month]

methods 145:3	238:1 246:17	misinterpreted	model 59:7
304:13 324:7	247:8 254:5,16	14:23	223:22 224:3,8
328:8 352:1	254:18 319:7	misleading	382:8
355:10	335:21 339:24	122:4,6,13	<b>module</b> 107:2
microphones	363:6,22	misled 19:23	123:15,19,22
3:5	minded 49:14	misrepresents	moment 68:6
microscopic	mindful 70:20	213:17	103:2 105:13
330:12 333:19	mine 5:9 68:12	missing 304:14	103.2 103.13
microsoft	84:10 360:19	308:21 345:8	135:10 138:13
373:5	minimally	345:19	143:14 150:15
migration	138:19	misstates 94:6	152:8 177:1
150:4 302:25	minimum	267:16 367:16	183:18 189:16
million 131:11	142:12 232:18	374:24 381:2	190:22 191:23
205:20 244:15	232:19 296:13	384:18	210:22 237:1
330:20 332:23	minimus	mistake 101:13	257:12 260:13
334:19 337:10	320:12	mistakes 15:14	278:7 280:19
millions 382:20	minor 320:16	15:15,17	283:5 306:19
382:24	323:20	168:17 250:22	330:2 333:2
mind 26:24	minuscule	misunderstood	362:19
30:5 41:22	343:22	339:4	monday 3:3
43:15 46:2,4	<b>minute</b> 36:6	misused 284:25	monetized
57:14,18,19,20	281:12 363:8	mixed 290:20	323:16
60:18 71:1,4	375:1 377:12	mmao 2:5	monetizing
75:20 83:10	377:16	<b>mobile</b> 56:3	262:24
86:23 93:19	minutes 24:1	138:20 152:10	money 113:12
108:1 110:12	29:20,22 107:4	154:8 202:20	205:21 219:13
117:20 119:4	160:10 199:12	289:22,23	262:12 274:23
122:2 123:10	306:5,7,15	350:11,12	275:1 282:4
133:15 147:25	310:21 371:10	366:2,3 372:7	297:15 299:18
177:18 190:21	373:20 377:9	372:7	300:7,11
194:18 196:5	miraglia's	<b>mod</b> 246:10	319:23
199:20,25	241:2	<b>mode</b> 199:22	month 293:4
202:17 210:9	mischaracteri	199:24 200:13	300:25 301:15
217:24 230:2	87:16 125:24	200:16,21	319:13,14
234:23 237:21	144:5 156:25	201:1	

## [months - never]

<b>months</b> 303:2	nac 190:14,20	near 325:5	319:6,6 320:18
morgan 2:7,7	nagged 373:5	nearly 101:21	320:19 321:5
morning 3:1	name 3:18 69:5	necessarily 8:1	326:13 331:9
4:7	100:5,6 106:8	14:6,9 18:10	331:10 336:9
mortgage	112:18 159:2	28:8,9 30:5	340:24 342:1
83:19 383:6,12	164:5,17	43:7,18 48:25	357:25 360:7,8
<b>motion</b> 325:10	180:13 201:25	59:13 70:3	373:2 374:19
358:14	202:8,13 236:4	136:1 138:4	377:6
motions 327:19	238:16 316:3,9	139:23 193:9	<b>needed</b> 109:1
328:7	349:18,21	197:24 215:23	155:14 317:7
mouse 287:5	368:24 392:2,3	219:23 246:22	325:7 346:15
mouth 284:5	named 100:8	299:23 309:4	needing 137:22
move 97:9	365:21 386:3	316:3 324:25	needs 23:20
147:11 299:22	386:21 387:8	337:5 349:19	205:8 320:21
299:22	names 7:24	necessary	321:21 366:21
moved 97:5	112:20 131:12	57:22 102:14	negatives 258:2
206:8	162:6 163:21	378:22 393:14	258:9,23 259:3
moves 287:8	228:4,8,9,14,14	394:3	259:19
317:11	231:5 296:1	<b>need</b> 14:1 52:7	neither 53:2
<b>moving</b> 148:7	368:21	59:10,10,11	154:4 386:19
340:10	<b>narrow</b> 187:17	66:10 70:25	network
mrc 205:22,23	203:5 370:22	82:21 100:11	204:11 206:23
205:25	national 112:4	106:23 107:3	289:18 290:12
muffled 72:10	113:3 114:5	112:11 115:4	291:12 300:22
multiparty	115:16	125:15 126:16	315:23 316:12
118:2	native 11:7	126:22 132:20	351:23 367:8
multiple 100:8	346:1	138:4,5 148:10	networks 287:7
182:8,8,20,25	natural 88:25	152:2 171:15	287:9
183:7 369:5	89:6,10,14,16	173:14 196:3	neutral 13:12
382:8	89:22 92:2	216:5 222:5	15:20
n	253:20,21	223:19,21	never 37:15
<b>n</b> 1:1,1 2:1 4:2	<b>nature</b> 110:11	246:13 260:7	119:4 174:12
4:2,2 129:1,1,1	275:7 338:1	280:22 287:23	199:15 237:20
129:3,3,3	339:21	289:22 290:4	240:2 247:8
389:1		306:6 309:4	303:6 309:25

# [never - objection]

		1	
315:6 331:11	237:14 241:18	<b>noor</b> 71:25	115:16 119:18
nevertheless	241:20,24	noorjahan 2:16	161:25 162:1
53:3 211:5	242:1 247:22	north 2:7	163:10 180:24
212:3 254:2	248:8 257:22	northern 1:4	212:11 238:18
new 1:21,22,24	294:1,5 337:3	3:14	239:14 256:12
3:17,17 66:11	337:15,23	notary 1:23	256:14,14
67:21 102:25	338:22 365:9	388:14 391:3	261:9,11,20
104:16 117:19	369:7,11,14	392:23	265:11,16
119:22 121:22	370:25 374:15	notating	276:5 289:2
121:23,23,25	375:8	393:15 394:4	291:23 295:14
154:5 233:14	noncompliance	<b>note</b> 3:4 58:22	313:22 333:19
391:4 392:1	162:16,19	151:17 163:13	333:22 344:18
newspaper	164:4,16	216:12 232:9	345:18 347:4
233:12	166:25 168:20	295:2 296:19	349:11 350:4
newspapers	168:21	<b>noted</b> 3:22	359:6 379:4
206:4	noncompliant	150:20 155:21	393:15 394:4
<b>nice</b> 231:21	164:25 165:2	167:13 187:11	numbered
365:4 366:15	noncontrover	296:10 388:8	75:19 156:23
nicely 291:25	126:16,21	notice 1:20	numbers 6:19
nine 373:20	nondisplay	302:22 303:21	6:19 254:22
<b>nitty</b> 130:19	351:22	315:10	267:19 273:19
nomenclature	nonhistorical	<b>noticed</b> 135:19	0
386:20	193:2	135:20 374:9	o 1:1 4:2,2
<b>non</b> 11:7 54:4,9	nonlinear	notification	129:1,1,1,3,3
54:24 76:12,13	238:2	322:2	202:5
76:23,24 80:11	nonpermanent	notifications	<b>object</b> 152:25
130:25 131:4	344:24	322:4	objection 33:4
131:18 132:1,7	nonpersonal	<b>noting</b> 22:12	43:12 50:22
132:13 137:20	91:9 93:3,15	145:25 314:3	68:22 73:16
139:15 141:16	nonpublic	<b>notion</b> 76:16	75:15 78:5
144:10,21	48:12 49:8	<b>notions</b> 50:18	79:13 76:3
146:18 148:1	52:11	nrahman 2:17	85:6,11 87:15
174:15,21,25	nontechnical	<b>number</b> 20:21	93:4,16 94:5
192:2,4 208:12	31:9 78:18	26:25 29:3	94:14 95:13
208:12 237:5		75:21 112:15	98:21 117:23
			70,21 111,23

# [objection - okay]

119:6 125:23	observed 74:17	48:21,22 54:17	85:20 86:11
143:18,21	130:25 159:16	108:8 117:8	87:1,8 89:20
144:4 146:1	159:20,23	159:16 204:13	90:3 93:11
149:4,10	162:20 177:24	215:22 233:18	94:1 98:13
156:25 164:7,9	216:22 323:9	368:15 369:15	99:16 100:21
169:5 172:2	obtained 251:1	381:8 385:8,17	100:24 102:9
176:9 197:17	obvious 59:23	okay 4:14 5:12	102:24 104:15
213:16 224:23	232:8	7:12 8:3,10,19	105:4 106:1,20
227:20 228:17	obviously 34:4	8:22 9:5 10:15	106:20 108:11
228:25 231:7	153:24 197:10	11:15 12:4	110:21 114:4
236:15 240:22	198:14 338:7	14:2,13 15:6	118:25 120:4,8
254:25 255:25	occam's 89:11	15:10 17:3	123:3 125:15
263:14 267:16	occasions 30:21	19:1,21 22:5	126:6,24
268:20 272:24	occurred	23:9 25:17	127:17 128:1
274:14 285:2	159:20 184:18	26:22 28:13	129:14 130:23
285:15 290:13	210:12 226:25	29:24 32:11,15	131:17 132:2
298:11 333:3	occurring	35:9,19,23	133:2 135:2,22
337:18 340:3	218:2 367:10	36:12,15,17,18	136:7,9,14
349:25 356:16	occurs 120:20	36:25 38:15	137:16 139:22
366:12 367:15	138:13	40:2,10 42:8	142:5 143:2,15
374:24 381:1	ocean 100:20	46:2,20 47:8	143:23 145:17
384:18 386:14	october 293:4	48:7 49:17	146:2,9,17,24
objective 238:3	293:15	50:10 52:4,7,9	147:24 148:7
<b>obligated</b> 15:24	<b>odds</b> 196:6	53:23 54:3	148:19,22
obligation	<b>offer</b> 125:6	55:2,9,20 58:4	149:18 150:14
15:20	255:7 326:2	59:8 60:6	151:11,21
obligations	offered 291:7	61:25 64:5	152:7 153:11
208:1	offering 17:6	66:12,25 67:10	154:17,20
observation	19:21 28:15,18	68:15 69:14	157:2,9,20,25
167:7 207:21	28:24 252:22	71:20 72:14,21	158:11 159:11
observe 21:25	<b>office</b> 393:11	73:10 75:14,18	160:7,15,21
137:7,8,9,10	officer 100:1	76:12,23 80:2	161:12 162:4
162:8,9 220:23	offices 1:20	80:16 81:9	164:2,19
222:1	<b>oh</b> 7:3 13:25	82:7 83:6,16	165:12,19,21
	41:18 47:24	84:5,11 85:14	166:3,12

## [okay - open]

			,
167:25 168:23	233:7 234:11	310:20 311:20	380:22 381:3
169:3 170:9	234:22 236:24	312:10 313:10	381:11,18
171:19 172:15	237:18 238:5	313:13 314:4,6	382:12,15,18
172:19 173:14	239:4,21 240:3	315:3,14	386:23
174:19 175:7	240:24 241:14	316:17,20,22	<b>older</b> 334:1
176:4,15 177:4	242:20 243:19	317:5 319:17	once 59:3 63:24
178:24 179:6	244:21,23	320:3,18	170:20 286:6
180:23 181:1,4	245:14 246:6	321:25 323:15	286:14 346:20
182:1,14 183:6	246:15 248:15	324:6 326:1	356:3 374:2
183:16,17	250:6 251:16	327:12 328:10	ones 7:9 46:24
185:8,16,24	252:11 254:2	329:7,14 330:1	47:1 66:11
186:8,15	255:17 256:5	330:8 331:5	68:8,12 147:17
187:25 189:5	256:17 257:8	332:9,17	149:21 156:20
189:14 190:3,8	257:18 258:14	336:12 337:14	257:5 260:21
190:23 194:17	259:7,22 260:1	338:19,20	323:22 333:2
198:11,15	260:12 263:17	340:22 342:22	337:9 345:24
199:13,17	264:15 265:8	343:17,24	356:22,24
200:17 202:22	266:7 268:19	344:4 346:19	369:1,8 374:8
203:7,17 204:3	269:2 271:4	346:24 347:3	386:2
204:13 205:15	272:16 274:18	347:12 348:1	ongoing 287:5
206:11 208:6	275:13 276:6	350:21 351:3	287:11
210:20 211:13	277:14 278:13	355:20 357:19	<b>online</b> 17:20
211:22,25	279:5,12	358:1 360:1	18:4,6 56:1,3,8
212:7,18	281:15,18	361:21 362:23	79:3 206:8
213:10,24	282:2,10,17	362:24 363:7	274:21
214:5 215:17	283:17,23	365:6 366:1	oooap 202:3
215:24 216:24	285:4,20	367:5,22	oops 135:2
217:12,23	287:19 288:3,9	369:19 370:7	<b>open</b> 15:14
218:5,17 220:4	288:23 289:1	371:13,20	49:14 67:25
220:10 222:20	289:11 290:21	372:13,17,18	96:15 184:9,9
223:16 224:2	295:1,21 296:9	373:5,12,16	184:13 185:1
224:13 226:20	296:10 297:3	374:21 375:2	186:11 218:7
227:4 228:10	297:16,25	378:23 379:7	218:11,14
229:1 230:11	298:14 299:9	379:12,21	219:2 289:1
232:9,21,25	304:18 310:8	380:10,11,14	342:5 373:2,3

# [opened - ordered]

		1-0 10 2-	
<b>opened</b> 102:21	32:11 41:23	170:10,22	326:2 363:23
141:7	89:16 105:18	172:16,18	opportunities
<b>operate</b> 239:12	105:20 154:20	177:10 182:13	83:23
347:10	163:19,24	182:19,24	opportunity
operating	164:2,14	191:17 211:16	217:9
243:25	166:23 177:18	213:5,10,12,22	<b>opposed</b> 123:12
operation	211:25 212:2	213:23 224:14	170:2 192:4
229:13	242:9 245:15	231:4 252:22	226:24 293:7
operational	252:25 262:5	252:25 255:7	371:24
71:5 87:6	262:15 264:15	256:11 258:25	opposing 77:13
operator 96:9	277:3 284:24	266:22,23	<b>opt</b> 202:3
96:14,17 98:10	285:12 336:22	271:10 273:1	<b>opted</b> 322:18
98:16 109:13	364:15 383:21	273:25 279:6	<b>optimal</b> 301:24
121:9 214:11	384:6,8,9	281:17 286:16	optimization
214:12	opinion 17:6	286:25 287:13	62:22
operators	19:22 20:7	320:1 334:4	<b>option</b> 178:9
121:2	25:19 26:16	335:4,9 336:11	223:3 272:17
<b>opine</b> 43:14	28:6,15,19,23	338:11 339:23	optional 151:1
119:17 120:4	28:25 31:18,24	340:17 342:10	<b>options</b> 220:25
203:7 211:10	32:3,6,16,22	365:19,25	<b>order</b> 6:1 18:15
242:7 252:17	44:13 57:10	383:20	104:15 110:24
252:20 257:12	59:13 64:25	<b>opinions</b> 12:7,9	122:21 125:12
257:21 265:9	65:6 74:21	12:10,11,14,19	152:9 205:1,7
270:13 289:7	83:25 84:5	13:7,14 17:4	205:9,18 208:4
323:15,25	87:9 89:20,23	18:25 19:6,7	208:21 209:1
331:10 336:16	104:13,17	19:12 27:7,13	216:6 220:14
<b>opined</b> 17:10	105:24 106:10	27:13,14 31:25	221:3 223:17
111:12 122:24	107:19,20	34:14 49:11,16	245:12 250:4
125:8 186:22	110:23 119:16	53:11 58:2	262:20 296:14
228:2 229:2	122:6 124:14	66:9 83:9	302:19 322:13
232:2 270:12	125:13 126:22	107:8 123:8	346:15 347:20
271:16 273:6	129:9 143:25	129:13 167:10	362:1 376:15
opining 14:12	145:10 148:13	168:5 231:2	381:8
17:14 20:8	157:17 164:24	269:18,20	ordered 250:17
26:9 32:6,8,9	167:2 168:1	270:2,9 287:18	

### [orderly - parameters]

1 1 202.25		105 15 151 10	1711017
<b>orderly</b> 302:25	overnight	107:17 151:13	151:10,15
ordinary 58:14	302:18	170:14 201:16	152:9 153:3,12
130:10	overreading	204:10,17	154:3 157:13
organic 62:20	118:11	210:10 214:2	170:21 177:6,9
organized	overrides 172:1	228:4,8,14	189:12 190:6
254:17	own 20:19 33:3	324:5 328:23	210:21,25
orientation	118:22 173:10	328:24 369:22	236:24 237:11
229:8	188:23 201:5	370:6 389:2,6	237:25 238:6
oriented 6:13	213:3 254:18	390:2,6 392:4	240:19 247:6
original 22:6	266:19 340:13	393:15 394:4	248:16,20,24
207:20 393:10	347:16 363:19	395:4,7,10,13	257:16 259:3
393:21	owner 187:20	395:16,19	275:14 276:18
orwellian 92:17	p	<b>pages</b> 18:13	276:20 277:1
<b>ought</b> 208:3	<b>p</b> 2:1,1	22:13 42:19,25	288:8 292:22
322:21	<b>p.m.</b> 128:5,6	43:10,23 44:5	295:20 299:10
outcome	129:2,5 173:1	44:20 49:10	310:18 313:3
391:13	173:4 176:20	204:6 229:23	318:3,5,9
<b>outline</b> 123:21	176:23 189:23	230:4 280:20	329:22 330:8
367:1	190:1 233:2,5	326:17 327:11	332:4 366:25
outlined 191:18	251:19,22	368:23 370:12	368:18,20
236:10	280:25 281:3	393:14,17,17	371:15
<b>outputs</b> 137:14	317:22,25	394:3,6,6	paragraphs
<b>outside</b> 169:17	329:2,5 344:10	<b>paid</b> 351:18,25	11:11,23 20:21
224:5 227:3	344:13 362:5,8	<b>pair</b> 49:6	109:16 170:18
255:16 268:21	388:4,8	373:13	189:11 281:6
269:19 270:9	package 123:25	<b>pairs</b> 373:9	329:12 330:5
272:25 312:24	298:16,17	<b>pan</b> 143:9	338:15 368:19
overcharge	342:7	paper 11:5	371:7
162:2		346:4 355:23	parameter
overlap 20:6	<b>packages</b> 384:21,24	<b>papers</b> 245:24	159:13 227:7
278:6 376:3	<b>page</b> 6:19	paragraph	227:18
overlapping	11:10 34:16	13:1 34:13	parameters
207:25 347:19	41:6 44:18	36:3,10 81:2,9	159:2,5,7
overly 211:23	46:7 67:7	107:21 130:24	228:8,13
360:7	85:21 105:1	144:8 148:7	

# [paranoid - percent]

paranoid	326:3 329:23	patients 111:22	<b>people</b> 7:7,8,24
315:16	365:20	115:13	13:18 14:4
parentheses	particularly	pattern 279:8	17:23 18:8
75:8,11 153:17	183:10 253:14	279:19 282:7	20:18 24:14,22
<b>part</b> 14:10	316:23	<b>paul</b> 2:21 3:18	26:14 60:11,21
15:11 42:3	<b>parties</b> 162:20	<b>pause</b> 12:17	62:19,22 95:7
49:20 80:24	302:22 314:12	66:16	95:9 96:12
94:1,3 107:24	316:14 391:11	<b>pay</b> 6:24 10:10	99:22,22 100:8
116:11 143:25	<b>parts</b> 11:7,7	29:1 31:19	109:21 114:8
148:12 149:1	222:8,11,12	50:1 83:19	114:19 115:8
155:22 158:13	345:8 346:13	113:11 272:7	115:24 120:1
158:18 169:20	party 13:8	272:13,21	162:6 172:21
170:1 181:15	92:21 124:13	295:9 297:6,7	178:9 179:12
210:4 213:14	124:23 138:8	297:15 319:12	197:3 204:14
215:1 242:22	169:13 255:9	319:13,16	208:23,24
252:5 263:18	255:12 352:21	351:15,16	209:5,5 218:25
275:15 287:17	354:14 355:4	352:11,17,23	232:20 235:23
306:7 323:9	368:2 380:5	354:16 355:1	245:16,19,20
334:14 343:22	passing 122:9	383:5	253:25 265:25
346:8 349:17	172:6 344:14	<b>paying</b> 223:12	266:7,20
354:6 358:22	password 69:5	223:13 272:9	274:21 288:17
360:21 362:11	<b>past</b> 61:16	352:2,2,3	291:23 294:7
383:25	79:18,25 203:9	354:24 366:23	300:18 302:23
partial 148:23	203:14 221:1	383:7	304:23 305:12
particles 137:2	295:14 297:19	payload 331:2	305:19,22
particular	303:17 304:22	<b>pdf</b> 71:24 72:10	317:8 334:5
26:21 28:16,20	324:24 352:1	72:13 393:12	335:13 341:17
36:13 52:19	<b>patch</b> 155:18	394:1	342:14,24,25
105:21 106:11	155:20 156:5	peeping 199:8	353:15 366:18
133:9,14	patched 155:16	199:10,18	383:7
223:18 239:25	patent 10:24	<b>pen</b> 75:20	people's 21:5
240:1 253:8	196:23	<b>penalty</b> 393:16	perceive 69:14
260:15 265:12	<b>patents</b> 196:22	394:5	<b>percent</b> 109:24
267:6,7,14	pathway 103:9	<b>penny</b> 383:8,10	109:25 153:19
268:12 270:25	103:11		153:23 154:13

## [percent - perusing]

155:3,24 156:1   perfusion   101:16 102:1   personalization   102:18 293:5   293:13,15,19   period 85:24   104:3 109:7   190:19,25   293:25 299:12   133:10 170:23   114:24 116:5,7   191:79,15   192:8,22,23   308:17,19   300:14 311:17   118:24 141:17   192:8,22,23   343:20 357:21   332:7 333:12   184:22,25   227:2 381:16   343:20 357:21   332:7 333:12   184:22,25   227:2 381:16   246:4 293:13   393:18 394:7   229:16 230:14   periodically   231:22,24   194:20 203:9   272:5   251:4,7   236:38, 267:21   203:15 211:3   242:0 8,15   perjury 393:17   238:3   perjury 393:17   238:3   perjury 393:17   238:3   perjury 393:17   238:20 234:7   perfectly 39:2   174:22 242:6   344:24 368:25   369:9,11,13,13   227:18 229:7   242:10,24   242:10,24   242:10,24   244:13 283:11   283:21 374:1   283:21 374:1   283:21 374:1   283:21 374:1   283:21 374:1   283:22 35:14   355:11   183:25 187:6   183:20   performance   persistent   138:22 35:214   355:11   183:25 187:6   90:23 91:7   performed   137:19 243:20   285:20 378:14   9erson 31:9   98:19 102:9,12   pertaining   64:14 94:19   performing   94:11,17,23,24   242:7   95:3,512   70:2 180:4   277:19   99:18,19   235:20,23   81:5 108:14		I	I	1
293:13,15,19         period         85:24         104:3 109:7         190:19,25           293:25 299:12         133:10 170:23         114:24 116:5,7         191:7,9,15           308:17,19         300:14 311:17         118:24 141:17         192:8,22,23           320:14,15         319:1 326:6         161:23 181:7         194:3 226:23           343:20 357:21         332:7 333:12         184:22,25         227:2 381:16           percentage         333:16 334:15         209:15 213:20         381:18,23           246:4 293:13         393:18 394:7         229:16 230:14         personalize           perceptions         periodically         231:22,24         194:20 203:9           172:5         251:4,7         236:3,8 267:21         203:15 211:3           perfect 16:8         periods 344:23         267:22 268:5         212:4 220:8,15           168:14,15         perjury 393:17         289:5 350:25         27:5 114:19           308:6         permanent         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         73:5 114:19           218:20 234:7         permanently         198:19 368:22         personal 4:25         97:1 98:16           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal 4:25         97:1 98:16           37:19 243:20         183:20 </th <th>155:3,24 156:1</th> <th>perfusion</th> <th>101:16 102:1</th> <th>personalization</th>	155:3,24 156:1	perfusion	101:16 102:1	personalization
293:25 299:12         133:10 170:23         114:24 116:5,7         191:7,9,15           308:17,19         300:14 311:17         118:24 141:17         192:8,22,23           320:14,15         319:1 326:6         161:23 181:7         194:3 226:23           343:20 357:21         332:7 333:12         184:22,25         227:2 381:16           percentage         333:16 334:15         209:15 213:20         381:18,23           246:4 293:13         393:18 394:7         229:16 230:14         personalize           perceptions         periodically         231:22,24         194:20 203:9           172:5         periodically         236:3,8 267:21         203:15 211:3           perfect 16:8         periodically         236:3,8 267:21         203:5 211:3           168:14,15         periods         344:23         267:22 268:5         212:4 220:8,15           perfectly         39:2         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         73:5 114:19           perform         137:19         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         190:17 192:2           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         37:25 236:4         16:12,14 21:1           242:10,24         198:19 368:22	192:18 293:5	101:21	102:12,13,14	189:6,9 190:13
308:17,19         300:14 311:17         118:24 141:17         192:8,22,23           320:14,15         319:1 326:6         161:23 181:7         194:3 226:23           343:20 357:21         332:7 333:12         184:22,25         227:2 381:16           percentage         333:16 334:15         209:15 213:20         381:18,23           246:4 293:13         393:18 394:7         229:16 230:14         personalize           perceptions         periodically         231:22,24         194:20 203:9           172:5         251:4,7         236:3,8 267:21         203:15 211:3           perfect 16:8         perjury 393:17         289:5 350:25         212:4 220:8,15           perfectly 39:2         permanent         381:8,9 382:9         personalize           174:22 242:6         369:9,11,13,13         369:23         231:25 236:4         personalizing           218:20 234:7         permanently         344:3         personalizing         195:1,2 226:13           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal 4:25         97:1 98:16           237:14,23         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1           283:21 374:1         persistence         74:4,6,13         21:3 56:22,23           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17	293:13,15,19	period 85:24	104:3 109:7	190:19,25
320:14,15       319:1 326:6       161:23 181:7       194:3 226:23         343:20 357:21       332:7 333:12       184:22,25       227:2 381:16         percentage       333:16 334:15       209:15 213:20       381:18,23         perceptions       periodically       231:22,24       194:20 203:9         172:5       251:4,7       236:3,8 267:21       203:15 211:3         perfect 16:8       periods 344:23       267:22 268:5       212:4 220:8,15         168:14,15       perjury 393:17       289:5 350:25       212:4 220:8,15         238:3       394:6       381:8,9 382:9       27:5 114:19         perfectly 39:2       permanent       person's 99:12       190:17 192:2         174:22 242:6       344:24 368:25       381:8,9 382:9       190:17 192:2         308:6       369:9,11,13,13       227:18 229:7       190:17 192:2         218:20 234:7       permanently       344:3       227:18 229:7       195:1,2 226:13         242:10,24       198:19 368:22       370:14,18       37:20 51:20       16:15 62:2         244:13 283:11       370:14,18       37:20 51:20       16:12,14 213:1         283:23 374:1       183:20       76:17 80:18,19       28:14 248:17         29reformance       183:20       <	293:25 299:12	133:10 170:23	114:24 116:5,7	191:7,9,15
343:20 357:21         332:7 333:12         184:22,25         227:2 381:16           percentage         333:16 334:15         209:15 213:20         381:18,23           246:4 293:13         393:18 394:7         229:16 230:14         personalize           perceptions         periodically         231:22,24         194:20 203:9           172:5         251:4,7         236:3,8 267:21         203:15 211:3           perfect 16:8         periods 344:23         267:22 268:5         212:4 220:8,15           168:14,15         perjury 393:17         289:5 350:25         212:4 220:8,15           238:3         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         73:5 114:19           perfectly 39:2         permanent         person's 99:12         190:17 192:2           174:22 242:6         344:24 368:25         138:10 140:13         personalizing           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           perform 137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personally 8:6           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal 4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         permits 355:12         person 18:9         21:3 56:22,23           375:5 386:1         persistent         80:21 82:14	308:17,19	300:14 311:17	118:24 141:17	192:8,22,23
percentage         333:16 334:15         209:15 213:20         381:18,23           246:4 293:13         393:18 394:7         229:16 230:14         personalize           perceptions         periodically         231:22,24         194:20 203:9           172:5         251:4,7         236:3,8 267:21         203:15 211:3           perfect         16:8         periods         344:23         267:22 268:5         212:4 220:8,15           perfectly         39:2         perjury         393:17         289:5 350:25         personalized           238:3         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         personalized           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         190:17 192:2         personalized           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13         personalizing           218:20 234:7         198:19 368:22         personal         4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1         213:14 248:17           283:21 374:1         permits         355:12         69:4,21 71:8         213:14 248:17         perspective           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23         22:11,13         366:10 367:7,9 <td>320:14,15</td> <td>319:1 326:6</td> <td>161:23 181:7</td> <td>194:3 226:23</td>	320:14,15	319:1 326:6	161:23 181:7	194:3 226:23
246:4 293:13         393:18 394:7         229:16 230:14         personalize           perceptions         periodically         231:22,24         194:20 203:9           perfect         16:8         periods         344:23         267:22 268:5         212:4 220:8,15           perfect lest         perjury         393:17         289:5 350:25         personalize           238:3         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         personalize           perfectly         39:2         permanent         person's         99:12         190:17 192:2           perform         137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personalizing           218:20 234:7         permanently         344:3         personalizing           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal         4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1         213:14 248:17           283:21 374:1         permits         355:12         69:4,21 71:8         213:14 248:17           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9	343:20 357:21	332:7 333:12	184:22,25	227:2 381:16
perceptions         periodically         231:22,24         194:20 203:9           perfect         16:8         periods         344:23         267:22 268:5         212:4 220:8,15           perfect loss         perjury         393:17         289:5 350:25         personalized           238:3         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         personalized           perfectly         39:2         permanent         person's 99:12         190:17 192:2           174:22 242:6         344:24 368:25         138:10 140:13         personalized           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           perform 137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personally 8:6           218:20 234:7         permanently         344:3         personally 8:6           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal         4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1         213:14 248:17         personalized           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22         29:19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12         60:14 172:12         282:11,13         366:10 367:7	percentage	333:16 334:15	209:15 213:20	381:18,23
172:5         251:4,7         236:3,8 267:21         203:15 211:3           perfect         168:14,15         periods         344:23         267:22 268:5         212:4 220:8,15           238:3         perjury         393:17         289:5 350:25         personalized           381:8,9 382:9         personalized         73:5 114:19           perfectly         39:2         permanent         person's         99:12         190:17 192:2           174:22 242:6         344:24 368:25         138:10 140:13         personalizing           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           perform         137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personally 8:6           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal         4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         permints         355:12         persistence         74:4,6,13         perspective           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         181:5 183:19         88:16 89:4         282:11,13           355:11         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7	246:4 293:13	393:18 394:7	229:16 230:14	personalize
perfect         168:14,15         perjury         393:17         289:5 350:25         212:4 220:8,15           perfectly         39:2         394:6         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         personalized           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         190:17 192:2         personalizing           perform         137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personally         8:6           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal         4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1           283:21 374:1         persistence         74:4,6,13         perspective           375:5 386:1         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         perspective           137:19 243:20         193:11 208:11         90:23 91:7         366:10 367:7,9           performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         pertaining           137:19 243:20         280:4 338:21         98:19 102:9,12         98:19           357:2         person 31:9         98:19 102:9,12         pertains 64:22           performing         94:11,17,23,24         160:13 161:21 <t< td=""><td>perceptions</td><td>periodically</td><td>231:22,24</td><td>194:20 203:9</td></t<>	perceptions	periodically	231:22,24	194:20 203:9
168:14,15         perjury         393:17         289:5 350:25         personalized           238:3         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         73:5 114:19           perfectly         39:2         permanent         174:22 242:6         344:24 368:25         138:10 140:13         personalizing           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           perform         137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personally 8:6           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         344:3         personally 8:6           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1           283:21 374:1         permits 355:12         69:4,21 71:8         213:14 248:17           374:14,23         persistence         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         181:5 183:19         88:16 89:4         282:11,13           355:11         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7         366:10 367:7,9           performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         9ertaining           357:2	172:5	251:4,7	236:3,8 267:21	203:15 211:3
238:3         394:6         381:8,9 382:9         73:5 114:19           perfectly         39:2         permanent         34:24 368:25         138:10 140:13         190:17 192:2         personalizing           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           perform         137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personalizing           218:20 234:7         permanently         344:3         personally         8:6           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal         4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1         161:2,14 213:1           283:21 374:1         permits         355:12         69:4,21 71:8         213:14 248:17           374:14,23         persistence         74:4,6,13         perspective           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7         366:10 367:7,9           performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         pertaining           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9	perfect 16:8	<b>periods</b> 344:23	267:22 268:5	212:4 220:8,15
perfectly         39:2         permanent         person's         99:12         190:17 192:2           174:22 242:6         344:24 368:25         138:10 140:13         personalizing           308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           perform         137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personally           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal         4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1         213:14 248:17           283:21 374:1         permits         355:12         69:4,21 71:8         21:3:14 248:17           374:14,23         persistence         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         183:20         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7         366:10 367:7,9           performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         9ertaining           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9         98:19           280:4 338:21         person         31:9         98:19 102:9,12         98:19 </td <td>168:14,15</td> <td><b>perjury</b> 393:17</td> <td>289:5 350:25</td> <td>personalized</td>	168:14,15	<b>perjury</b> 393:17	289:5 350:25	personalized
174:22 242:6       344:24 368:25       138:10 140:13       personalizing         308:6       369:9,11,13,13       227:18 229:7       195:1,2 226:13         perform 137:19       369:23       231:25 236:4       personally 8:6         218:20 234:7       198:19 368:22       344:3       personal 4:25       97:1 98:16         242:10,24       198:19 368:22       personal 4:25       97:1 98:16         244:13 283:11       370:14,18       personal 4:25       97:1 98:16         244:14,23       permits 355:12       69:4,21 71:8       213:14 248:17         375:5 386:1       persistent       76:17 80:18,19       21:3 56:22,23         performance       183:20       76:17 80:18,19       21:3 56:22,23         performed       183:25 187:6       90:23 91:7       366:10 367:7,9         performed       193:11 208:11       93:2,7,14,23       pertaining         137:19 243:20       235:20 378:14       94:4,9 96:3,9       64:14 94:19         280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         performing       94:11,17,23,24       160:13 161:21       pertains 64:22         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	238:3	394:6	381:8,9 382:9	73:5 114:19
308:6         369:9,11,13,13         227:18 229:7         195:1,2 226:13           perform 137:19         369:23         231:25 236:4         personally 8:6           218:20 234:7         198:19 368:22         personal 4:25         97:1 98:16           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal 4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1           283:21 374:1         permits 355:12         69:4,21 71:8         213:14 248:17           374:14,23         persistence         74:4,6,13         perspective           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         181:5 183:19         88:16 89:4         282:11,13           355:11         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7         9ertaining           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9         64:14 94:19           280:4 338:21         person 31:9         98:19 102:9,12         98:19           357:2         58:25 93:10         109:6 110:15         pertains 64:22           performing         94:11,17,23,24         160:13 161:21         pertuinent 22:17	perfectly 39:2	permanent	<b>person's</b> 99:12	190:17 192:2
perform137:19369:23231:25 236:4personally8:6218:20 234:7198:19 368:22344:316:15 62:2242:10,24198:19 368:22personal4:2597:1 98:16244:13 283:11370:14,1837:20 51:20161:2,14 213:1283:21 374:1permits355:1269:4,21 71:8213:14 248:17374:14,23persistence74:4,6,13perspective375:5 386:1183:2076:17 80:18,1921:3 56:22,23performancepersistent80:21 82:1460:14 172:12138:22 352:14181:5 183:1988:16 89:4282:11,13355:11183:25 187:690:23 91:7366:10 367:7,9performed193:11 208:1193:2,7,14,23pertaining137:19 243:20235:20 378:1494:4,9 96:3,964:14 94:19280:4 338:21person31:998:19 102:9,1298:19357:258:25 93:10109:6 110:15pertains64:22performing94:11,17,23,24160:13 161:21pertains64:22performs98:25 99:1,14181:7 212:2536:16 80:8	174:22 242:6	344:24 368:25	138:10 140:13	personalizing
218:20 234:7         permanently         344:3         16:15 62:2           242:10,24         198:19 368:22         personal 4:25         97:1 98:16           244:13 283:11         370:14,18         37:20 51:20         161:2,14 213:1           283:21 374:1         permits 355:12         69:4,21 71:8         213:14 248:17           374:14,23         persistence         74:4,6,13         perspective           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         181:5 183:19         88:16 89:4         282:11,13           355:11         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7         366:10 367:7,9           performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         pertaining           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9         64:14 94:19           280:4 338:21         person 31:9         98:19 102:9,12         98:19           357:2         58:25 93:10         109:6 110:15         pertains 64:22           performing         94:11,17,23,24         160:13 161:21         pertinent 22:17           performs         98:25 99:1,14         181:7 212:25         36:16 80:8	308:6	369:9,11,13,13	227:18 229:7	195:1,2 226:13
242:10,24       198:19 368:22       personal 4:25       97:1 98:16         244:13 283:11       370:14,18       37:20 51:20       161:2,14 213:1         283:21 374:1       permits 355:12       69:4,21 71:8       213:14 248:17         374:14,23       persistence       74:4,6,13       perspective         375:5 386:1       183:20       76:17 80:18,19       21:3 56:22,23         performance       persistent       80:21 82:14       60:14 172:12         138:22 352:14       181:5 183:19       88:16 89:4       282:11,13         355:11       183:25 187:6       90:23 91:7       366:10 367:7,9         performed       193:11 208:11       93:2,7,14,23       pertaining         137:19 243:20       235:20 378:14       94:4,9 96:3,9       64:14 94:19         280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing       94:11,17,23,24       160:13 161:21       pertinent 22:17         242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         36:16 80:8	<b>perform</b> 137:19	369:23	231:25 236:4	personally 8:6
244:13 283:11       370:14,18       37:20 51:20       161:2,14 213:1         283:21 374:1       permits 355:12       69:4,21 71:8       213:14 248:17         374:14,23       persistence       74:4,6,13       perspective         375:5 386:1       183:20       76:17 80:18,19       21:3 56:22,23         performance       persistent       80:21 82:14       60:14 172:12         138:22 352:14       181:5 183:19       88:16 89:4       282:11,13         355:11       183:25 187:6       90:23 91:7       366:10 367:7,9         performed       193:11 208:11       93:2,7,14,23       pertaining         137:19 243:20       235:20 378:14       94:4,9 96:3,9       64:14 94:19         280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing       94:11,17,23,24       160:13 161:21       pertinent 22:17         242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	218:20 234:7	permanently	344:3	16:15 62:2
283:21 374:1         permits         355:12         69:4,21 71:8         213:14 248:17           374:14,23         persistence         74:4,6,13         perspective           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         181:5 183:19         88:16 89:4         282:11,13           355:11         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7         366:10 367:7,9           performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         pertaining           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9         64:14 94:19           280:4 338:21         person 31:9         98:19 102:9,12         98:19           357:2         58:25 93:10         109:6 110:15         pertains 64:22           performing         94:11,17,23,24         160:13 161:21         pertinent 22:17           242:7         95:3,5,12         170:2 180:4         perusing 33:12           performs         98:25 99:1,14         181:7 212:25         36:16 80:8	242:10,24	198:19 368:22	personal 4:25	97:1 98:16
374:14,23         persistence         74:4,6,13         perspective           375:5 386:1         183:20         76:17 80:18,19         21:3 56:22,23           performance         persistent         80:21 82:14         60:14 172:12           138:22 352:14         181:5 183:19         88:16 89:4         282:11,13           355:11         183:25 187:6         90:23 91:7         366:10 367:7,9           performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         pertaining           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9         64:14 94:19           280:4 338:21         person 31:9         98:19 102:9,12         98:19           357:2         58:25 93:10         109:6 110:15         pertains 64:22           performing         94:11,17,23,24         160:13 161:21         pertinent 22:17           242:7         95:3,5,12         170:2 180:4         perusing 33:12           performs         98:25 99:1,14         181:7 212:25         36:16 80:8	244:13 283:11	370:14,18	37:20 51:20	161:2,14 213:1
375:5 386:1       183:20       76:17 80:18,19       21:3 56:22,23         performance       persistent       80:21 82:14       60:14 172:12         138:22 352:14       181:5 183:19       88:16 89:4       282:11,13         355:11       183:25 187:6       90:23 91:7       366:10 367:7,9         performed       193:11 208:11       93:2,7,14,23       pertaining         137:19 243:20       235:20 378:14       94:4,9 96:3,9       64:14 94:19         280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing       94:11,17,23,24       160:13 161:21       pertinent 22:17         242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	283:21 374:1	<b>permits</b> 355:12	69:4,21 71:8	213:14 248:17
performancepersistent80:21 82:1460:14 172:12138:22 352:14181:5 183:1988:16 89:4282:11,13355:11183:25 187:690:23 91:7366:10 367:7,9performed193:11 208:1193:2,7,14,23pertaining137:19 243:20235:20 378:1494:4,9 96:3,964:14 94:19280:4 338:21person 31:998:19 102:9,1298:19357:258:25 93:10109:6 110:15pertains 64:22performing94:11,17,23,24160:13 161:21pertinent 22:17242:795:3,5,12170:2 180:4perusing 33:12performs98:25 99:1,14181:7 212:2536:16 80:8	374:14,23	persistence	74:4,6,13	perspective
138:22 352:14       181:5 183:19       88:16 89:4       282:11,13         355:11       183:25 187:6       90:23 91:7       366:10 367:7,9         performed       193:11 208:11       93:2,7,14,23       pertaining         137:19 243:20       235:20 378:14       94:4,9 96:3,9       64:14 94:19         280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing       94:11,17,23,24       160:13 161:21       pertinent 22:17         242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	375:5 386:1	183:20	76:17 80:18,19	21:3 56:22,23
355:11       183:25 187:6       90:23 91:7       366:10 367:7,9         performed       193:11 208:11       93:2,7,14,23       pertaining         137:19 243:20       235:20 378:14       94:4,9 96:3,9       64:14 94:19         280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing       94:11,17,23,24       160:13 161:21       pertinent 22:17         242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	performance	persistent	80:21 82:14	60:14 172:12
performed         193:11 208:11         93:2,7,14,23         pertaining           137:19 243:20         235:20 378:14         94:4,9 96:3,9         64:14 94:19           280:4 338:21         person 31:9         98:19 102:9,12         98:19           357:2         58:25 93:10         109:6 110:15         pertains 64:22           performing         94:11,17,23,24         160:13 161:21         pertinent 22:17           242:7         95:3,5,12         170:2 180:4         perusing 33:12           performs         98:25 99:1,14         181:7 212:25         36:16 80:8	138:22 352:14	181:5 183:19	88:16 89:4	282:11,13
137:19 243:20       235:20 378:14       94:4,9 96:3,9       64:14 94:19         280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing 242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	355:11	183:25 187:6	90:23 91:7	366:10 367:7,9
280:4 338:21       person 31:9       98:19 102:9,12       98:19         357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing 242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	performed	193:11 208:11	93:2,7,14,23	pertaining
357:2       58:25 93:10       109:6 110:15       pertains 64:22         performing       94:11,17,23,24       160:13 161:21       pertinent 22:17         242:7       95:3,5,12       170:2 180:4       perusing 33:12         performs       98:25 99:1,14       181:7 212:25       36:16 80:8	137:19 243:20	235:20 378:14	94:4,9 96:3,9	64:14 94:19
performing         94:11,17,23,24         160:13 161:21         pertinent         22:17           242:7         95:3,5,12         170:2 180:4         perusing         33:12           performs         98:25 99:1,14         181:7 212:25         36:16 80:8	280:4 338:21	person 31:9	98:19 102:9,12	98:19
242:7 95:3,5,12 170:2 180:4 <b>perusing</b> 33:12 <b>performs</b> 98:25 99:1,14 181:7 212:25 36:16 80:8	357:2	58:25 93:10	109:6 110:15	pertains 64:22
<b>performs</b> 98:25 99:1,14 181:7 212:25 36:16 80:8	performing	94:11,17,23,24	160:13 161:21	pertinent 22:17
	242:7	95:3,5,12	170:2 180:4	perusing 33:12
277:19 99:18,19 235:20,23 81:5 108:14	performs	98:25 99:1,14	181:7 212:25	36:16 80:8
	277:19	99:18,19	235:20,23	81:5 108:14

# [perusing - point]

	1	1	1
189:19 210:23	211:13 212:25	180:3 181:10	<b>please</b> 3:4,7,24
237:2 249:2,11	276:21	185:10 289:17	45:8 83:16
259:10 281:14	phrased 177:6	344:1	87:17 93:5,18
283:16 372:3	phrases 85:17	<b>places</b> 40:10	95:16 173:8
372:24	pichai 20:24	103:5 136:11	176:12 235:4
peteysake	21:19 22:16	172:7 184:2	357:12 367:18
311:7	pichai's 21:9	252:1 295:7	<b>plenty</b> 83:22
<b>phased</b> 294:3	<b>pick</b> 3:5 116:6	375:20	279:23
phenomenon	121:13 197:3	<b>plaintiff</b> 1:9 2:4	<b>plus</b> 243:5
159:23	picked 64:1	2:9 8:25	347:1
philosophical	<b>piece</b> 17:22	365:21	<b>pocket</b> 99:8,13
150:2	163:1 230:20	plaintiffs 4:20	<b>point</b> 5:15 38:8
philosophy	250:8,11	7:17,17 8:25	49:5,10 50:4
308:3	342:16 348:11	23:23 35:1,6	50:10,17 51:22
<b>phone</b> 64:2	364:13	35:14 52:18	52:10,17 53:8
99:3,4,7,12	<b>pieces</b> 136:4,7	61:12 130:10	54:15 56:25
100:14,15,17	137:25 193:21	174:3 311:24	57:2,17,20
100:19 102:21	203:3 213:6	313:11 325:4	59:18 61:13
138:10 161:25	215:11 250:11	328:3 386:4,21	62:24 78:20,22
163:10 180:8	274:1 279:16	387:8	81:1 102:23
181:3 315:5	361:12 364:10	<b>plan</b> 320:18	106:21 130:20
348:16,17	<b>pii</b> 212:7 213:2	<b>plane</b> 306:11	142:11 145:18
364:8 386:21	213:7	<b>plate</b> 106:2,3	146:14 148:9
phones 3:8	<b>piqued</b> 225:25	162:1 180:6,7	151:15 152:2
265:19 386:18	<b>pissy</b> 339:1	180:12,19	167:9 175:1,9
<b>phrase</b> 36:2,3	<b>pitch</b> 113:7,13	187:7	178:11 181:6
39:12,16,20	126:8	platform 38:1	192:11 207:20
40:17 41:24,25	<b>pixel</b> 303:15	204:8 220:21	241:5 242:5
42:2 43:22	<b>pixels</b> 56:11,15	292:20 298:18	248:14 257:1,2
44:17 45:20,23	303:13 343:12	298:20	257:7 276:4
46:14 47:18,21	placated 61:5	platforms	285:4 298:1
48:18 49:12,21	<b>place</b> 44:12	292:1 321:11	317:16 329:11
70:21 71:17	67:7 88:1	385:1	337:15 338:12
80:4 131:2,3	101:5,7 136:5	<b>play</b> 130:12	340:14 349:17
132:3 192:12	136:19 179:22	230:9 274:24	358:21 374:2

## [point - pretty]

378:23	population	possibly 55:19	premium
pointed 80:11	261:6 272:7,13	326:19	319:13
310:13 357:10	273:12,15,18	post 101:11	prepare 141:3
pointing 88:15	273:19 308:19	233:8,13	prepared 273:2
106:19 181:18	<b>portion</b> 258:20	potential 39:3	present 2:18
190:9 191:13	259:16	39:24 76:13	127:6,21 170:6
249:15 335:12	<b>pose</b> 45:6	103:9 304:20	presentation
345:7	position 23:22	potentially	44:4
<b>points</b> 34:10	23:24 86:15,16	110:19 198:20	presented
42:9 54:18	116:20 121:6	221:2 273:17	109:12 143:7
62:5 63:21	207:22 264:24	powered 37:22	146:22 171:5
86:13 151:20	266:2 359:8	<b>powers</b> 124:11	presently 294:3
213:19 297:20	positions 86:23	practice 245:14	preserve
311:17 325:22	positives 258:1	practices 32:1	103:12
policies 68:5	258:9,22 259:2	34:15 270:25	preserving
85:20 169:13	259:17	precise 43:3	112:11 316:18
242:18	possession	73:23 232:15	<b>press</b> 317:1
<b>policy</b> 42:15	250:4	288:20	pressure 113:8
44:19 45:14	possessive	precisely 43:24	349:9 364:22
66:23 68:19	99:22	preconceived	364:23 365:13
73:12 74:25	possibilities	50:18	365:22
75:7 79:10	58:20	prediction	presumably
112:6 162:15	possibility 44:7	223:11 231:22	57:16 104:19
163:18,20	46:17 181:23	predictions	114:6 184:19
164:21,21	289:2,5 311:15	262:22	195:7 249:25
171:7,23 212:8	365:15	predictive	319:1
212:20 242:13	possible 45:5	222:21 223:17	presuming
285:24 333:21	108:7,9,20,25	preface 187:11	307:3
349:6 365:4	109:19,20	prefer 33:24	presumption
389:9	110:12 115:24	preferably	105:7
political 115:8	134:9 148:8	337:9	<b>pretty</b> 37:16
115:12,17	158:14 208:4	preliminary	38:7 42:6,18
populate	294:20 304:12	49:11 360:21	49:19 54:15
132:13 144:10	315:7,8,20	premarked	56:7 65:18
146:18	375:9	11:25	66:12 135:9

## [pretty - processor]

		T.	
143:9 146:6	101:23 102:5	proactive	181:4,8,10,14
151:4 211:14	103:6,8,12,15	206:25	181:25 183:20
245:8 309:2	103:20 104:4,4	probabilistic	187:8 189:1
318:18 319:25	104:9 110:16	244:20,22	199:18 208:2
320:15 334:3	112:11,13	245:13,23,25	225:2,3,19,20
361:4,13,20	113:4 115:1	probabilities	225:23 235:19
<b>prevent</b> 170:19	116:1 121:8	188:13	242:16 258:13
prevents 77:14	124:6 169:13	probability	295:24 346:6
previous	171:6,23 178:4	101:20	358:20 364:21
346:22 356:5	178:7 179:15	probably 5:21	problems 104:3
previously	181:14 187:2	38:9 46:18	112:8 115:14
151:20 173:22	188:6 197:23	49:9 97:17	207:15 209:22
348:22 350:3	199:5,8 200:2	107:6 115:19	225:16,18
<b>price</b> 272:7	200:5 201:8,12	131:5 132:19	procedural
primarily	201:13 207:24	145:15 162:21	125:24 228:21
22:10	208:21 212:8	183:13 218:13	228:24 231:8
<b>primed</b> 103:16	212:20 214:17	224:12 257:9	236:17,18
principle	229:4 235:17	297:21 302:6	procedure
168:12	235:19 265:13	314:18,21	393:19,20
<b>prior</b> 10:9,23	265:22 266:12	315:2 316:1,2	procedures
25:17 29:9	266:14 268:2	341:12 345:16	139:13
49:1 54:12	274:7,8 275:3	353:3 377:6	<b>proceed</b> 298:23
247:25 338:15	287:8 304:23	problem 13:25	299:2
381:2	305:13,14,22	14:14,16 16:2	process 10:6
pristine 102:25	315:9 316:18	16:3 78:9	16:10 111:2
privacy 19:14	341:2,10,12	91:22 100:21	118:4 159:19
19:17,18,20	342:11 389:9	101:18,19	277:18 280:7
24:25 28:20	private 3:6	114:15,16	280:11 282:19
42:15 44:19	25:9 70:6	116:12,24	283:6 285:21
45:14 51:11	103:14,17,17	120:9,10,12,15	312:25 349:9
59:1 63:3	120:17 199:6	120:15,19,20	363:21 377:1
66:23 68:5,19	199:24 200:21	122:16,18	processing
73:12 74:24	201:1,7 210:18	138:12 139:3	116:10
75:7 79:10	266:8	160:14 178:1	processor
85:20 92:18		178:15 179:1	118:15,19,21

## [processor - pseudonymous]

119:3	professional	<b>prompt</b> 32:16	<b>provide</b> 13:7,14
proclivities	1:23 317:6,7	314:13,16,22	15:20 62:15
229:7	professionally	prompts	69:21 77:20
produce 301:7	51:1	315:10	80:24 119:25
327:21 328:1	professor	<b>proof</b> 205:22	170:10 205:23
370:20	111:16	propensity	316:13 327:22
produced	profile 188:11	220:18 221:15	329:18 376:16
154:11 244:7	343:23	222:16 305:23	provided 62:25
288:11 312:23	<b>profit</b> 382:21	properly 95:11	111:10 118:24
321:19 330:13	program 225:3	properties	131:13 157:3
333:20 335:16	225:5,6,6,21,21	197:23 288:13	170:24 214:3
336:23 370:21	297:17 384:5	proportion	238:10 345:5
product 121:1	programmatic	382:23	393:19 394:8
121:10 127:12	227:16 229:13	proposal	provider
154:6 222:4	programming	370:10,11	290:10
294:2 295:12	7:5 183:11	<b>propose</b> 129:19	providers
296:22 299:25	programs	133:3 313:25	123:6 182:9
302:17 304:17	297:17	324:8 360:24	184:3 319:19
310:1 323:20	project 95:25	proposed	provides 62:14
340:16 341:25	112:21 148:20	240:20	109:18 175:19
production	292:5,8,23	proposing	<b>providing</b> 22:3
241:16	376:4	108:2 179:12	43:20 69:3
products	projection	<b>pros</b> 303:12	331:3 334:18
107:23 108:4	321:4	protect 69:8	provision
110:8 124:11	<b>promise</b> 60:7	71:11 206:24	120:11
127:10 148:10	83:22	207:3	<b>pseudo</b> 372:14
148:14,24	promised 358:3	protocol 286:3	pseudonym
182:3 221:19	promising	287:14	53:25
221:22 262:23	196:12,14	<b>prototype</b> 97:5	pseudonymous
295:25 303:18	207:22	<b>proud</b> 16:5	36:23 53:9,15
323:12 341:2,3	<b>promo</b> 295:5,8	<b>prove</b> 205:18	53:17,19 54:1
342:3	350:6 379:5	209:13 325:23	54:5 64:12
profession	promote 287:8	proven 225:8	81:25 134:20
83:17	promotion	provenance	140:12 241:4
	218:25 350:12	76:5	282:21 349:18

### [pseudonymous - question]

	1		
349:19,22	<b>pulls</b> 235:7	174:25 175:23	32:23 33:2,5
350:1 372:20	purchase 226:2	177:13 199:14	33:23 34:9
375:16 376:16	226:5,8,11,25	206:6 207:21	36:7,25 37:5
378:16 386:19	351:2 372:12	247:2 261:4	43:13 44:16
pseudonyms	purchased	286:13 321:23	50:23 51:21
86:21	226:24	327:24 347:1	53:24 55:2
psychiatric	<b>purge</b> 32:20	349:4 354:8	58:13 61:13
115:12	104:8 105:4	365:9,21	64:17 65:17
public 1:24	107:12 108:3	<b>puts</b> 116:19	66:6 68:25
21:25 42:19	111:7 129:14	364:23	70:12 72:17
56:16,19 92:8	129:20 177:3	putting 76:17	73:10 75:6,16
113:19 196:23	<b>purged</b> 104:6	122:25 136:4	76:25 77:23
264:8 388:14	<b>purging</b> 143:25	138:24 364:10	78:8 79:13
391:3 392:23	purpose 35:24	366:15	82:19 83:20,24
publication	113:15 114:6	q	85:2,12 93:5
117:2,5,7	132:15 144:12	qualified 383:6	93:17 95:15
<b>publicly</b> 43:19	178:8 219:19	qualitatively	104:11 106:13
271:21,22	252:18 262:7	125:2 188:1	110:10 111:15
273:8	284:14,25	quality 111:20	113:6 121:18
published	285:13 355:5	quanty 111.20 quantified	122:23 125:16
113:16	purposes	320:8	127:18 134:25
publisher	118:20 231:2	quantify 168:8	135:10,12
214:13 264:2	310:25 380:12	168:11,22	139:9 141:1
264:12 366:16	383:14	215:10 266:16	143:22 144:5
368:2	pursuant 1:19	quantity	144:17 150:1,3
publisher's	<b>push</b> 322:8	330:13	150:16 155:19
372:9	<b>pushing</b> 298:17	<b>quantum</b> 137:1	155:21 156:4
publishers	<b>put</b> 5:21 6:1	137:12	156:12 164:12
153:6,13	8:11 9:9 29:2	quarters 305:4	165:17 174:6
154:14 155:25	36:18 40:25	quarters 303.4 queried 174:17	174:20 177:17
<b>pull</b> 67:4 71:18	49:23 53:24	queries 369:25	181:15 183:23
99:4 117:2,4	76:15 77:13	question 9:2,22	186:22,25
194:5 211:1,13	82:8 92:12	11:16 18:17,18	191:11,12
<b>pulled</b> 301:10	101:12 121:5	22:6 26:24	203:5 216:10
	124:21 150:13	27:3 32:5,14	216:21 220:12

# [question - read]

227:12,14	questions 14:11	quotation	rates 319:21
229:12 232:2	17:4 33:15	153:7	<b>rather</b> 108:21
236:10 238:25	34:7 45:16	quotations	124:3
239:20,23	91:4 149:11	25:21 45:20	<b>rating</b> 205:11
242:20 246:16	169:21 173:23	quote 24:3	<b>ratings</b> 206:18
247:7,9 256:21	217:6 220:11	26:14 45:18	<b>razor</b> 89:11
259:15 261:23	247:25 259:13	166:7 275:23	<b>reach</b> 206:4
263:21 264:11	268:21 327:2	363:20	<b>react</b> 308:10
264:21,25	344:19 346:22	quoted 24:15	<b>read</b> 16:20 23:4
265:22 266:11	347:5 350:4	24:22	23:5,5 27:20
267:12 268:18	354:11 356:5	quotes 45:15	36:7 45:22
270:16,21	356:18,19	153:4,17 213:3	52:14 56:25
272:1,18,20	358:3,5 359:12	292:25	57:2,4,20 58:8
273:3,4 275:5	359:14,15	<b>quoting</b> 238:11	59:22 60:16
275:24 279:5	360:9 362:25	r	61:8,18 64:17
282:3,15	363:4 377:13	<b>r</b> 2:1 129:1	69:17 70:25
283:18,24	377:17,23,23	395:3,3	76:14 78:25
284:4,19 288:7	377:25 378:1	<b>r&amp;s</b> 394:1,9	79:2,3 80:7
290:18 295:17	379:4 380:2	rabbit 208:15	81:3,7 92:5
297:6 322:1	381:16	radio 206:3	105:9 108:15
325:7 326:15	<b>quibble</b> 203:23	rahman 2:16	113:17 118:5
327:8 331:24	quick 33:8	72:9,14	142:22 144:23
333:7 334:15	160:13 163:6	raise 85:3	145:14 146:23
334:23 353:17	176:5,6 232:23	113:5	164:12 191:3
354:10,12,19	280:23 283:13	raised 231:11	210:1 211:20
356:12 357:1,8	310:18 344:8	231:12	229:16 236:25
357:15,22	374:6	raising 110:16	245:24 248:25
358:15 359:21	<b>quickly</b> 317:11	rambo 133:24	249:10 259:8
363:16 366:13	318:25	range 323:12	264:21,22
368:11 381:11	<b>quite</b> 4:24 5:17	rate 5:4,6 16:9	280:19 284:2
385:7,19,21,25	6:22 16:4	16:11 162:15	297:4 305:4
386:16	239:15 292:24	162:16 163:17	336:12 341:17
questioning	362:17 373:23	166:24 168:20	345:24 372:1
236:16 331:7	<b>quo</b> 61:5	246:3 256:4,8	377:6
346:25		240.3 230.4,0	

## [reader - record]

reader 34:12	275:15 280:5	214:4,21	281:1 317:23
readily 378:17	286:7 289:3,6	216:16 224:18	329:3 344:11
reading 74:10	298:19 307:13	226:15 229:2	362:6
191:4 329:25	308:7,11	238:15,16	recharacterize
330:2 393:23	310:15 319:22	246:23 252:10	152:24
394:9	328:4,11	253:18 255:4,6	recognize 84:8
<b>reads</b> 154:15	333:20 335:9	256:18,22	84:9 97:19
<b>ready</b> 273:24	342:4 363:18	262:4 264:14	279:8 365:17
300:16 329:7	reask 270:20	284:11,15,17	recollection
<b>real</b> 15:9 87:1	283:18 367:23	284:18 285:18	281:19 339:16
101:3 176:5	reason 51:12	287:16,17	346:16
208:24 209:5,6	62:9 81:1	292:5 311:18	recommended
209:15 235:24	113:16 146:14	313:12 314:15	82:18
280:23 373:18	182:16 193:15	315:12 339:20	reconcile
realistic 299:7	223:13 256:13	356:7 359:24	240:12
realized 235:15	282:12 286:23	362:25 365:10	<b>record</b> 3:2,23
236:13	291:21 365:23	365:14	4:8 36:19
<b>really</b> 14:18,24	380:10 392:4	recalling	47:11,14 66:18
38:13 52:24	395:6,9,12,15	297:19	66:21 67:14,15
63:11 70:11	395:18,21	receive 322:4	67:19 112:12
84:18 86:14	reasons 155:14	received 47:1,6	128:5 129:5
90:8 105:3	<b>rebut</b> 321:13	139:14 253:10	150:15 173:1,4
111:1,4 114:11	rebuttal 124:22	373:22	176:13,20,23
114:18 122:22	126:1 162:10	receiving 163:8	189:21,23,24
124:10 137:15	234:6 389:8	322:2	190:1 199:9
149:14 150:2	rebutted	recently 30:25	215:4 233:2,5
173:11 178:3	125:19	108:16 114:12	240:6 247:24
178:11,14	recall 10:4	146:23 295:12	251:19,22
179:10 187:15	13:22 14:3	299:16 300:5	257:4 260:6
189:12 195:18	28:10 30:4,11	300:23 315:13	264:16,22
196:3 198:11	30:14 31:16,17	317:10	280:25 281:3
198:15 209:8	34:3,11,23	recess 47:12	295:3,21 313:8
216:3 217:20	50:9 51:8	66:19 128:6	313:22,24
241:8 247:5	134:2 143:3	173:2 176:21	317:22,25
266:9 267:21	147:18 166:1	233:3 251:20	329:2,5 343:10
	1	I .	1

# [record - relay]

344:10,13	reduce 344:2	refraining	378:8
346:8,9 348:5	redundant	211:2	reidentified
348:9,12,18,19	27:12,15	refresh 241:10	348:12 364:14
354:9 357:4	227:14	256:25 280:17	375:25 376:2
358:25 361:2	reengage 296:7	281:19 283:14	378:17,21
362:5,8 369:24	reengineer	287:25 346:15	reidentifies
378:6 386:5	110:3	374:6,20	235:11 380:24
387:4,12 391:8	reestablish	refreshed	reidentify
recorded 3:10	348:8	173:10	348:18 349:2
25:10 199:11	reevaluate	<b>refused</b> 327:22	349:14 370:12
199:14 256:18	340:15	328:1	376:8 378:22
261:9 295:17	refamiliarize	<b>regain</b> 287:25	381:7
325:24 350:24	362:19	<b>regard</b> 349:18	reidentifying
350:25 351:3	<b>refer</b> 37:7,10	350:9 353:6,8	378:11
recording	234:24 349:10	regarding	<b>reject</b> 184:25
337:25 371:24	reference	36:21 40:4	rejecting 185:9
recordkeeping	231:25 263:24	64:10 65:14,16	<b>relate</b> 102:12
206:18 219:15	357:22	93:22 94:2	161:20
220:5 262:7	referenced	157:8 285:24	related 38:2
records 104:7	25:21 393:6	344:19 347:5	48:5 62:2 63:3
112:13 210:11	references 6:14	350:4 379:4	66:3 76:18
243:6 313:6	referred 196:6	380:2	240:19 330:9
324:9,12	377:2	regardless	391:10
329:18	referring 32:4	190:19 202:15	relates 38:4
recreate 159:18	40:3 46:6,7,9	276:22 353:15	40:13
215:19	85:16 107:15	378:15	relating 105:13
<b>red</b> 106:24	130:14 133:8	registered 1:23	109:10 129:13
<b>redact</b> 163:12	157:14 192:17	regularity	222:16 373:23
redaction	194:5,7 204:9	294:10	relation 10:2
310:25	253:4 312:22	regularly 14:21	relative 235:12
redefinable	325:12 337:22	<b>rehab</b> 176:14	273:25
380:19	<b>refers</b> 249:23	reidentification	relatively
redirect 353:5	reflected 12:16	347:6 348:2,4	323:20
354:1 360:6	12:21 31:2	363:9,14,17	<b>relay</b> 31:1
	90:13	364:11,17	

## [release - report]

release 364:24 released 114:11 393:21 relevance 21:6	381:13 remember 5:7 10:7 27:1 29:15 30:15,16	264:23 rendering 148:13 167:2	82:21,22 84:3 88:6,12 90:8,8
393:21	10:7 27:1		
relevance 21:6	29:15 30:15,16		90:10,14 97:14
		168:1 231:3,3	98:3 104:8,12
21:8,17 122:9	30:18,20 51:16	287:12	105:19 106:10
363:22	55:11 73:22	reopening	109:17,23
relevant 21:24	97:16 98:4	360:10	110:17 113:5
22:18,24,25	105:1 140:4	<b>rep</b> 223:3	124:18,20
47:3,7 204:19	156:22 165:18	repeat 18:24	125:9 130:17
325:18 327:23	175:1,10,22,23	replace 304:13	133:22 140:14
334:3,20 337:1	185:5 227:9	report 6:4,7,15	141:4 142:9
368:23	233:11 243:2	6:17 7:10,23	147:21,22
reliable 205:24	243:12 246:18	8:16,18 11:5,6	148:5,23 150:4
reliably 275:18	246:20 253:5	11:9,24 12:6	150:25 151:5
relief 31:23	259:5 263:20	12:22,24 15:14	152:4 155:1
35:2,13 58:20	263:23 265:4,6	15:22,23 16:4	157:4 169:18
<b>relies</b> 193:1	276:1,8 314:20	16:13,18,20,24	170:15 175:4,5
208:11 368:4	315:24 316:3	17:1,11 19:3	175:6,21,24
rely 290:22	326:20 338:4	21:12,14 22:9	181:15 187:12
310:3 336:11	339:8,11,14	22:10 24:15	189:10 196:2
336:13 r	remembered	25:22 26:14,18	203:8 207:16
relying 26:18	249:13	27:5,17 28:6	209:25 210:4
27:6,8,16,21 r	remind 27:2	28:12 29:5,10	210:21 212:24
29:4 288:22,24	359:22	30:3,6,9,13,15	213:5 224:10
289:9,10 r	reminds 29:7	30:15 31:2,6,7	226:16 227:10
299:19 312:15 r	remote 218:2	31:15,24 32:3	232:10 234:3
313:13,17 r	render 125:12	33:16 34:13	235:6 238:21
remain 25:9	145:10 168:4	36:3 37:1 38:9	240:8,9 242:22
92:24 201:7	286:25 287:13	38:18 39:22	243:9,11 247:2
303:22	340:17 365:19	40:18 41:23	248:6,18 252:1
remainder	367:11	42:10 44:15	255:16,19
293:19 r	rendered 12:11	45:15,17,19	263:25 264:4
remaining	12:15,19 64:24	55:12 58:19	265:14 266:13
288:14 348:7	66:10 106:9	70:1 73:15	275:13,24
371:10 373:21	123:9 124:14	78:25 81:3,10	276:3,12,16,17

## [report - retained]

284:20,21,23	211:18 214:15	318:19	responding
286:8,14	represent	required	9:23 32:16
295:18 298:1	254:22 255:2	296:11 318:22	response 9:9,11
299:11 308:17	representation	requirement	9:14,19 10:2
311:14,19	142:18 196:10	297:2	33:20 125:25
318:3,12	representations	requires	275:16 280:14
323:18 324:1	124:7 196:7	112:14,16	293:1
325:17 326:9	210:9	192:24	responses
326:11,17,25	representative	reread 141:4	46:21 47:2,16
327:6,15 328:6	216:2,6 234:18	241:10	52:13 258:6
339:23 345:1,2	253:14 254:4	research 95:20	responsible
345:6 346:10	308:25	112:7 113:15	205:3
349:10 350:7	represented	113:17 274:4	responsive
353:17 357:23	203:13 293:20	reserve 353:5	43:20
358:23 359:17	representing	353:25	restroom
360:19 361:9	8:22 9:2 358:2	reserved	246:14 280:22
361:10,16	358:7	269:13	<b>result</b> 258:19
362:12 363:18	represents	resisted 327:22	278:4 281:21
364:3 367:1	199:22	334:17	297:15 307:3
369:23 371:5	reproduce	resolve 21:24	resulted 174:12
373:25 378:24	159:23	207:15	results 301:8
384:20,25	reproduced	resource	resumed 129:3
386:7,14 389:7	338:5	279:24	retain 205:8,18
389:8,11,12,13	<b>reps</b> 220:20	respect 19:2	206:20 287:10
389:14,15,16	reputable	123:8 126:19	331:17,22
389:17,18,19	233:12	134:17 208:21	335:23
389:20	request 173:17	236:14 252:22	retained 4:20
<b>report's</b> 67:22	174:4	266:25 331:15	9:5,18 10:15
67:23	requested	370:8	11:2 13:19
reporter 1:23	174:1 394:1,9	respected	14:5 34:14
3:19,24 77:22	394:10	268:6	49:5,18 50:8
reporting	requests	respective	55:4,6,9 115:1
392:1	327:19 390:5	347:15	251:9 270:14
reports 15:18	require 113:25	respond 9:6	330:7 334:10
63:16 140:4	119:10 168:24	10:11 125:20	335:2,13

# [retained - right]

368:22 370:14	393:10,13	55:1,23 56:5	182:9 183:19
370:18 389:21	394:2	56:12,17 57:1	184:4,9,11,18
retention 9:25	reviewed 8:8	57:18 58:2	185:8,21,25
55:18 332:7	31:14 44:20	63:13 71:14	186:14 187:3
333:12,16,21	46:13,20 47:17	72:8,23 73:8	187:11 188:21
334:14 344:23	49:8,9,16,19	75:10 78:24	191:1,20,24
368:25 369:24	50:7,11 51:22	81:25 95:23,24	194:9 200:8
retentions	52:11 53:5	96:19 97:13,23	201:14 202:15
335:11	57:15 90:18,20	98:12 99:14	204:20,25
<b>retort</b> 162:14	91:11 129:16	100:7 101:24	208:13 210:3
<b>retorts</b> 215:14	129:24 131:20	102:20,23	212:16 215:12
215:16,17	134:18 147:13	104:6,24	217:14 218:5
retrospectively	147:17,20,25	105:22 109:11	222:17 223:20
209:20 260:8	157:8 171:23	119:16,20	226:4 228:6
<b>return</b> 277:22	196:22 214:15	120:6,19	231:15 232:16
393:17 394:6	216:15 353:17	121:17 123:6	233:10,18
returning	reviewing	124:19 125:7	236:11 237:23
117:10	90:21	127:1,8 129:21	240:21 241:6
revealing 95:22	rewrite 115:3	131:22 133:2	241:14 242:24
241:2	rewritten 115:5	133:10 137:6	243:9 244:2,5
revenue 5:15	115:6	138:2 139:15	247:6 249:23
5:16 221:8	<b>rfa</b> 275:16	139:25 143:11	250:7 251:4,24
262:25 293:5	<b>rid</b> 100:11	147:14 149:20	254:19,23
300:19	<b>right</b> 6:19,19	149:23 151:2	258:2,19
reverse 58:3	6:20,22 12:17	151:14,24	259:14 261:13
<b>revert</b> 300:1,4	13:19 15:8,25	152:13 153:8	261:16 262:1
<b>review</b> 6:3,6	17:25 27:11	154:1 155:5,9	266:4,21
8:6 9:12,14	28:7 29:10	158:4,24	271:17 273:9
16:12,15 91:5	33:7,23 34:13	160:18 165:5	273:12 274:10
91:6 108:12,13	34:18 36:1,9	166:13 169:1	275:4 276:11
157:5 166:15	37:19,22 39:13	169:24 170:4	277:7,23
169:12 171:6	42:15 44:21	170:12 173:19	278:12,14,24
189:15 210:22	47:18 49:8	173:21 174:18	279:1 281:8
281:5 335:15	50:7,11,15	179:18,19	283:4,6,12,19
374:18 393:8	51:1 53:4,15	180:13,14	284:7 285:1,22

## [right - santacana]

286:9 289:14	384:17 386:21	rmcgee 2:11	305:19 366:6
289:18 290:5	387:23	road 33:25	running 218:24
291:5,13	ring 292:15	186:25	runs 111:19
292:11 294:14	367:2	robot 58:5	185:6 225:22
296:9,21 298:3	risen 340:23	rodriguez 1:7	ryan 2:10
299:14 301:17	risk 102:4,5	3:12 311:6,15	S
301:24 307:16	103:6,8 104:9	312:12 377:3	
307:22 312:4	104:19 106:4	392:2 393:4	s 2:1 129:1,1,1
314:9 317:14	106:16 138:24	395:1	389:5 392:4
322:5 323:25	187:2,4 188:6	roi 223:5 301:9	395:3
324:2,20 325:2	188:11 198:21	<b>role</b> 6:9 8:15	<b>safari</b> 199:23
327:9,11	234:24 235:1,5	13:6,11 20:11	200:20 201:1
328:12 329:24	235:14,15,24	<b>roof</b> 188:8	safe 199:14
330:3,16 331:1	236:13 238:8	<b>room</b> 165:9	220:20 223:3,7
331:13 332:4	238:12,23	175:25 199:7	225:5
332:14,20	239:7,14 250:7	199:10 200:7,9	safest 41:11
333:10 335:4	250:19,23	<b>rotated</b> 251:5,6	sake 233:25
337:6 338:9,17	251:12 265:22	251:10	sales 113:7,12
340:7 343:13	267:8,8 274:7	rotating 295:25	sample 216:1,5
344:7 351:7	274:8,11,12,17	rough 225:3	216:6,9 234:18
353:5,25	275:6 342:23	roughly 4:17	234:19 256:3
354:23 355:21	343:19,23	4:23 5:10,11	305:4
355:25 356:15	344:3 365:3,16	5:12,25 367:3	sampledadev
358:12,17	risks 106:18	<b>round</b> 288:9	330:24 333:15
360:5 362:24	110:16 113:5	rounding 319:9	<b>sampling</b> 304:7
366:4,24	188:12 236:11	<b>rs</b> 1:6	304:9,10 308:9
367:11 368:13	239:10,24	<b>ruin</b> 342:16	353:21
369:3,8 370:2	240:5,18	rule 292:15	san 1:5 2:14
371:15 373:12	265:13 266:12	310:15	santacana 2:15
374:23 375:7	266:14 267:14	rules 115:4,4	4:6 47:8,15
375:21 376:11	275:3,5 342:11	394:8	66:15,22 67:8
376:18 378:5	342:15	<b>run</b> 116:23	67:20 71:25
378:12,17	<b>risky</b> 266:18	163:9 225:22	72:6,12,16,20
379:17 381:4	268:12 270:24	235:6 294:9,16	85:5 86:1
382:16,21,23	341:2	296:14 301:22	106:25 107:15 107:17 117:4
			10/.1/ 11/.4

#### [santacana - says]

123:20 128:2	385:11,17,22	80:10 81:11	287:16 296:21
129:7 140:22	386:10,23	122:17 170:12	296:23 299:3
143:17,23	387:3,16,19,24	170:19 171:1	300:5 306:13
146:2,9,16	389:3 393:1	195:7 198:18	309:23 310:10
149:7 160:11	sat 110:22	198:19 199:2	310:11 326:4
160:14 164:7	327:6	210:11,14,18	328:11,22
169:9,24 170:4	satisfactory	230:4 368:7	331:14 334:13
172:23 176:16	44:25	370:9	345:7 355:9
176:24 190:2	satisfy 35:14	saw 52:17	356:12 359:9
215:5 233:6	113:4 297:2	130:15 247:23	360:17,18
246:11,15	save 40:5,9	253:13 254:23	364:18 367:6
248:5 249:12	58:25 69:24	345:15	369:16 377:21
251:16,23	73:7 80:3 83:7	sawmill 131:6	377:22
257:8 264:5	83:14 198:17	337:5,16	says 11:10
268:17,24	198:20 209:11	saying 26:7	34:13 36:19
269:2,9,15,21	325:4,9,10,11	39:19 40:2	58:8 65:13
270:1,4,15	377:11,15	41:2 43:16	70:22 71:12,16
276:17 280:21	saved 25:3	59:8 91:3	75:10 80:3
281:4 284:6	70:22 73:3	105:6 106:15	84:3 87:24
288:1,5 295:6	74:6,13 75:1	122:19 123:4	94:2 103:13
306:8,16 313:1	187:18 197:16	124:25 126:23	130:24 132:13
314:5,7 317:17	198:14 251:15	132:5 134:16	147:2 149:15
318:1 328:24	271:14,15	138:13 139:6	150:25 151:18
329:6 333:5	318:25 325:1,3	139:25 140:1	152:9 153:12
339:3 344:7,14	329:20 336:15	142:5,16	153:16 154:25
345:23 349:24	382:1	153:18 155:8	165:4 177:15
350:10 353:4	saves 36:21	163:25 169:20	181:18 199:11
353:11,25	40:4 64:10	172:12 178:25	199:13 201:16
354:2,7 360:17	81:24 82:3,23	182:16 197:6	212:16 237:4
362:2,9 369:15	83:25 90:23	227:15 230:16	238:21 241:11
369:19 371:11	93:20,22	240:13,13	247:18 248:2,6
377:14,20	121:19,24	248:6 256:8,9	248:20 250:15
378:2,18 379:3	241:18,20	270:7 271:5	278:21 292:23
381:1,12 383:1	saving 40:9	278:16 279:4	293:11 298:1
383:18 385:8	44:3,9,11	281:23 285:18	299:11 311:4,6

#### [says - see]

	I	I	T
311:23 314:22	80:4,16 82:13	searched	155:14 168:12
315:6 329:15	87:5 169:17	131:11 330:20	168:13,14
330:23 331:20	196:1 222:7	searching	197:23 239:7
336:14 384:2	224:5 227:3	204:14	239:11 273:18
386:12,17	244:5 255:16	<b>second</b> 32:23	282:9 365:16
scale 245:17	268:15,22	36:6,15 47:9	see 16:4 20:11
318:14	269:17,19	67:12,12 80:7	36:17,23 44:12
scams 274:21	270:9 272:25	127:12 172:24	59:14 62:13,20
scanning 167:8	screen 72:7	176:17 221:3	65:11 68:12,13
167:15,17	228:14 231:5	248:25 262:20	69:12,25 73:8
scenario	373:1	266:4,10	76:21 81:15
131:18 188:7	<b>screw</b> 137:11	275:14 290:19	87:1,3 89:9
188:19 250:13	<b>sdk</b> 150:8,9,10	346:24 362:3	91:1 92:7 96:7
279:3 306:21	150:18,19,21	369:20 370:13	101:11 105:19
367:25 371:17	151:1 152:8,11	371:1 372:22	107:20 120:9
371:20,22	152:13 154:9	375:4 376:12	126:7 131:15
372:4,6	155:7,11	379:3 385:3	144:15 147:1
scenarios 102:7	157:11 165:13	<b>seconds</b> 373:20	147:10 148:16
102:8 179:4	171:15 184:11	secret 88:9	154:2 183:16
310:12 367:2,4	184:15 185:4,6	199:9	199:19 212:22
<b>scenes</b> 140:9	185:20 186:17	secretly 212:3	218:11,17
schedule	203:2,2 289:14	section 12:7	219:17 220:6
393:10	289:23 290:5	35:16,25 58:19	220:14 221:11
<b>schema</b> 41:21	291:5,10 298:6	104:23,25	222:25 224:13
<b>scheme</b> 319:9	322:25 324:20	107:16 108:1	226:9 229:5
321:7	368:1,6 370:9	132:25 133:22	237:8 238:4,8
schiller 2:2	372:7,8	134:2 147:4	238:12,17
10:16,20	<b>sdks</b> 151:6	151:5,12 224:9	243:6 246:6
schneier 27:8	182:8,20,25	311:18 324:5	247:1 259:3
305:11	183:8 289:20	327:9 328:6,23	263:24 275:20
<b>school</b> 111:17	291:7 384:17	338:4	276:23 279:3
scientific	<b>search</b> 62:18	secure 118:2	281:16 284:13
159:22	133:6,16	security 17:18	286:11,23
<b>scope</b> 70:4,12	134:10 204:16	26:2 73:25	293:9,16
74:2,23 79:20	351:21 370:19	106:16 114:10	295:24 296:18

#### [see - sequitur]

310:5 311:8	122:15 191:25	semantic 83:15	186:11,12,19
317:1,2 321:8	219:3,6 220:17	83:18	187:19 197:20
321:15,17	235:2 277:10	semantics	198:13 258:21
330:9 332:23	287:7 301:7	189:6	259:16 260:25
345:20 350:23	seen 14:22 15:5	<b>send</b> 58:23	278:17 281:20
353:20 355:9	49:7 97:12	158:16 159:8	281:22 310:1
358:20 360:3	101:15 137:16	159:12 160:1	325:19
362:16 368:9	214:21 222:9	160:16,23	sentence 25:5
368:18 369:15	246:19 315:1	161:1,3,7,24	73:3,14 75:3,9
370:4 372:17	316:4 331:12	162:6 163:21	77:2 78:1
372:25 373:1	352:20,25	164:4,16	81:15 132:12
373:10 374:11	354:12,20	165:10 185:12	133:15 147:2
374:13 386:6	357:20	185:14 187:4,5	153:16 177:10
seeing 24:18	sees 6:23 229:9	195:15 196:14	192:13,14
214:16 243:2	230:8 372:10	<b>sending</b> 196:18	215:2 237:4
246:20 248:4	<b>segment</b> 208:22	198:1,15	240:25 242:21
256:18 315:12	seismic 299:6	210:18 233:9	249:4 288:10
<b>seek</b> 160:3	<b>seized</b> 348:16	<b>sends</b> 121:23	311:22
173:11 301:5	<b>select</b> 356:19	185:11 277:20	separate 7:12
seeking 33:22	selected 292:10	278:18,23	7:13 113:9
<b>seem</b> 17:13	346:13	sense 5:13,22	117:12 136:10
18:25 22:24,25	selecting	8:10 29:19	148:3 154:6
23:1 31:11	381:23	99:10 114:14	188:23 277:21
58:13 59:24	selection 143:8	116:11 175:8	279:14 281:21
163:8 167:8,15	370:22	319:24	282:20,24
246:23 253:18	selectively	sensitive 3:5	283:2 385:16
260:3 287:9	195:23	25:1,3 138:14	385:18
293:23 298:10	<b>sell</b> 113:10	138:16 163:12	separated
327:23	126:9 275:1	210:16 229:10	349:4 365:8
seemed 31:12	305:11 366:10	343:9,10	385:23
148:2	367:6,8 379:5	<b>sent</b> 57:6 64:13	separates
seems 20:3 24:4	379:9 380:7,13	64:20 66:2	348:23
24:5,9 25:20	<b>selling</b> 305:9,17	105:14 160:18	sequitur 76:12
26:5,10,13,20	366:11	161:15,21	76:14,24,24
32:13 74:15,17		163:22 184:2	80:12

#### [serious - shut]

serious 77:14	serving 29:9	<b>setup</b> 169:22	<b>shoes</b> 305:23
239:15	30:13 205:4	193:14	305:24
serve 190:17	set 31:25 40:7	seven 356:11	shook 246:17
205:7 210:2	44:2,7 51:9	359:9 380:20	shoot 65:9,20
231:23 290:4	58:23 72:18	380:22 385:5	104:16 123:1
served 4:14	102:14 103:13	seventh 1:21	shooting
16:24 30:2,6,9	117:12 127:12	3:17	125:21 126:4
30:14 193:25	127:24 147:19	several 11:1	shop 7:21
269:22 368:1	171:19 185:4	291:19	shop 7.21 short 197:14
372:6 382:19	188:12 195:13	severe 267:14	198:17
server 108:22	205:25 209:2		shot 72:7
		267:21,22	
196:25 197:8	216:9 244:25	severity 265:21 266:13 267:5	<b>show</b> 41:4,5 45:8 62:1,3,7
197:20 218:3	256:3 271:11		, ,
277:21 278:5,6	294:8 295:25	275:6	63:12,15,18
278:8,9,17	334:6,6 391:7	sexual 229:7	82:15 88:10,18
280:12,12,12	391:14	shadow 88:4,8	88:20 91:20
281:21	sets 111:25	88:13 90:24,25	141:16 165:7
servers 257:25	116:17 175:13	shards 114:23	166:9 197:3
278:5 279:23	268:5 347:18	114:24	204:18 262:11
279:23	setting 17:21	share 67:2 72:2	264:18 276:13
service 111:10	41:3 108:21	116:13 298:21	376:5
116:10 117:17	161:12 171:4	300:13 373:4	showed 357:5,5
117:20 119:19	307:10 315:5	<b>sharing</b> 166:7,8	<b>showing</b> 199:15
121:20 160:3	322:7 323:4,6	263:12 264:6,6	204:22 236:21
162:12 164:22	323:10 335:22	264:12 339:9	<b>shown</b> 24:18
166:15,18,25	337:12 339:10	339:15	83:5 205:20
167:5,12 168:3	339:17	<b>shed</b> 23:1	214:10 288:4
168:24 233:21	settings 17:24	<b>sheds</b> 23:10	311:23 335:6
237:22	65:22 69:11	<b>sheet</b> 392:1	358:18
services 69:2,7	71:15 73:6	sheets 358:5,8	shows 241:17
69:23 70:19	80:20 171:17	359:2,22	275:18 321:14
73:6 79:24	193:7,11	<b>shift</b> 197:9	338:6
101:9 107:23	220:20 277:22	299:6	<b>shut</b> 266:24
108:4 148:11	278:23 335:20	shockingly	336:5
148:14,24	337:13 338:4,9	294:10	

#### [side - somewhat]

side 76:21	<b>silos</b> 187:23	309:8	software 17:22
80:20,21 82:13	188:4,5	situations	17:24 155:15
114:15 116:22	similar 125:6	320:11	183:12 219:2
116:22 204:8	161:13 200:24	<b>six</b> 303:1	<b>sold</b> 297:9
264:7,9 366:4	368:6 371:17	sixth 308:21,23	379:15
366:10 367:6,8	similarly 1:8	308:23	<b>solely</b> 118:21
379:5,9,13	<b>simple</b> 89:7,10	sixths 308:24	119:2
380:7,13,14	185:3 201:9,10	309:1	solution 105:9
<b>sides</b> 116:15	220:13	<b>size</b> 114:17	110:19 112:24
121:6 186:10	simpler 20:14	255:19	113:2 118:9
379:10	121:13	<b>skad</b> 315:23	153:15 178:3
<b>sign</b> 9:25 10:6	simplest 89:11	316:12	179:11 189:3
87:7 393:16	171:17	<b>skewed</b> 253:15	316:18
394:5	simply 20:8	<b>skipped</b> 221:10	solutions 393:7
<b>signal</b> 99:24	154:8 306:13	skipping 82:17	<b>solve</b> 178:14
signals 165:25	<b>single</b> 181:7	<b>slick</b> 298:19	225:23
339:12	342:16 348:10	slightly 44:16	<b>solves</b> 181:25
signature	369:12 382:6	191:11,12	189:1
391:17 393:21	<b>sir</b> 318:5	<b>slip</b> 139:7	somebody
393:23,23	<b>sit</b> 142:21	150:21	90:11 106:5,6
394:9	241:9 256:21	<b>slipped</b> 152:17	106:7,14
<b>signed</b> 311:6,15	340:14	sliver 382:22	121:22 122:21
311:24 312:16	<b>site</b> 341:16	<b>slogan</b> 92:21	137:24 165:5
313:9,15	343:3,7,8,11,13	<b>slow</b> 195:18	221:5 224:11
significance	343:22	<b>small</b> 216:9	235:6 250:2
382:13	<b>sits</b> 117:14	239:14 256:2	271:11 274:13
significant	sitting 14:2	321:6 333:21	279:21 365:1
220:2 234:19	16:22 89:24	<b>smaller</b> 127:13	somebody's
291:23 323:24	97:16 110:2	273:13	342:17
signing 10:7	224:19 240:17	<b>snap</b> 298:3	someone's
69:2,18	283:24 284:8	sneakers	204:23 225:3
<b>silent</b> 82:12	320:18 362:24	204:15,16	someplace
<b>silly</b> 35:8	situated 1:8	305:18	88:18,19
<b>silo</b> 117:14	situation 15:9	<b>social</b> 273:18	somewhat
	92:13 235:17		287:5

#### [soon - speed]

<b>soon</b> 123:23	61:4 63:5,9	348:5 349:8	special 377:2
219:1	65:5 74:19	365:15	special 377.2
sophisticated	77:14 78:18	sought 168:21	301:6
205:13	79:17 111:9	<b>sound</b> 13:4	<b>specific</b> 27:21
sorry 12:17	118:4 121:12	17:10	46:7 62:8 89:4
26:4 57:15	124:24 126:15	sounds 69:20	91:10,19 99:18
64:16 67:6	141:6 145:15	143:3 173:24	204:22 253:2
72:3 75:15	147:19 150:5	195:18 208:10	254:14 272:23
77:9 85:5	162:2 165:1	213:8 227:15	275:19 282:16
117:8 131:21	169:12 174:8	230:25 252:24	326:3 327:12
132:24 160:8	177:18,25	255:15 286:12	368:20
160:12 161:5	177.18,23	387:24	
164:6,13	186:24 187:11	source 157:19	specifically 89:2 252:20
170:21 175:7	187:16 191:15	364:12	376:15
224:24 248:1			
249:14 254:3	197:9 206:5	sources 117:9	specifications 250:16
	216:3 218:15	293:7 312:24	
255:1 261:22	221:23 222:13	south 2:2	specificity
264:1,20	222:24 223:10	<b>space</b> 366:11	30:19
266:11 269:21	226:3 229:19	366:23	specifics 34:10
276:15 281:18	232:5,8 234:8	spam 370:1,2	specified
284:2,4 290:16	234:17 235:1	<b>spammed</b> 47:4	204:12
328:21 338:24	239:6 245:17	sparse 234:17	specifies 236:5
349:24 357:13	251:13 253:15	speak 68:23	specify 297:14
368:10,12	256:1 258:4	70:4 75:17	specs 195:14,14
370:5 371:13	259:11 261:7	130:18 142:19	195:15
373:17 374:19	262:20 263:8	152:5	speculate
378:5 379:17	267:24 271:10	speaking 33:13	198:12
387:12	272:12 282:15	38:21 39:21	speculation
sort 7:25 18:24	295:14 299:6	76:23 142:9	274:15 383:2
23:11 27:18	300:16 302:15	189:6	speculative
28:22 29:17	302:16 305:21	speaks 28:12	303:4
31:5 35:3 37:6	307:2,3 308:22	33:6 73:17	<b>speed</b> 108:16
43:5 45:16	310:2,6 316:17	250:7 337:19	169:10 239:12
50:18 51:10	319:24 321:3	386:7,14	288:9
59:11 60:17,17	331:2 340:12		

#### [spells - store]

<b>spells</b> 315:25	388:3	111:14	stenographic
<b>spend</b> 293:2	standalone	state 1:24	3:23
299:12 356:10	201:6	43:15 69:24	step 111:2,11
spending	standard 112:1	137:13 230:1	153:25 307:2,7
299:18 300:7	136:15 184:10	245:20,21	steps 151:25
300:12 342:25	226:3 261:20	247:24 258:15	steve 238:21
<b>spent</b> 4:23	standards	258:16 391:4	stick 32:23
341:24	205:9 208:20	393:9,12	82:18 156:3
<b>spied</b> 92:25	standing 84:25	<b>stated</b> 87:20	186:8 191:22
spoken 30:20	85:11 146:1	130:20 318:13	369:20
30:24	149:3,10 169:4	338:23	sticking 306:18
<b>spot</b> 142:23	228:17,25	statement	stipulation
227:11	standpoint	26:20 28:10	393:20
<b>spread</b> 187:22	183:11,12	84:13 155:23	<b>stop</b> 108:2,10
spreading	205:1	191:6 256:7	110:5 111:7
181:9	<b>stands</b> 152:6	328:18	142:4 172:13
spreadsheet	214:23 215:7	statements	177:3,5 225:22
67:24,25 75:25	316:5	21:25 29:4	231:14 298:8
spreadsheets	<b>start</b> 54:18	<b>states</b> 1:3 3:13	299:3 300:24
357:17 359:18	68:21 77:23	111:22 115:15	302:12 309:4
<b>spring</b> 332:20	108:10 114:13	statistic 256:2	374:2 385:20
<b>spun</b> 188:20,22	138:13 190:10	statistical	stops 170:25
<b>spying</b> 92:20	265:16 290:24	216:4,7 261:8	storage 80:21
100:10 199:20	290:25 298:17	261:18 304:7	80:23 82:14
<b>square</b> 232:19	324:4 346:5	304:13 305:3	117:12 318:14
342:10	355:24	308:9	318:20,22
squishy 71:5	started 4:9	statistically	319:8,11,12,13
stable 181:6	48:14 360:15	216:5 234:19	319:15,18,21
stacked 74:18	376:25	239:16	319:22,23,25
<b>staff</b> 20:19	starting 112:16	statistics 95:21	319:25 320:20
22:15 339:18	328:22	205:24 215:20	320:25 321:5
<b>stage</b> 10:5	<b>starts</b> 183:3	220:18 304:15	321:21,22
<b>stamp</b> 72:4	373:13	status 61:5	<b>store</b> 82:14
<b>stand</b> 228:18	startup 52:2	109:1 276:22	86:20 87:25
240:15 387:1	95:23 96:1	278:19 312:2,7	88:1 196:15

#### [store - sundar]

	I	I	
214:7 318:22	strike 32:17	179:2 187:17	success 246:1
319:3,4 320:22	48:13 96:16	190:7 228:5	successful
320:24 335:19	133:13 135:13	295:21 299:23	292:24
335:22	183:9 237:21	341:4 346:14	successfully
<b>stored</b> 25:13,15	<b>strikes</b> 231:10	359:10 370:1	140:1
48:6 80:18,19	<b>string</b> 163:5	376:8	succinct 236:23
102:3 131:5,6	stripped 348:6	stuffed 228:6	suddenly
136:10 194:15	<b>strong</b> 99:23	stumbled	150:11 152:19
197:21 219:7	249:25 250:1	368:10	suffice 143:2
238:25 241:25	strongly 126:10	<b>sub</b> 12:9	sufficiency
260:14 282:25	179:4	subject 84:7	255:13
283:1 329:16	structure 12:6	170:3 234:20	sufficient 130:7
<b>stores</b> 117:13	80:14 83:13	365:6	suggest 313:4
134:4 179:19	87:3,14,20	subjected	suggested
179:20,21	194:14 241:19	265:12	104:20
241:23	structured	subjects 270:13	suggesting
storing 76:20	230:24	subjunctive	105:11 300:3
89:3 180:2	struggled	94:21,22 161:6	suggestion
211:5 318:15	326:15	subpoena 9:7	342:6
318:16,16,16	<b>stuck</b> 359:7	9:10,12,20	<b>suing</b> 48:17
straighten	<b>studied</b> 106:18	10:3,11 268:25	<b>suite</b> 52:3
367:19	118:1 198:10	subscribed	summarize
straightforward	<b>studies</b> 215:18	388:12 392:21	59:20
60:8 242:2,8	<b>study</b> 26:3	subsequent	summarized
242:22	53:12 59:11	371:7	107:21
strange 92:17	114:12 125:4	subsequently	summary 11:13
145:24	125:12,18	97:5 375:24	11:25 12:3,11
strategies	126:17,24	376:1	31:6 42:7
118:3 352:5	127:2,25	subset 48:4	107:18 248:24
353:1 354:21	384:15	substantially	summer 2:19
street 2:2,7,13	studying 49:2	5:8 241:19	154:7
strength 92:22	<b>stuff</b> 18:9 62:1	substitute	summing
stretches	62:16 83:14	125:1 209:7	338:14
176:15	101:10 120:21	succeed 33:18	sundar 20:24
	139:21 142:11		21:8,19 22:16

#### [sunk - swaa]

<b>sunk</b> 280:3	107:7 109:20	317:17 318:11	57:9 58:23
<b>super</b> 163:17	113:24 119:8	323:1 328:20	74:11 81:11,14
supplemental	122:24 123:25	328:22 342:8	82:4,23 83:7
258:6	125:17,21	344:18 347:17	84:1 104:8
supplementat	127:11 130:13	357:21 358:10	105:5 107:11
16:2	132:18 135:11	367:20 372:23	107:13,25
supplied	141:2 154:19	373:11 377:7,8	108:21,22
216:25 217:3	166:10 167:25	378:2,8	109:1,21,25
236:1 348:11	168:18 174:1	surgeons	117:21 119:5
support 63:25	176:13 179:25	111:19	120:12 121:19
64:2 250:21	186:6 187:9	surprise 332:11	122:2 129:10
supports	191:23 192:18	surprised	129:20,24
152:22	192:20 207:19	306:17	130:1,25 131:8
<b>suppose</b> 130:12	211:15,22	surprising	132:5,6,15
187:1	214:1,25 215:3	232:6	133:4,4,8
supposed 23:14	216:24 223:25	surrounding	134:13 144:13
23:17,23	227:25 232:4	371:7	146:21 147:16
177:19 308:14	234:11 243:2	<b>survey</b> 26:16	148:12 149:1
suppresses	244:19 247:4	28:2 168:10	170:12,20
315:6	249:1 253:4	surveyed	171:1,16,20,25
sure 4:24 5:16	254:12 259:9	198:10	177:11,14,14
5:17,20,24	259:20 270:18	surveyor 222:5	177:23 181:17
6:25 7:25 8:12	270:20 274:2	<b>surveys</b> 28:1,2	181:17,20
15:3 18:16	277:14 278:12	28:3,4	184:20,22,25
24:8 29:18	278:14,15	<b>susman</b> 10:22	185:4,17 186:1
30:10,11,13,18	280:1,4,18	10:24	186:4,13,18
33:10 34:8	282:14 283:15	<b>suspect</b> 99:1,2	190:16,18
36:9 38:7,25	291:15 293:24	100:12 348:15	194:22 199:4
39:7 41:21	294:22 295:13	348:19	199:21 200:24
46:1 54:11,12	295:17 296:2	suspect's	202:18 203:10
65:3 66:5,14	297:1,23 299:7	348:16	203:13 207:14
69:17 73:21	301:23 306:8	suspicion	209:2,25 211:3
78:8 85:7 86:1	306:25 307:15	102:15	212:4 218:19
86:3 97:3 98:7	309:18 310:4	swaa 20:1 40:7	219:4,18,21
100:4 101:8	310:23 315:22	41:3 44:1 49:3	220:7 221:13

#### [swaa - take]

221:21 224:4,4	375:18,22	229:18 250:3	75:18,24 76:25
226:9,11,21	376:8	293:22 352:20	77:24 78:10
231:5 232:3	swear 3:24	354:13	81:2 84:16
236:14 237:6,7	switch 20:1	systematic	91:15 97:24
237:13,15	40:7 82:16	229:14	98:1 104:22
239:2 248:22	88:15 92:18,24	systems 65:21	106:22 108:11
249:7,16	103:13,19	107:12 129:14	108:13 115:11
257:13,14	199:4 200:24	133:6,14,16	115:23 116:24
258:10,23,24	201:6,10,12,20	196:25 197:4	123:18 128:2
259:18,19	201:21,22	207:2 245:18	132:16 134:25
260:5 262:6	202:1,18,20,25	294:1 297:1	135:7 144:7
263:2,5,10	268:5 271:11	348:25	147:5,6,8,9
264:17 265:14	298:15,22	t	151:3,25
266:5,14 272:1	300:18	t 1:1 4:2 129:1	152:22 153:25
276:22 277:2	switches	129:3 389:5	160:9 167:7
278:23 286:17	201:13 202:11	395:3,3	169:2 192:19
303:24 304:12	266:2 336:5	tab 135:6 292:4	225:11 227:24
306:22,23	switching	292:5 372:14	231:18 232:7
307:10 308:12	251:24	372:18 386:2	232:23,25
308:18 309:12	sworn 4:4	table 12:5	233:24 234:8
309:24 311:25	388:12 391:7	135:1,2 180:14	236:24 237:23
312:7 318:23	392:21	283:10,20,25	242:25 243:14
321:2 322:23	symmetrical	284:9,25 285:6	245:1 246:7,9
323:10,16	106:13	285:6 321:24	246:12 264:24
324:23 325:1	synchronize	326:23 347:24	267:19 280:16
325:25 329:19	258:17	348:10,14	280:18 283:13
330:15,17,22	<b>system</b> 87:24	tables 235:10	292:3,12,22
330:25 331:5	105:5 109:5	347:21,22,23	294:23 306:2
335:20 337:4,8	112:15,18	347:24 348:24	310:21 315:19
337:11,16	115:10 116:2,3	378:11	318:2 321:15
338:1,6 340:1	133:24 138:6,7	tactic 279:10	327:2 332:6
342:13 353:13	138:8 168:16	tagged 86:18	339:18 344:8
364:17 370:9	195:24 196:18	337:10	355:7 360:16
374:10,16,22	196:19,20	take 22:19,23	362:18 370:4
375:10,11,13	222:2,9,11	33:7,24 75:6	
		33.1,2 + 13.0	

#### [takeaway - tell]

takeaway	217:15 218:7	tampa 2:8	technically
285:5	224:10 273:7	tangential 19:8	19:25 20:3
<b>taken</b> 1:19	275:4 303:11	50:6	technique
47:12 66:19	350:13 360:5	<b>tape</b> 199:14	175:11 282:6
173:2 176:21	364:4,5,5	target 187:24	techniques
233:3 240:1,2	366:1 381:17	195:1 204:14	175:3
251:20 281:1	387:7	targeted 192:1	technological
317:23 329:3	talking 37:23	341:15	50:20
344:11 348:10	54:18,19 67:3	targeting 191:1	technologically
348:17 362:6	68:15 70:17	191:9,15 193:1	32:10 108:7,9
384:1	79:18,19,22,25	193:16 195:2,4	108:19
takes 225:10	80:9,12 82:6	196:5,16	technologies
258:17	86:5 100:13	197:15 203:17	291:15
talk 20:21	117:9 129:8	203:18,20,22	technologist
30:22 31:22	141:5 145:22	203:25 204:5,8	26:2 73:25
36:1 63:25	156:5,17 158:1	205:2 210:8,11	106:17 365:16
70:5 97:18	166:10 176:8,9	task 245:10	technology
106:14 107:5	176:10 183:18	<b>taught</b> 384:10	20:8,9 21:4
129:12 149:20	203:19 206:16	<b>team</b> 141:19	26:1 31:3
152:7 163:17	208:10 219:17	158:22 159:11	34:15 113:21
172:19 176:2	221:14 227:7	<b>tear</b> 37:11	114:4,10
189:5 190:24	252:3 253:23	<b>tech</b> 63:25 64:2	117:11 126:7,9
208:18,19	257:16 264:3,5	technical 20:12	183:15 222:13
212:7 213:24	277:17 280:13	21:2,7,17,22	253:1 299:21
221:18 229:4	282:17,20	27:15 58:20	telemetry 209:7
230:1 232:21	296:17 308:8	74:16 78:4,16	television 206:3
234:22 247:10	312:23 313:12	78:17 92:14	tell 15:6 23:9
257:11 283:4,8	324:4 328:5	122:10 168:5	61:23 62:11
283:9,20	351:20 366:8	172:12 175:8	70:9 76:19
312:18 313:11	371:16 373:10	175:10 213:22	88:10 92:19
332:2 335:18	374:12 379:12	246:1 268:15	95:8 126:17
338:15 348:23	379:23 386:3	269:11 271:10	127:11 140:10
360:9 384:25	<b>talks</b> 71:6	287:1 341:21	179:12 206:25
talked 19:25	142:1 151:6	349:7	224:25 229:6
34:17 187:12	311:14 328:7		253:3 260:2

#### [tell - things]

	I	I	
263:4 272:5	160:3 162:11	391:6,9	220:3 229:20
276:10 280:10	164:22 166:14	<b>testing</b> 139:12	240:25 262:15
302:10 304:4	166:18,25	169:22	266:24 269:3
306:20 309:4	167:4,11 168:3	text 230:17	281:15 291:17
312:19 315:25	168:23 212:21	thank 37:17	291:20 294:24
341:20 383:4	212:21 233:21	66:25 72:15	297:21 299:21
telling 77:16	261:8,20 280:9	176:15 190:9	300:15 307:16
89:2,18 121:7	282:4 347:12	276:19 337:14	307:23 308:15
121:9 126:5	347:15 370:7	354:9 361:22	313:19 316:9
201:18 244:10	382:21,24	371:11 386:25	316:10,12
tells 50:14	test 7:5 141:25	387:25	323:23 329:15
126:8	158:3,23	<b>thanks</b> 215:8	339:15 341:1
temporary	159:12,14,25	theoretical	347:9 379:3
208:12 251:4	160:16 163:6,9	225:13	381:19
tempting	165:12,16,23	theoretically	<b>things</b> 6:21
228:20	168:8 173:19	225:9 291:21	17:13 22:12,13
ten 306:7,15	174:3 182:4	theory 15:7	27:1 30:24
371:10	244:5,8 253:9	98:17 182:10	32:1,7,18,20,21
<b>tends</b> 179:2	253:23 256:3	182:11 195:5	32:25 33:1
terabytes	337:19 360:23	250:6 289:19	34:19,22 35:18
319:20	373:24 386:4	289:20 291:6,9	49:16 51:11
<b>term</b> 37:6	testified 4:4	294:7	58:21 61:20
39:22 43:10,17	20:25 140:11	thin 245:15	65:20 76:12
45:4 46:3,5	238:22 270:11	thing 22:1,3	79:15,17,25
73:1,2 77:3	351:12	27:24 28:11	86:2 102:11
88:4 154:4	<b>testify</b> 154:24	31:10 51:24	105:25 108:6
197:14 204:4	172:5 336:14	52:19 63:9	109:3 110:3
206:17 301:4	testimony	71:2 78:19	113:7 119:18
319:4	21:21 87:16	103:7 124:5	119:19 120:6
terminology	90:4,7 94:6	145:25 150:3	129:20 135:18
37:18,25 45:17	152:24 153:3	155:6,16	136:3 141:2,24
191:24 235:1	154:18 213:17	159:19 163:2	145:7 163:24
terms 5:14 43:6	241:2 267:17	163:15 168:14	164:5,17,23
54:3 71:7	335:18 367:16	168:15 186:10	169:6,10
105:12 130:4	381:2 388:5	203:1 209:9	179:23 183:3

### [things - think]

187:10 201:14	50:1,5,24 57:5	154:23 156:9	262:17,18
202:24 203:23	57:10 58:14,17	158:11 162:23	264:23 265:9
206:21 207:9	59:7,19,21,25	166:3 170:9,13	265:18 267:18
207:18 225:7	60:11,18,22	170:14 171:11	268:3,4,9
228:1 231:6	61:14,15,16,17	172:5,8 174:11	270:22 271:4
247:3 248:2,3	61:19 63:14,16	176:1 177:6	272:14 276:25
250:12 251:10	64:24 66:4,6	178:2,4 179:10	277:9,16 280:4
255:15 260:6	67:8 68:20	180:1 181:24	281:13 284:22
283:9,19 290:3	70:8,23 73:17	184:24 185:2	285:4 286:6
299:22 300:1	74:8 77:12	186:3 187:11	289:21 290:2
305:1 313:22	80:4 82:8 84:3	189:3 191:3,21	290:13 291:9
317:13 319:3	85:9 88:4 91:2	193:3 194:5,6	292:14,21
319:10 320:25	93:6 104:14,21	195:8 196:1,8	295:11,19
321:7 322:17	105:2,9 108:17	197:5 198:21	298:24 300:15
331:11 334:8	109:23 110:18	198:23 199:1	300:17 302:18
334:20 335:13	115:9 118:11	200:12 201:5	304:14 307:4
344:20 349:11	118:17 120:24	202:2 204:18	307:12,15,22
359:6 379:23	121:11 122:11	205:10 209:24	308:1 309:9
think 5:2,20	123:1,3 124:12	212:1,6,8	310:15,24
6:1,15 7:2 8:17	130:2 131:10	215:25 216:12	316:4,20,25
8:20 11:9 12:2	132:2,4,19	217:13,15,21	319:19 320:17
12:13,23 15:11	133:18,21,23	218:21 219:20	321:1,3,6
16:12 17:9	134:3,9,16	221:16 223:9	322:6 323:2,7
18:21,23 19:5	135:5,19,24,25	223:16 226:18	324:4,14,16
19:19,24 20:20	137:1 139:5,20	227:4 229:1	325:3,9,13,16
21:14,18,23	140:6 141:8,20	231:1 232:9	327:18 331:14
22:17,22 23:18	141:21,25	233:7,19	333:6 334:5
23:20,25 27:23	142:20 143:5	234:15 239:19	336:6,25 338:2
31:4,4 32:12	144:9 145:12	241:21 243:3	338:10 340:8
34:6,18,25	145:14,19	243:22,23	342:6 343:18
37:25 38:12	147:7 148:2,4	246:20 247:23	346:6 352:5
39:8,17,18	150:24 151:7	252:19 253:12	356:2 357:6,10
41:8,10 42:6	151:12 152:1,3	253:17 254:19	357:18,23
42:25 45:7	152:16,20,21	256:14 258:3	359:5,14 361:1
48:20 49:13	153:2,21	259:23 261:5	361:7,13,15,19

#### [think - timestamp]

	1	1	1
361:21 362:12	thought 48:25	<b>thrust</b> 19:11	281:2 285:25
363:13,18	53:10,12,14,16	335:9	288:4 289:21
365:12 366:14	54:11,20 57:11	tie 110:12	290:17,19
366:24 367:3,5	59:2 60:10	178:19 179:1,2	297:18,21
368:22 369:10	61:2 65:1	183:5	300:14 306:12
373:8,24 374:7	78:24 109:4	<b>tied</b> 53:19,20	309:8 311:17
374:17 375:15	116:14,25	54:7,9,23,24	313:16 314:2,9
380:18,21	149:13 183:2	57:6 180:12	317:12,20,21
383:23 387:6	188:18 201:19	185:1 267:7	317:24 319:1
387:16	204:2 231:11	272:22	327:3,5 329:1
thinker 238:2	231:15 243:21	ties 110:6 179:5	329:4 341:25
<b>thinking</b> 14:7,8	280:5 281:22	185:15 285:6	344:9,12
50:3 54:17	281:23 282:1	<b>tightly</b> 151:18	358:25 362:4,7
57:25,25 86:15	338:25 371:19	time 3:3,8	373:17,19
88:1 95:19	thoughtfully	33:15 47:10,13	377:1 381:13
114:3 187:1,10	65:8	55:4 62:5,10	385:9,11,14,23
196:21 198:10	thoughts 60:18	62:17 63:9,21	386:8,9 387:2
200:1,2 201:4	thousand 352:3	64:4 66:17,20	388:4,8 393:10
201:8 222:18	thousands 8:13	67:13,18 69:10	393:18,24
318:21 325:18	<b>threat</b> 104:2	71:14 85:24	394:7
358:12 369:1	105:16 242:16	86:6 99:11	times 4:12
<b>third</b> 5:11,12	364:21	103:23 109:11	37:13 117:19
27:23 124:13	three 32:18	115:25 121:14	119:23 121:22
124:23 138:8	170:17 177:2	123:18 128:4	121:23,23,25
169:13 255:9	184:16 193:16	129:4 133:9	205:20 213:25
255:12 288:14	193:20 305:4	172:25 173:3	233:14 265:11
302:22 314:11	311:23 330:4	176:19,22	275:24 299:22
316:14 352:21	338:15 373:13	181:6 183:1	303:11 312:17
354:14 355:4	377:9 382:10	189:22,25	317:9 361:2
368:2 380:5	threshold	195:21 198:18	382:25 384:2
thirds 288:12	320:13 374:3	198:18 206:2	timestamp
thorough	threw 362:14	232:22 233:1,4	141:23 243:3,5
370:19	throw 120:23	243:14,16	243:21,22
thoroughness	throwing	251:18,21	244:16 260:4
143:5	120:23	280:6,8,24	

#### [timestamps - transmit]

timestamps	235:7,9 292:2	<b>topics</b> 27:10	379:16,17,21
142:2,6 241:24	330:5 347:21	230:12 231:22	382:4 383:24
244:14	347:22,25	269:23 270:5	384:3,11
tiny 373:1	347.22,23		tracks 293:1
		total 5:1,13	
title 376:11	365:10,21	29:24 333:22	traded 272:6
titles 362:16,19	372:20	343:23,23	272:15
<b>tmpapp</b> 330:15	token 278:17	373:19	traffic 62:20,21
332:2,25 333:8	278:18,22,22	totally 113:9	132:15 133:4
376:10,14	281:20	321:14 361:24	144:14 146:21
today 14:2	told 13:24 21:1	<b>touched</b> 277:16	205:12,13
16:22 33:16	63:2 73:22	touches 19:9	275:19 288:12
51:9 62:6	78:22 90:1,5	towards 21:13	train 262:21
66:11 79:3	103:16 115:19	340:10 341:15	training 383:25
89:24 95:8	122:8 124:16	track 100:1	transaction
118:20 146:4	198:1 209:13	121:11 219:21	209:12 218:2
179:9 266:12	220:20 313:14	220:2 249:6	transactions
267:4 274:6	330:4 384:10	296:24 314:23	239:16 379:8
275:5 283:24	tom 199:8,10	350:21 355:2	379:22
284:8 327:6	took 62:24	366:17	transcript
331:7 347:5	174:2 299:24	trackable	107:14 149:15
356:13 358:6	<b>tool</b> 124:23	351:14	311:11 312:11
358:21 359:16	302:14	tracked 171:21	391:8 393:6,8
363:24 365:5	tools 62:4	263:4 286:22	393:10,13,13
378:25 381:16	<b>top</b> 75:9 77:2	294:7 350:16	393:21 394:2,2
today's 388:5	77:25 80:2	352:16 366:21	transcripts
<b>todd</b> 1:22 3:20	95:18 145:16	tracking 56:11	52:12 312:14
391:3,18	156:22 165:18	56:14 115:10	transient
together 9:9	170:22 202:23	115:14 202:7	197:21 208:12
53:24 55:5,7	212:16 256:22	219:9 286:2	transmission
77:13 101:13	263:23 326:7	287:14 294:8	66:4 210:14
110:12 112:10	341:7 359:24	296:12 303:12	transmit
114:24 136:5	370:5	303:15 304:24	108:23 109:2,3
136:18 137:21	<b>topic</b> 26:3	305:2 314:13	109:6 185:7,21
141:15 142:7	115:20 126:6	343:12,14	219:4
174:25 179:2	275:2 310:19	352:10 379:14	

#### [transmitted - two]

transmitted	<b>triple</b> 202:5	291:1 296:6	<b>turn</b> 3:7 13:17
228:5	trouble 100:7	308:2 310:22	14:11 178:18
transmitting	116:19 127:15	334:7 360:23	208:23 243:17
185:10 195:9	250:10	trying 25:18	315:20 322:14
transparency	troublesome	26:8 39:25	339:21 340:2
202:7 286:3	195:20	41:20 59:18,19	353:4 375:22
287:14 314:13	<b>trove</b> 36:20	59:21 60:3,9	turned 44:8
trashed 251:4	38:4 40:3 46:9	65:11 74:21	51:10 57:6
<b>treat</b> 237:19	48:5 64:9	79:4,5 92:3	59:3 109:22
treated 70:14	81:23 103:20	100:1 113:8,14	186:13 190:20
263:5 271:5	103:22 236:6	113:20 119:15	203:11,14
353:13	true 82:24	120:8,22	249:8 265:14
treatment	260:6 291:18	139:10 140:7	302:9 311:25
111:21 114:17	291:19 348:25	140:24 146:14	335:20 338:6
114:20	391:8	150:15 164:24	339:10,13,20
<b>treats</b> 237:12	<b>trust</b> 112:22	168:4 175:15	376:9
237:15 342:12	116:6,7 307:18	179:17 200:15	turning 182:2
353:8	307:20 308:2	200:18 201:4	turns 15:13
tremendous	<b>truth</b> 209:14	213:11 216:8	58:9 239:14
318:19	truthfully 13:3	224:21 228:1	322:23
<b>trend</b> 287:11	202:14	228:22 235:6	twice 286:7
<b>trick</b> 360:18	<b>try</b> 12:18 27:1	242:12 255:2	<b>two</b> 10:7,8
<b>tricky</b> 225:2	33:14,19,21	256:4 261:23	37:19 52:1
<b>tried</b> 6:18 8:17	60:5 104:23	270:6,10	76:11 77:12
38:6 49:15	113:10,17	279:14 281:19	79:15,17,25
110:22 140:11	118:12 121:14	282:24 283:2	94:25 136:3,4
140:15 197:4	135:14 140:9	284:23 287:10	136:7 137:2
<b>tries</b> 319:1	140:17 141:14	305:11 306:12	146:13 165:16
trigger 115:7	142:23 156:3,3	306:13 310:10	189:11 190:13
triggered	159:22 168:16	313:20 342:9	202:24 235:9
184:13 226:9	206:22,23	355:1 357:14	278:4 279:16
255:21	207:3 215:10	360:16,18	288:12 290:3
triggering	220:21 240:11	363:21 374:2	296:3 323:22
65:19	274:22 282:8	375:5 378:10	335:24 347:8
	287:8 288:1		347:18,21,22

#### [two - undertake]

247 22 240 24	7	01.05.00.10	1 4 10
347:23 348:24	unanswered	91:25 92:10	understanding
350:13 358:3	368:11	101:24 113:21	24:11,13,14
359:13 360:9	unattributed	119:15,17	48:18,21 56:2
361:18 363:4	382:17	120:8 121:17	56:8,13,14,18
364:9 367:1,4	unauthorized	123:3 124:21	60:10 82:7
375:6,7 377:12	69:8 71:12	126:23 132:2	83:2 84:17
377:16,17,25	unaware 14:23	134:16 136:16	86:9 87:23
378:10 382:23	unbake 148:9	139:5,10 140:8	131:21,23
<b>tying</b> 138:21	uncertain	140:24 157:25	176:6 199:3
<b>type</b> 7:5 109:17	224:7	162:10,13	235:13 240:6,7
193:17 205:5	unclear 6:24	169:21 171:12	240:10 261:22
261:14 338:25	34:20 87:13	171:15 172:10	264:10 278:3
350:8 353:21	under 12:8	174:1 179:17	278:11 311:1
365:13 366:5	64:12,19 84:13	181:13 182:1	312:15 316:6
<b>types</b> 158:15	84:14 112:14	183:16 192:12	320:10 322:22
215:11 241:25	119:12 188:7	192:14 229:15	350:7
261:14 293:3,6	196:17 249:8	234:25 235:3	understandings
294:12 302:1	268:25 279:3	237:21 247:17	18:11 49:11
348:24 355:10	310:12 349:8	270:6 282:23	understands
355:16	365:13,22	283:2 285:23	229:24
typical 6:17	374:10	290:17,18	understood
380:14,19	understand	293:18 294:14	29:18 39:19
typically 69:4	12:9 23:12	306:16 308:7	44:14 47:24
272:6	25:19 26:8,15	310:10 311:5	55:25 61:11
<b>typo</b> 241:21	31:10,11,12	314:5,10	90:20 163:16
u	38:16 40:14	323:13 334:13	165:3,8 173:19
<b>uh</b> 72:20	41:12,21 44:14	334:21 338:10	210:20 219:24
296:16	45:23 47:21	338:17 340:7	220:4 236:9
ultimate 22:21	49:1 52:22	342:10 343:6	249:21 267:2
58:1 352:22	57:21 60:9	345:4 354:19	285:8,11 296:9
354:15	65:12 66:7	355:20 360:8	338:10 347:13
ultimately	74:20,22 77:22	363:12 367:21	380:6
142:19	79:5 83:11	372:13 377:21	undertake
unable 363:1	85:6,15 89:13	378:9 382:13	126:24 127:2
unable 505.1	90:10,22 91:2		139:17 146:17

#### [undertaken - used]

undertaken	unmask 106:6	231:19	291:2,4,10
125:3,11 178:5	unmasked	urls 228:4,5,13	292:1 303:9,15
unfavorable	102:2	229:5,16,22	304:12,15,23
14:8	unnecessarily	231:5	314:1 321:22
unfortunately	176:14	usage 63:6	324:18 325:23
242:15	uno 292:6,23	use 17:23 37:11	329:15 333:8
unidentifiable	293:11	37:15 39:16	334:2,7,10
258:20 259:15	unpack 86:11	40:16,21,23	335:2 339:19
unified 340:8	unrealistic	43:9 46:3 54:3	340:25 341:1,3
uniform 252:2	261:12	62:19 64:5	341:4,5 342:1
252:15 254:1	uns 112:25	70:21 71:9,11	342:7 346:14
uniformity	unsolvable	73:14 84:25	352:9 353:1
252:6,18,20,23	225:8,9,12,16	90:6 96:18,21	354:21 355:2,6
253:10 254:8	225:18,20,23	103:3 114:2	355:17 364:3
254:16,20,23	unusual 305:16	116:3 118:22	366:19 368:17
255:3	<b>update</b> 150:9	121:11 123:25	370:10,11
uniformly	150:22 152:18	124:23 126:10	<b>used</b> 37:13
170:24	155:11,22	126:11 127:15	38:24 39:22
unintentionally	156:5,12 157:5	136:18 142:6	41:18 43:6
162:22	updated 154:8	151:16 152:9	45:17 47:17,24
<b>unique</b> 60:14	updates 155:12	168:25 171:8,9	50:25 51:6,9
161:13 178:20	155:13,14	171:19,24	60:14 61:22
180:19,21,24	upgrade	180:10 184:3,8	62:18 63:4,10
181:2,3,5	126:13	186:17,19	64:3 69:6
183:25 230:20	uploaded	190:15 206:17	71:17 73:13
274:25 384:4	362:10	212:25 218:19	75:2 77:4 86:8
<b>unit</b> 3:9 272:9	<b>ups</b> 211:7	219:22 220:21	88:5 96:23,25
<b>united</b> 1:3 3:13	<b>upset</b> 308:11	221:24 230:12	102:22 115:10
111:22 115:15	309:14	230:19 246:13	115:11 117:15
universal 115:9	<b>uptake</b> 155:13	250:11,17	117:16,16
294:2	<b>urchin</b> 63:24	252:1 253:8	121:22 136:21
university	64:1	261:3 280:22	145:20 146:8
37:21	<b>url</b> 230:8,11,17	284:12 285:24	154:4 161:5
unknowns	230:18,19,19	289:11,13,20	162:18 174:2
310:6	230:21 231:18	289:22 290:10	174:12,16

#### [used - using]

190:18 197:14	133:7,17 138:1	335:8 338:3	306:22,23
197:15 201:23	138:15,18,19	349:2 353:12	308:12,18
202:2 203:15	144:1,11,12,19	378:12,14,17	328:10 338:6
219:25 220:1	146:19,20	378:21 380:24	340:1 342:11
220:15 221:19	147:5 171:12	381:5,7	347:6 349:15
221:21,22	171:14,18,22	user's 40:10,11	370:13
222:11 253:5	172:5 181:12	108:20 187:4	uses 42:15
255:9 259:1	185:15,16,17	192:3,8 194:12	43:22 44:17,19
262:20,20	185:21 186:13	197:24 198:13	47:25 48:2
284:1,10,13	190:14 192:10	203:8 212:4	49:21 52:2
285:12 295:11	192:12,17	223:19 260:16	68:18 73:2
295:13 296:8	193:1 194:4,9	276:21 277:4	77:3 84:22
301:15 303:18	196:14 198:2	<b>users</b> 19:23	96:17 118:23
324:24,24	198:12 203:10	24:25 50:14	120:5 121:20
326:4 327:13	204:11 207:23	62:9 78:23,23	203:8 204:4
328:15 336:17	210:15 211:3,4	96:24 117:22	221:12 235:8
348:8,18 352:7	220:24 221:15	119:5 120:12	249:6 262:5,23
376:8 385:12	222:16 223:18	122:2 124:7	264:16 277:1
386:20	226:13,14,24	133:8 166:19	304:6 324:19
useful 95:21	228:15 239:1	169:1 170:10	367:12
111:9 120:25	244:2,8 247:22	170:24 171:6	<b>using</b> 37:4
256:15 338:8	250:23 258:10	173:15 178:3,4	40:20 41:23,25
user 22:14	261:2,2,9,10,21	178:6 190:16	42:1,4 45:4,24
24:17,18 25:7	263:10 265:12	198:6,7,9	46:13 58:6
36:21 38:2,5	265:23,23	207:14 208:8	63:14,22 65:25
40:5 41:7 44:6	266:15,15,18	211:2,14	66:1 93:9 97:4
46:8,10 48:5	266:19,19	218:19 220:18	120:1 123:11
64:11 65:14,16	267:15 271:17	226:9,11,21	136:14 141:23
93:23,24 94:2	272:20,23	241:4 251:15	142:12 145:6,9
96:7 103:13	277:2,23	255:10 261:6,7	146:3 151:22
108:23 109:8	286:19,21,21	261:18,24	152:19 171:13
109:10 110:7	296:7 314:12	265:18,24	171:13 173:20
116:16 120:16	318:23 322:2	266:14 287:3	175:2,11,13,14
121:7 126:6	322:10,14,23	298:15 303:23	179:8,9 181:9
132:10,14	324:23 325:1	304:12,21	191:23 211:2

#### [using - vs]

212:3 219:18	<b>value</b> 271:17	veritext 3:21	<b>view</b> 56:16,19
219:20 220:7	271:24,25	392:1 393:7,9	80:23 165:8
233:21 235:2	272:20,23	393:11	169:25 171:22
235:10,13	273:7,9,11,25	<b>versa</b> 291:19	187:16,18
241:19 245:15	274:19,20	version 78:11	193:13,14
247:14 248:23	309:16	82:11 126:12	217:16 228:4,8
259:5 262:16	values 109:9	versus 3:12	228:14,14
290:8 300:8	253:22	22:2 140:21	231:5 271:9
301:17 302:14	variation 262:3	198:18,18	331:17
303:12 304:17	varies 261:1	344:24 349:18	<b>viewed</b> 193:19
309:5 324:2	variety 203:3	361:15 378:11	views 217:17
325:24 328:9	221:18 352:10	382:19,22	369:25
339:5 340:5,6	various 7:24	viable 189:3	<b>vii</b> 12:7
340:15 341:22	24:14 68:5	<b>vice</b> 291:19	<b>vin</b> 180:24
341:23 343:2	106:17 160:3	<b>video</b> 3:10	violated 28:16
347:25 355:13	213:19	318:15 320:22	271:12
363:20,25	vary 260:15	videographer	violating
370:13 386:3	265:15,20,22	2:21 3:1,19	110:14
usually 14:21	266:15 272:3	47:10,13 66:17	violation 28:21
16:9,11 103:22	275:6	66:20 67:13,18	vis 53:9,9
307:16	vast 222:13	128:4 129:4	visit 303:24
v	331:4	172:25 173:3	visited 95:7
v 392:2	<b>vendor</b> 116:17	176:19,22	visiting 62:12
vague 172:2	118:21 205:22	189:22,25	visitors 305:5
197:17 224:23	236:1	233:1,4 251:18	341:10,11,16
255:25 263:14	vendors 111:11	251:21 280:24	343:9
349:25 350:10	124:23 206:10	281:2 306:4	<b>volume</b> 62:21
353:11 378:18	207:24	317:21,24	329:19 346:4
381:2	verified 256:12	329:1,4 344:9	355:24
valid 163:4,5	312:8	344:12 362:4,7	<b>volumes</b> 239:12
205:12,13	verifier 352:22	371:9 373:19	voluminous
216:5	354:15	385:4,15 387:1	16:4 329:16
valuable 221:6	<b>verify</b> 260:11	388:3	362:17 373:23
307:19	291:14 329:17	videotaped	vs 372:15 393:4
307.17	334:8	1:18	395:1

#### [waa - way]

	248:21 249:7	100:2 103:14	335:10 336:20
W	257:23,23	100.2 103.14	339:2 340:15
<b>waa</b> 20:1 24:2	271:6 275:20	103.19 104.14	
25:24 26:11,13	276:22 277:2		341:5,6 344:17 345:23 351:14
26:20 28:7		110:7,20,25	
32:19 39:13,15	278:23 304:11	114:18,19	352:17 356:9
40:7 41:3	311:24 312:7	116:7 121:1,3	356:10 360:1
43:25 44:2,18	318:23 321:2	126:12 129:12	361:25 362:17
49:3,20 50:5	323:10 325:25	130:18,20,22	366:3,17
50:15,19 51:6	329:19 330:17	137:4,15	367:23 371:21
51:15 52:18	330:22,25	138:15,25	377:11,15,22
53:3,8 57:1,3,7	331:5 335:20	142:21 149:4,5	378:8 385:21
57:9 58:9,12	337:3,8,10	151:8,17	387:6
58:15,22 59:3	338:1,6 353:7	152:23 154:18	wanted 67:4
60:21 70:22	353:12 374:10	155:10,23	68:17 98:11
71:17 79:8,8	375:18,22	157:18 162:2	115:20 130:9
81:11,14 82:3	wait 264:1	172:10 173:25	167:24 206:13
105:5 107:10	340:16	176:25 177:4	231:23 249:13
107:10,13,24	<b>waived</b> 393:23	178:4 180:15	346:25 356:24
109:1,21,24	393:23	184:21 189:9	wants 90:6
129:10 130:25	waiving 393:20	190:10 191:22	113:16 163:22
131:8 134:12	<b>walk</b> 198:7	200:2 204:14	washington
170:19 171:1	<b>want</b> 14:14	205:16 206:19	101:11 233:8
171:16,20	16:25 17:3	210:17 214:1	233:13
177:11,14,14	18:16 19:9	215:2 218:25	<b>waste</b> 33:14
177:23 178:16	25:2,10 28:9	249:19 253:1	<b>watch</b> 200:3
178:18,19	35:6 38:16	259:20,24	watching
181:16,17,20	39:6,9,9,10	266:8 277:3	172:22 301:9
185:4 190:16	41:20 42:9	282:3 283:18	water 120:23
190:17 196:7,9	43:14 47:4	286:22 289:22	way 9:24 14:15
196:13 199:4	65:4,5,9 68:6	291:14 295:22	18:22 20:10
199:21 200:24	71:18 74:22	306:10 307:19	21:10 22:1,12
203:13 209:1	76:1 84:15,15	308:7 313:25	23:14 25:6
210:10 219:5	84:16 85:2,6	314:23 323:5	33:24 35:16
221:21 227:2	86:22,24 89:13	325:8 326:2	36:9 40:25
237:6,7,13,15	94:20 97:18	327:2 328:10	44:2,8 47:25

#### [way - witness]

40.2.40.4.24	262.0.266.16	220.2.4.20.22	<b>l f</b> 201.14
48:2 49:4,24	263:9 266:16	230:3,4,20,22	whereof 391:14
50:6 59:1,24	267:24,25	231:20 292:18	whipping
59:25 61:7,18	268:7 272:5,14	305:12 309:13	237:24
62:10 64:25	279:6 282:8	318:17 337:25	whispering 3:6
65:14 69:17	290:18 291:24	340:13 341:17	<b>white</b> 111:6
73:12 75:13	292:13,21	343:21 372:9	204:14,15
76:3 77:13	293:14 300:4	<b>webbed</b> 134:11	<b>widely</b> 162:17
82:8 91:21	301:24 307:10	website 62:12	<b>wild</b> 101:15
93:8 94:8	307:13,17	62:19,22 64:6	105:15 159:17
101:3,12	308:9 309:24	95:8 96:8,14	159:20
112:10,12	321:18 322:12	96:17 98:10,16	<b>willing</b> 274:23
114:21,25	322:15 334:25	109:12 116:17	297:15 366:22
115:16 118:7	342:12 353:14	117:18 119:22	willkie 1:20
120:22 133:3	354:4 360:23	120:2,11 121:2	2:13,19 3:16
138:3 143:7	361:3 366:15	121:9 214:10	willkie.com
145:19 150:22	375:4 376:24	214:11 227:5	2:16,17 393:2
158:12 165:10	387:22 391:12	300:21 301:6	<b>window</b> 351:10
170:19 171:8	<b>ways</b> 63:7	301:14 303:10	380:15,19
177:6 179:18	134:5 139:21	303:24 306:23	wires 38:8,23
180:1 184:21	195:8,24,25	339:6 340:5	39:1
184:24 185:3	207:4 221:6,7	341:10,11,14	wish 240:14
193:3 195:12	223:24 336:15	341:14 344:2	wishes 251:15
197:4,11	347:11 351:17	351:1 372:11	268:6 271:12
198:16 200:2	352:10	website's 96:24	withdraw
200:10 201:3,9	<b>we've</b> 101:15	websites 184:2	11:19
205:3 207:18	139:14,20	301:19 303:13	withheld 331:3
208:17 209:25	218:16	<b>week</b> 10:7,8	witness 3:25
213:24 227:24	wears 305:24	<b>weird</b> 305:10	4:3 13:11
230:7 231:1,25	<b>web</b> 18:13	305:15	33:12 36:16
235:16 244:10	22:13 42:19,25	welcome	80:8 81:5
246:8,16	43:10,23 44:20	113:18 321:12	108:14 117:6
248:17 250:2	46:7 49:9	327:10	123:16 170:8
256:15,16,18	63:17 201:7	<b>went</b> 92:7	189:19 210:23
260:10 261:5	217:12,15,17	140:9 165:24	237:2 249:2,11
262:10,20	229:21,23		259:10 269:4

#### [witness - yeah]

281:14 283:16	347:16 354:23	340:12	135:6 165:6
287:23 317:19	363:19	workload	170:21 263:19
344:15 363:20	work 7:5 8:4	197:10	289:2,3 290:14
372:3,24 389:2	19:17 20:9,23	<b>works</b> 10:14	298:13 321:23
391:6,9,14	21:11 22:4	20:25 21:10,22	340:18
392:3 393:13	23:14 36:8	22:2,2,8,8,11	<b>wrote</b> 6:15 8:7
393:16 394:2,5	49:3,6 56:11	56:1,9,19,21	8:21 90:21
395:24	56:15 58:3	60:1 101:4	130:21,22
witnesses 301:7	59:24,25 60:4	116:8 164:8	X
<b>wool</b> 211:1,14	62:4 116:21	184:21 219:14	<b>x</b> 1:7,15 318:13
word 6:20 25:2	127:14 133:12	341:5,7	389:1,5 394:9
37:7 77:18	134:23 148:21	<b>world</b> 101:4	<b>x6</b> 67:23,24
85:1 93:21	151:25 177:15	102:17 103:5	389:7
145:20 165:1	181:17,20	185:20 187:21	<b>xinyu</b> 238:15
169:2 189:8	184:21,24	331:11 351:4,6	
222:21,22	190:10 198:15	372:5	<b>y</b>
223:6,7 233:25	207:18,25	worried 274:8	yale 37:21
252:2 253:5	208:18 209:10	<b>worry</b> 199:11	111:17
254:5 320:3,4	209:17,18	199:16	yanchunis 2:9
320:6 363:20	215:10 223:17	worth 266:23	ye 238:15
363:25 370:4	269:18 274:4	268:7 271:7	<b>yeah</b> 29:7
382:13	298:9 307:14	273:13,15,19	34:12 39:11
<b>worded</b> 314:25	310:2 320:5,7	326:17 383:12	45:13 71:19
wording	321:12 323:5	<b>wrap</b> 123:22	73:24 94:8
255:14	371:8	187:16	105:18 106:25
<b>words</b> 41:6	workable 118:8	write 181:23	108:8 113:20
59:17 74:3	<b>worked</b> 10:23	written 8:16	124:25 131:4
84:23 86:7	357:6	11:7 40:15	133:18 135:7
96:8 161:22	workers 97:6	90:7 130:17	135:25 143:12
173:11 177:19	working 23:13	249:5 259:8	144:9 149:9,17
194:13 204:10	26:1,1 38:22	344:25 345:1	150:23 151:7,8
204:11 213:3	61:7 95:24	<b>wrong</b> 15:24	153:10 156:24
214:11 258:15	111:14 116:9	21:2 55:3	158:11 160:12
259:2,5,6,7	116:15,16	59:21 67:9,11	161:10 162:8
305:8 346:11	303:22 308:15	78:7 84:17	164:9 172:11

#### [yeah - à]

173:24 174:11	york 1:22,22,24
176:18 185:13	3:17,17 117:19
189:17 190:5,8	119:23 121:22
191:2,20	121:23,23,25
192:17 193:12	233:14 391:4
195:19 196:4	392:1
197:12 202:6	$\mathbf{z}$
204:7 217:5	<b>zero</b> 137:14
218:13 223:15	<b>zoom</b> 2:9,10,16
227:9 229:12	2:19,20 172:21
229:25 232:1	à
244:7 269:17	
270:3 272:16	<b>à</b> 53:9
278:20 289:4	
290:25 296:18	
302:6 312:6	
314:15 315:24	
316:19,20	
329:9 330:12	
330:17 345:20	
360:20 362:2	
366:14 369:17	
370:4,4,15	
376:12 387:9	
387:10	
<b>year</b> 319:20	
years 11:1 26:2	
56:5 58:8	
60:20 78:12	
82:10 97:11	
112:16 291:19	
295:14 301:13	
384:1	
yesterday 76:7	
302:10	

# Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

## VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.